

http://xsold3pvbxt4xblv.onion

T  
H  
E

C O M P E N D I U M

Volume 1      ~oo~      Issues 1-12      ~oo~      May-Christmas 2015

SPECIAL EDITION   -   PDF ONLY   -   PERFECT FOR PRINTING

~~~~~

1XKKOk0xo:;','... ..'ld:,d0.  
oKKKk00kolc:;,,... ..',;;:llocld:  
xN00000kxdllc:;','.....,:::cdcoc  
dXX0kxkkkkxoc:;','... ..',:clxxo ...  
dXKOxdddxodoc:','... ..',;;:::cl0d. ,'.l:.... ..  
:X0K00xxdodoc:','.....',,,:oXNNWWWXkccxo'oXO:':kd:;.,c,'...  
.KWWNKkxdodo:;,:ldx00kxc,,:ONNWNOKMMMWWXkcclddOodolx00d:c00xdl;'..  
cNWMKkxxxxxxkKNNWWWMMWWXo. .ldoc,,xxx00dlcxd:l,. 'ol:lkOoco000kko:'.  
..xWMNOxdxkXMMWWkcx0X0xldl' .,:;cllool:;:ldl,ck:'.cdc:dkxol,'.cexo'..  
kNKkldXWOxdx0KNX0oc:llc:;,:;.,;.,;,';:ldd;:dlxo,.ldc;,cd;,'.xdl:'.  
dKkxK00NKkdxdddddol:;,:;,'. ,c:'.',;:ldxc:,' 'dc,',oc..lxoo;:;:'.  
'ld:lkWXXXOxdc:;,,,,'. 'co:.. ';;:..';clodlc; coc,;xdc;,,,;','.  
.oc:ox0KNN0kxo:;,,,,'. ,cc:'....o:c:;',;:lldoc. ;l,...:kd;:;:'.l;:'.  
.o:,'oONWNKOkxooc;,'. ,lld0KkxOXNX0c:;:clool .:;.,;,'.cc::ll;..  
;dkdxkXNXKOkxdlc;,,;oKK0kOKOl;,,,;:ldodddc ,c.... .',cc;'.  
.lkxd.cXXXkkkdl:;,,,;:..:cldkxdooddc .:;.,;,'.cc;'.  
.. cXNK000OddcloooodxxdkKXXXX0kxoxxk' ':;.,;.,;,'. .  
;NNNKKKKkOk0XXNNXOol:;:oddlcxxOK: .'. .:'. .  
dNXWWNK0kxdOxdxxdddlldxxdlloxk0Kx 'kddoc,.....' .  
;kWMdxWMMWWNXKkxdoloodxxdddddodddONNOxxxxNWWWXOo:'.':'. .  
;kWMMXl:xWMMWWNNX00Okxddolc:;,,,;:lxoMWNWWWMMWWWWNNNKxc:;,,,,'. .  
. :ok0NMMMMM0;ccdXMMWWNX0xolc:;,,,;:coxko:MMNNWWWMMWWMMWWX0Oxolcc:;,,,;:'.  
kXWWWMMMMMM0',ll0NMMWWNKOkxddddk00KN01:MMWWWWNNNNWWWMMWWWWMMWWNNXKOOO0K0kd  
WWWMMMMMMMN;,:ollx0XWWWNNNNWWWMMKlc';MMWWMMWWWWMMWWWWMMMMMMMMWWN0  
WWWMMMMMMMl;,:lll00XWWWMMWWWWWW0dd:MMMMWWMMWWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM  
WWWMMMMMMMX,,,';llld00KXNWWWMMNNkxdc..lMMMMWWWWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM  
WWWMMMMMMM0.,;,'clcl000KXNNMMNNkxdl'.lMMMMWWWWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM

~~~~~

==> LISTEN UP, MR. OBAMA: We will relentlessly fight for freedom! <==

#####

## T A B L E       O F       C O N T E N T S

#####

3 INTRODUCTION

4 CORRECTED EDWARD SNOWDEN POSTER

5 ISSUE 1  
The Deep Web & A Libertarian Manifesto

25 ISSUE 2  
Deep Web: A Documentary

49 ISSUE 3  
The Liberty Dollar: Commerce or Counterfeit?

73 ISSUE 4  
Rachel Dolezal: An Issue As Clear As Black and White?

97 ISSUE 5  
Special Report on June 26, 2015: The Day SCOTUS Put An End to Liberty

133 ISSUE 6  
Defense Distributed: De-Regulating Guns With Technology

157 ISSUE 7  
Planned Parenthood: America's Holocaust

185 ISSUE 8  
Ashley Madison's Secret Is Out

213 ISSUE 9  
Negativland: The Weirdest Band In the WWW (Whole Wide World)

241 ISSUE 10  
I Love A La Carte: An Idea Whose Time Has Come

273 ISSUE 10A  
A Cursive Alphabet

283 ISSUE 11  
Bernie Sanders: Meet The Statist Candidate For President

315 ISSUE 12 revision 1  
The 40-Page Christmas Spectacular

355 ISSUE 0 v1

358 ISSUE 0 v2

~~~~~

- By Synonymous 1 -

## I N T R O D U C T I O N

~~~~~

LiberTORian is a great experiment designed to test two hypotheses: 1) Can a retro-style eZine work in the 21st century? and 2) Will a libertarian-themed production thrive in the deep web? The answer, after

twelve issues of testing, is, "yes", but only because of those whom I fondly refer to as "Dear Readers". The response from the audience was unanimously positive. The negative comments have been very minor, not that I would have minded more. I prefer that people be up front. I can not thank you enough.

The control group in this experiment is libertarian but come from many different viewpoints. We have various ways of seeing the world and may not agree on everything, but we understand that people are works in progress. Even if we don't agree, we find that there's more in common than we don't. In essence, we know that despite our differences, we're seeking maximum freedom for all. We just have to work out the internal conflicts that arise the best we can.

I'm sure there were statisticians who read, but they didn't waste their time arguing. One of the conclusions I arrived at is that even the deep web's statisticians are libertarian in the sense that they live and let live. Those on the deep web just avoid what they don't like.

Okay, it's not really an experiment. The experiment a metaphor. But I did enter the deep web with a lot of curiosity and very little knowledge of security and protecting online privacy. I was a newcomer to the deep web and it was all exciting and scary for a while. And LiberTORian started not only as "a retro eZine covering topics regarding individual liberty" (how I describe it to links pages), but an introduction to the web the way it looked as I saw it- as a newcomer. A noob to onionland should be comfortable after reading through the first twelve issues. At least, I hope.

It's been an interesting history, however brief. I first used Tor browser before knowing it was a tool to explore the deep web, a term I never heard until April 2015. A month later, I found my first libertarian onion site, Alpha 7 Bravo blog. By the end of May I began writing LiberTORian and the first issue posted on the defunct Slamspeech pastebin in June. Before the month was over, LiberTORian had its own onion site, thanks to a kind user. It lasted about 100 days and received 27000 hits, hosting the first 7 issues. Issue 1 was translated into Spanish by a team on underchan (which seems to have gone down in the last few weeks.) LiberTORian began to proliferate in the Spanish community, and a forum thread on a clearnet site dedicated to the Rand/Ron Paul wing of libertarians.

Between issues 8 and 11 the magazine was circulated on deep web pastebins. But, as 2016 begins, a new site is up, LiberTORian 2.0. The discovery process is still ongoing. I've been interested in I2P and Freenet, though my friends and I still haven't successfully visited these realms.

This first compendium reprints the first twelve issues of LiberTORian. You can see the progression from its awkward beginning to its current state. And for taking the journey with LiberTORian, dear reader, Thank you. ((L))

PS: One last thing: For the first 7 issues, I misnamed Edward Snowden, "Eric Snowden. A poster with the wrong name was published in issue 3. As a bonus, the next page is a new poster with the correct name, suitable for framing. ((L))






~~~~~

T A B L E     O F     C O N T E N T S

~~~~~

ACQUIRING RELAY	3
Welcome... or not!	
BLURBZ	4
A Libertarian Manifesto	
QUOTABLE QUOTES	4
TODAY'S DEEP LINK	5
SMS For Tor	
ARTICLE	
Write a Guest Article	5
ARTICLE	6
WHO ? AM ? I ?	
FEATURE	7
The Nolan Chart: A Short Introduction	
CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY	15
The Scariest Number in the World	
FEATURE	18
Eric Snowden: Hero or Criminal?	
ARTICLE	19
How To Be a Liber-TOR-ian	
EXTRANEIOUS	
Traitors! The Electronic Frontier Foundation	19
DNS LOOKUP FAILED	20
GLOSSARY	20



LiberTORian Volume 1, Issue 1 is a publication of Synonymous 1.  
Published May, 2015.  
LiberTORian is released on an irregular basis.  
N(c) 2015. Readers are freely welcome to copy and redistribute this text by  
any means possible, preferable by photocopying.

#####

## A C Q U I R I N G      R E L A Y

#####

WELCOME..... OR NOT!

LibertORian is a freedom-minded publication for deep web users. You may have noticed something unusual about this: it's not a blog with video links everywhere. It's not hosted on a web site with Javascript. The latter decision is so that you have to worry about potential Tor exploits.

In these days where Big Brother is indeed watching us, privacy of electronic communications is increasingly important to concerned citizens everywhere. Curiously, the hidden internet has its share of resources for those who are interested in protecting their right to privacy, but it is buried beneath a homogeneous mix of digital destinations that have little to do with libertarian values.

LibertORian magazine is designed to be a destination for all types of thought. Still, this may not be for everyone. You may not be interested in LibertORian if you:

- o Wear Guy Fawkes masks
- o Don't like Jews
- o Think Karl Marx is cool
- o Think that the Benghazi attack was a protest over a video
- o Think that fire doesn't melt steel, although that's how steel is made

As a libertarian, I'm totally fine if you read this and are from one of the above groups. Just be cool and respect opposing points of view. We don't need another person who goes around saying, "all information should be free!!!!!!!!!" and then turns around and hacks web sites which convey messages they don't like. What the heck do you call that?

- By Synonymous 1 -

LibertORian is dedicated primarily with issues regarding the right to privacy and expression with no fear of reprimand from government, technologies that enable private communications, and the libertarian philosophy and issues in general. This magazine welcomes opposing points of view, even if the publishers disagree. This doesn't necessarily mean that what you say will be shared on this forum. Free speech doesn't mean I can't control over my publication. If you don't like it, make your own magazine!

That's the beauty of Libertarianism. In my excursions in the deep web, I came across much that I don't like. The vast majority, in fact. Some of it is downright repugnant. Well I'm not going there and telling you to adhere to what I want. I just went and did it myself. So can you!

One other thing: I was born in the United States. And while my government has betrayed its founding principles, it's those running it and not the country itself, that I lost respect for. And if you are from outside the U.S.A., and hate America or its troops, go tell someone else. Whatever you think of America and the U.S. Military, without them you would be less free, regardless of where you live.

HAPPY READING!

- Synonymous 1

~~~~~

## B L U R B Z

- By Synonymous 1 -

~~~~~

### A LIBERTARIAN MANIFESTO

Matt Kibbe is a fellow libertarian who wrote a book on the subject. Revolutionaries are always into expressing themselves in some sort mission statement. Well, in a time where young people worldwide are thrilled with surrendering their freedoms for government control, the very notion of individual liberty is itself revolutionary! And so, in his new book, "Don't Hurt People and Don't Take Their Stuff", Matt Kibbe sums up everything succinctly in the jacket text:

"Don't Hurt People and Don't Take Their Stuff." Simple and straightforward, that's liberty in a nutshell--no assembly required.

And yet it seems like, more and more, the decisions Washington makes about what to do for us, or to us, or even against us, are having an increasingly adverse impact on our lives. Young people can't find jobs, millions of Americans are losing the health care plans they were promised they could keep, and every one of us is somehow being targeted, monitored, snooped on, conscripted, induced, taxed, subsidized or otherwise manipulated by someone else's agenda, based on someone else's decisions made in some secret meeting or closed-door legislative deal.

What gives?

Our government is out of control. But setting things right again requires that you step up and take your freedom back.

It's that simple. Matt Kibbe strikes the heart of the matter, because

there really isn't that much more to it. Actually there is; it is truly the most diverse of all political or social vantage points. Yet its core's essentially the same: "Don't hurt people, and don't take their stuff." Perhaps we could consider Matt Kibbe's aforementioned text as the official manifesto (for now, as it mentions some issues that are current now but may not be in the future) and the simple statement, "Don't hurt people, and don't take their stuff," as its concise form.

So let's make this our motto. I sure like it better than anything from John Lennon's "Imagine". If we envision a world where no one hurt anyone else and no one took The property of others, wouldn't it be a much better world?

You may say I'm just a dreamer  
But if no one took my stuff  
And if no one hurt each other  
The world would be good enough!

(Oh yeah. I'm to the Beatles  
what Charlie Hebdo is to Mohammed.)

~~~~~

### Q U O T A B L E      Q U O T E S

~~~~~

"...Live and let live. Mostly  
'live'".

-Archie Bunker,  
"All In The Family"



~~~~~

T O D A Y ' S      D E E P      L I N K

~~~~~

SMS For Tor

URL: sms4tor3vcr2geip.onion

Don't you have writing an email to someone and saying something like, "Just between me, you, and the NSA..."? What business do they have sticking their noses in our private messages? Well, now you can bypass the government middleman with SMS for Tor (A.K.A. SMS4Tor).

What I like about SMS for Tor:

- o For an onion link, it is easy to memorize; it even has VCR in its name!
- o The link works! You know how nice that is in the post-2013 deep web.
- o It's anonymous, encrypted, and out of the scope of the NSA.

The SMS in SMS for Tor in this case stands for SECURE MESSAGING SYSTEM. It proclaims itself as offering "Anonymous encrypted messages that self-destruct when read."

Sending a message is executed in 3 simple steps:

- o Create a note; get an encryption key URL
- o Send the URL to a single person who can use it to read your note
- o The note will self-destruct the first time the key URL is accessed successfully.

It's quite simple, and therein lies its elegance. Just make sure you do not lose the URL or hope that your computer doesn't crash while your message is being processed, or it will hang like an election 2000 chad forever!

- By Synonymous 1 -

The site has been used to process over 150 thousand messages, all of them encrypted and away from the prying eyes of the NSA.

~~~~~

WRITE A GUEST ARTICLE

~~~~~

Do I have to write everything here?

At least this time I do.

Maybe next time, too.

But one day, God-willing, I will have a TorMail or other private Email address. When that time gets here, you can write a guest article For LiberTORian. If I like it, maybe it will wind up in a future issue! You can send a message via SMS for Tor. The only problem is, I don't have a way for you to reach me yet. So even if you encrypt a message, there's no way to get the key URL to me... yet!

So please read future issues... you know I'll need your help! After all, it's obvious that it won't be long before everyone gets sick of whatever I have to say!

In the meantime, write a guest article and tuck it away safely until it can one day grow up and Grace the pages of LiberTORian.

UPDATE: I HAVE AN EMAIL ADDRESS IN THE DEEP WEB NOW!

IT IS  
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

Can't wait to hear from yuh!

~~~~~

W H O ? A M ? I ?

~~~~~

I am a man. Or not.

I could be anybody. Maybe I'm the boy who bags your groceries at the supermarket.

Or maybe I'm the guy you see at the back of the bus, who's always talking out loud.

Maybe I'm that lady who lives alone.

Except for the nine cats.

Maybe I'm someone you can see on TV, like Dinesh D'Souza. And this is where I moonlight.

Maybe I'm someone who looks innocent to you, but in secret, I do all sorts of nasty things to animals to satisfy some kind of sick pleasure.

Maybe I'm a disgruntled politician who has become disillusioned by the corruption in Washington.

Maybe I'm working for President Obama, but secretly documenting all his corruption and criminal activity from the inside, while I pretend to be his ally.

Maybe I'm someone you work with.

Maybe I'm just a tired mom, who only wants the government to leave me alone. And this is how I express myself.

Maybe I'm all of these people, or some, or none.

It doesn't matter, does it?

Because I am not special.

I'm an ordinary person. That's it.

And I care enough about my personal

- By Synonymous 1 -

freedom, and that of my fellow citizens, and my children after me and their fellow citizens.

And I care enough that I will speak up about it.

And I am wary of the persecution of citizens for criticizing Obama and his policies and violations of the Constitution. And I'm wise enough to know that there will be direct attacks on me for doing so.

For there is one thing about me that is certain. It has happened to me before. And many others like me. We have been personally attacked for espousing the truth.

Our character can be assassinated. But it won't change the truth. And it is futile to take one person out.

I am Synonymous 1. But somewhere Out there is a Synonymous 2. And Synonymous 3. And many more. Too many to effectively assign numbers to.

Notice the name "Synonymous". We are not "anonymous", the group. We may agree in principle with them at times, but we abhor their methods.

We are not "Legion", which is a name for Satan. We're not here to destroy. We're here to expose the Light of Truth in dark places.

There is no network. We are not a collection. We are individuals with a common uniting cause: maximum liberty. We will defend it. We will protect it. We will stand defiant when they attack us. And we will prevail over the dark forces that wish to snuff out basic freedoms. That is who we are. That is who I am.

T H E            N O L A N            C H A R T :

# A SHORT INTRODUCTION

[illegible]

Developed in the late 1960s, the Nolan test is also known as the "shortest political test ever devised". The test gauges one's political standing on the Nolan Chart. It's uncanny in its accuracy, especially in the face of its imitators. But what exactly the Nolan test's deal and how does it work? LibertORian's guide will answer all these questions and more.

The Nolan Chart was developed by David Nolan, a sociologist and libertarian. He realized that the one-dimensional "Left-Right" scale was inadequate to paint a political affiliation. There was too much diversity in value systems among people in the left, right, or center. People of varied affiliations often have shared areas of agreement. He sought to find a better classification system.

David H. Nolan.

The up-down scale represents individual liberty. The higher one appears on the Nolan scale, the more they stand for individual liberty. The lower one appears on the chart, the more the person believes that government should have control over individuals.

David Nolan divided the Nolan chart into five regions. At the top is "Libertarian", who believe in maximum liberty. David Nolan himself was a libertarian. At the very opposite end - the bottom - is "Statist". Statists believe in minimum personal liberty. The left and right sides are "Liberal" and "Conservative", respectively. As one can see on the chart, these two designations are somewhere in the middle of the chart, for believers in either philosophy believe in a form of government enforcement of their systems. Conservatives, for instance, believe in law and order, and some government is required to enforce it. Liberals believe in higher taxation, and a large bureaucracy is required to collect and properly distribute it.

In the center is "moderate", people whose views are one way on some issues and different on others that are similar.

Each region is broad enough to hold a wide range of ideas. The libertarian area, for instance, has a pinnacle at the top, advocating no government at all. These extreme libertarians are "anarchists". As one slides down the right slope, they remain libertarian but do believe in just enough government to enforce the law. These are referred to as "Constitutional Conservative". The mirror image is the "Constitutional Liberal". They may embrace things like marriage for homosexuals and other social freedoms not accepted by conservatives, but they both agree in the principles of limited government.

A wide range exists in between

Constitutional liberal and Constitutional conservative. The same applies for the other four areas. The image of the Nolan chart at the beginning of this article portrays the author's position on the Nolan Chart. The chart itself provides the onlooker an instant visual reference that describes a person clearly.

#### THE NOLAN TEST

To find one's position on the Nolan Chart, one takes the 10-question Nolan Test. Marshall Fritz in the late 1980s wrote the test, which he billed, "World's smallest political quiz". Surprisingly, the ten questions are very accurate at gauging one's political affiliation. They were carefully chosen and were subject to heavy testing, and there are often slight changes to accommodate changing social issues.

#### IMPOSTERS

A British imposter of the Nolan Test is the Political Compass test. It attempts to be more comprehensive by asking a larger range of questions on a wide variety of topics. But, The system is highly arbitrary. The system used to measure one's position on the chart is unknown, and the identities of the test's creators are unknown. Nor is it known what, if any, sociology or science credentials they had, or if the test was tested.

One thing is certain: Something is seriously flawed when a libertarian such as myself falls to the left of where the test's creators claim Barack Obama stands! He's considered right-wing in the test, which should raise concerns outright. The problem with the Political Compass test is that it is based on the European system of left and right, which is based on WWII era designations. In That time, there was no prevailing libertarian thought, and what was considered "left" and "right" were

communism and fascism, respectively. Both of these systems were forms of socialism, which are both located as "statism" on the Nolan Chart. The whole political spectrum at the time could be expressed on one quadrant of the Nolan Chart!

Someone who later comes along like Margaret Thatcher is an anomaly, who would appear "moderate", because her conservatism is far more libertarian than socialism in any form, and libertarianism is rather centrally located on the Nolan Chart.

Another chart, that is similar to the Nolan test, but designed to measure political coordinates of entire cultures, is the Inglehart-Welzel chart. This chart measures social scores as "traditional values" versus "secular values". "traditional values" represents individual freedom, while "secular values" represents group freedoms (at the expense of individual freedoms.) The lower the up-down score, the more the society values individual freedoms. The left and right are defined with "self-expression values" and "survival values", the latter being poorly defined. The chart itself may be accurate, but the creators' placement of some nations is patently absurd: Canada charts higher than the United States in self-expression values. Canada, a nation that labels quoting the Bible in public a "hate crime", and whose government censors critics of Islamic terrorists? Australia is also strangely higher than America. In both countries, self-expression is not as free as it is in America, and one must wonder what criteria was used, or if the authors of the study used a dart board or pulled the stated positions out of their rear ends. Some of the category groupings are unusual as well: respect for human life and blind patriotism are together as "Traditional values".

## HOW YOU CAN TAKE THE NOLAN TEST

Here are the ten questions of the current Nolan Test. Answer each one honestly, and choose the closest answer if yours is not provided. Another thing you can do to prepare is to cut a piece of graph paper into a 20 by 20 square square.

### QUESTION 1:

What is your view on speech, press, assembly, internet and property rights?

- A. Government should not restrict speech, press, internet, or media. The rights of free citizens who don't violate the rights of others must be respected and protected at all times. Exercise of eminent domain should be extremely limited and its use avoided whenever possible. Property rights and private property should be protected at all times.
- B. Speech, press, internet and media should be free except when it comes to protecting against terror and other threats against public safety. Free speech zones can be established to protect the right of free speech while ensuring security at public events. Eminent domain should be maintained in practice, but it should not be available merely as a means to enrich private developers via enforced land transfers.
- C. Speech, assembly, press, and internet should be free except when controversies such as global warming have already been settled and legitimate public policies would be undermined by its few remaining detractors. Property rights should be subject to the needs of the government but otherwise should be respected.
- D. Government should regulate speech, press, media, Internet, and property rights at its own discretion within reason as needed to meet all of government's

many obligations.

QUESTION 2:

What is your view on guns?

- A. The Second Amendment only applies to "militias" (such as the National Guard), and thus there is no specific protection afforded by it to individuals. It should be up to the government to decide the degree to which guns should be regulated for the public good.
- B. The Second Amendment to the Constitution clearly protects the right of all individuals to bear arms. Government regulation of guns is a violation of the Second Amendment. Having the right to self-defense is meaningless without also having the means to defend yourself. An armed society is a peaceful society, is the best defense against criminals, and serves as a deterrent against government tyranny. Gun control has encouraged society to become lax and negligent in teaching and training on the safe handling and maintenance of weapons by individuals.
- C. In general, I support the right to bear arms. However, it is prudent to have government regulate arms via registration requirements and other regulations to ensure that mentally unstable people can't get guns and go on shooting rampages.
- D. Gun control is essential and must continually be made stronger if we're ever going to reduce and hopefully eliminate gun violence in this country.

QUESTION 3:

What is your view on homosexual marriage?

- A. Gay rights should be supported by passing laws which protect gay marriage, including civil statute alternatives to gay marriage. All government benefits that hetero-

sexual couples enjoy should also be granted to gay couples.

- B. The government should pass laws that favor whatever view the majority of the population supports regarding homosexual relationships, including homosexual marriage.
- C. There should be no laws regarding either heterosexual or homosexual relationships among consenting adults. Marriage of any kind is a private, contractual matter between free, private individuals that should not be regulated.
- D. Homosexuality is an abomination and is banned by the Bible. Gay marriage should be illegal in all cases.

QUESTION 4:

What is your view on U.S. foreign policy?

- A. A strong defense requires playing an active, interventionist role in world affairs. As the last remaining superpower, we have a moral duty to police the world at any cost, or else we will surely pay the ultimate price. If we don't militarily wipe out terrorism, the terrorists will wipe us out. We must resolve to win no matter how long it takes. It's better to strike now than to pay later for our inaction.
- B. America should play an active role in world affairs. We need to move toward more world government, particularly when it comes to issues such as global warming. The war on terror should rely heavily on diplomatic action. Military intervention should be used when there is a threat to our sovereignty, but this position can and should be reversed whenever public opinion turns against it.
- C. The role of our government, and the role of the United Nations,

should be constantly expanded to help ensure that all of the world moves toward democracy. Complaints that government is too big already are simply counter-productive and should be ignored.

- D. Peace, commerce and honest friendship with all nations; entangling alliances with none, said Thomas Jefferson in his 1st inaugural address. America's interventionist policies over the past 100+ years have done little to reduce international instability, have led us into an endless series of wars, and have cost us dearly in American lives and money. The best defense of our borders is to defend our rights and liberty, not to sacrifice them while constantly growing our gigantic military, led by a parade of Presidents who repeatedly stick our nose into other countries' affairs.

QUESTION 5:

What is your view on a national ID card?

- A. There should be no national ID card. Period. The issuance of required National IDs controlled via interconnected databases will effectively end all privacy in this country.
- B. We need a national ID card in order to prevent events like the attacks of 9/11 but without intruding too far into the personal privacy of the innocent.
- C. National ID cards should be permitted but greatly restricted in scope because the potential for danger to personal liberty is present.
- D. I'm not at all concerned about having a national ID card. Only the guilty need to worry.

QUESTION 6:

What is your view on corporate welfare?

- A. The government has a duty to ensure that all individuals have food, clothing, and shelter and should also invest in private industry whenever it deems such investment to be in the public interest.
- B. End "corporate welfare". No government handouts to business.
- C. Government involvement is necessary where private industry can't do the job all by itself. As an example, agricultural subsidies should continue to support small farmers.
- D. Corporate welfare should be eliminated for big business, but the social safety net for individuals should be retained.

QUESTION 7:

What is your view on trade and money?

- A. Trade that isn't fair isn't free. Fair trade practices should be enforced as needed to ensure free trade while maintaining reasonably open borders. The Fed's policies should be revised to help the poor rather than the rich.
- B. The government should involve itself in the regulation of trade as needed to ensure a healthy economy at all times. The Federal Reserve system has made our money supply the most stable in all history.
- C. End government barriers to international free trade. The regulation of trade tends enrich elected interest groups and industry captains at the expense of everyone else. We must move away from the inflationary approach of the Federal Reserve by re-adopting a hard money approach and dissolving the Federal Reserve system. Ever wonder why prices of everything (including real estate) keep going up over time? The Federal Reserve



system is the culprit. President Woodrow Wilson, who signed the Federal Reserve Act into law regretted his decision 3 years later saying, "I am a most unhappy man. I have unwittingly ruined my country."

- D. Trade should be free in general, but it should be controlled as needed to ensure that our borders are protected against outside threats. Monetary policy under the Fed has generally been good for our economy. Hard money can't keep up with a modern economy.

QUESTION 8:

What is your view on Social Security?

- A. Social Security should be maintained and protected by the government, although we do need to offer individuals an alternative government-managed solution if they so choose.
- B. Social Security is a vital part of the social safety net and should be properly funded and protected at all times. If necessary, make big business and the rich pay for any shortfalls.
- C. Government has an obligation to provide for people in their twilight years, and Social security is a proper expression of governmental control. The system is sound and does not need anything more than minor adjustments from time to time.
- D. Let people control their own retirement and they'll retire richer and better off. The Social Security system is already bankrupt, despite what the politicians and bureaucrats keep telling us. Allow individuals to choose for themselves whether to opt out of the Social Security system. If we force everyone to remain in its pyramid scheme, the end result will be disastrous. We must act now before Social

Security becomes completely insolvent.

QUESTION 9:

What is your view on health care?

- A. Government regulation of health care is the main cause of the health care industry's upward spiraling costs. The FDA, EPA, Medicare, and a host of other bureaucracies have created mountains of regulations that have led to the deaths of thousands and even millions of people who were denied needed treatments and resources. The cost of creating new treatments is also out of control because of this regulation. The only way to make health care affordable again is to get government out of the health care business.
- B. Health care costs are spiraling primarily due to lawsuits. We need to place caps on these suits while avoiding socialized medicine. Where possible, we should reduce regulation to save money. The rising cost of health care is primarily the fault of big government politicians and Lobbying groups.
- C. Government regulation has gone a long way toward making health care universally accessible and safe, but there's a long way to go. We need universal health insurance to ensure all Americans are adequately protected.
- D. Private enterprise has failed to deliver satisfactory health care. Government's role is clear: fix the problem.

QUESTION 10:

What is your view on taxes, spending, and the national debt?

- A. There should be no limits placed on the ability of government to raise sufficient revenue to do all the jobs government should be doing better, as expressed via

majority rule.

- B. Cut taxes and government spending by 50% or more. This will have an incredibly positive impact on the economy starting at its very lowest and smallest levels. The national debt must be paid down rather than endlessly increased, or we'll soon face national bankruptcy.
- C. The budget should be balanced and fully funded at all times, rather than actually cutting spending on a permanent basis. As needed, the national debt should be expanded to ensure that there is sufficient funding for government operation and military growth needs. Occasional, temporary tax cuts should be offered in token amounts to keep the taxpayers mollified.
- D. We should be emphasizing the good that government can do to help people without getting all wrapped up in the costs involved. By spreading the load, such good can be spread out fairly and evenly. Progressive taxation helps ensure that the rich don't live at the expense of the poor. However, we want to make sure we don't place too much of a burden on the middle class.

That wasn't so hard now, was it? The next thing is to examine the results. For each answer you chose, jot down the appropriate letter in a string of letters. For instance, one person who took the test wrote:

U U R U R U U U R U

ANSWER GRID:

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10

A U D L R U D L R U D  
B R U D L R U D L R U  
C L R U D L R U D L R  
D D L R U D L R U D L

Here's longhand to make it clearer:

Questions 1, 5, and 9

A: U  
B: R  
C: L  
D: D

Questions 2, 6, and 10:

A: D  
B: U  
C: R  
D: L

Questions 3 and 7:

A: L  
B: D  
C: U  
D: R

Questions 4 and 8:

A: R  
B: L  
C: D  
D: U

Now that you have your results, take a pencil onto the graph diamond you cut out. Place it in the exact center of the grid. For every U, D, L and R in your letter string, move one space up, down, left or right, respectively. Match your ending Position to the chart on page 7. Voila! You're a libertarian (or something else)!

The image on the next page is presented to you to find your position without graph paper. Instructions are just as simple:


- 1) Start at the X.
- 2) For each U, go up one space.  
For each D, go down one space.
- 3) For each L, go left one space.
- 4) For each R, go right one space.

Match the symbol you wound up on to the key to the upper left of the chart. That is your affiliation!

The closer to a corner you are, the more intense your view!

The closer to a border you are, the closer you lean to the faction you are near.

# YOUR NOLAN CHART



~~~~~

CLASSIC TXT FILE OF THE DAY!

- By Synonymous 1 -

~~~~~

Text files are straightforward. One character per byte. A universally accepted ANSI unicode character set. It was a conscious decision to publish LiberTORian as a text file. Part of it is nostalgia. Part of it is a desire to keep everything absolutely clear. And still part of it is to provide a file that is available to, literally, anyone with a computer, no matter how ancient.

In the halcyon days of dial-up BBS services, the text file was the standard mode of mass communication. People would share information in these private networks, that existed before there was a World Wide Web. These were completely private networks, before the NSA monitored all our phone calls and internet usage. It is the late 1970s and 1980s equivalent to the deep web.

Naturally, the lack of regulation attracted the same unsavory types that populate the hidden internet today. Instead of distributing cracked versions of PhotoShop, it was old DOS games such as "The President Is Missing" and the "Zork" trilogy. ASCII art was considered image sharing. The same people who hated the rich then are still with us today, along with those with manuals to build bombs.

Hacking was much less complex, too. But they were just as resourceful as today. And there was the 1980s subset, the "phreakers". In those days there was a charge for long distance calls. Fon Phreakers were able to manipulate the phone company with secret numbers, DIY technology, and other methods to make free long distance or pay phone calls. Our Text file of the week is a recount

of an experience from a phone phreaker, entitled, "The Scariest Number in the World". Travel back in time and look at the world through the eyes of the author, as if you're there in his body. Here is the original text file in its 80 column glory, from the popular fon phreak magazine, "2600":

dontcall.phk

#####

```
*****
*
*                               The Scariest Number in the World                               *
*                               [2600 -- December 1984]                                       *
*                                                                                               *
*****
```

Recently, a telephone fanatic in the northwest made an interesting discovery. He was exploring the 804 area code (Virginia) and found out that the 840 exchange did something strange. In the vast majority of cases, in fact in all of the cases except one, he would get a recording as if the exchange didn't exist. However, if he dialed 804-840 and four rather predictable numbers, he got a ring!

After one or two rings, somebody picked up. Being experienced at this kind of thing, he could tell that the call didn't "supe", that is, no charges were being incurred for calling this number. (Calls that get you to an error message, or a special operator, generally don't supervise.) A female voice, with a hint of a Southern accent said, "Operator, can I help you?"

"Yes," he said. "What number have I reached?"

"What number did you dial, sir?"

He made up a number that was similar.

"I'm sorry that is not the number you reached." Click.

He was fascinated. What in the world was this? He knew he was going to call back, but before he did, he tried some more experiments. He tried the 840 exchange in several other area codes. In some, it came up as a valid exchange. In others, exactly the same thing happened -- the same last four digits, the same Southern belle. Oddly enough, he later noticed, the areas worked in seemed to travel in a beeline from Washington DC to Pittsburgh, PA.

He called back from a payphone. "Operator, can I help you?"

"Yes, this is the phone company. I'm testing this line and we don't seem to have an identification on your circuit. What office is this, please?"

"What number are you trying to reach?"

"I'm not trying to reach any number. I'm trying to identify this circuit."

"I'm sorry, I can't help you."

"Ma'am, if I don't get an ID on this line, I'll have to disconnect it. We show no record of it here."

"Hold on a moment, sir."

After about a minute, she came back. "Sir, I can have someone speak to you. Would you give me your number, please?"

He had anticipated this and he had the payphone number ready. After he gave it, she said, "Mr. XXX will get right back to you."

"Thanks." He hung up the phone. It rang. INSTANTLY! "Oh my God," he thought, "They weren't asking for my number -- they were confirming it!"

"Hello," he said, trying to sound authoritative.

"This is Mr. XXX. Did you just make an inquiry to my office concerning a phone number?"

"Yes. I need an identi--"

"What you need is advice. Don't ever call that number again. Forget you ever knew it."

At this point our friend got so nervous he just hung up. He expected to hear the phone ring again but it didn't.

Over the next few days he racked his brains trying to figure out what the number was. He knew it was something big -- that was pretty certain at this point. It was so big that the number was programmed into every central office in the country. He knew this because if he tried to dial any other number in the exchange, he'd get a local error message from his CO, as if the exchange didn't exist.

It finally came to him. He had an uncle who worked in a federal agency. He had a feeling that this was government related and if it was, his uncle could probably find out what it was. He asked the next day and his uncle promised to look into the matter.

The next time he saw his uncle, he noticed a big change in his manner. He was trembling. "Where did you get that number?!" he shouted. "Do you know I almost got fired for asking about it?!? They kept wanting to know where I got it."

Our friend couldn't contain his excitement. "What is it?" he pleaded. "What's the number?!"

"IT'S THE PRESIDENT'S BOMB SHELTER!"

He never called the number after that. He knew that he could probably cause

quite a bit of excitement by calling the number and saying something like, "The weather's not good in Washington. We're coming over for a visit." But our friend was smart. he knew that there were some things that were better off unsaid and undone. <>

SF] [G2:ba003.021785

Courtesy of BIOC Agent 003, 2600 Magazine, & Sherwood Forest ][(  
(914) 359-1517

-----End of File

~~~~~

ERIC SNOWDEN: HERO OR CRIMINAL?

- By Synonymous 1 -

~~~~~

We all know the story of Eric Snowden- an NSA insider who saw the Constitutional violations on privacy that even the ACLU turned a blind eye to, because it happened to be a president they liked running things.

And he had access to many of the documents that proved what they were up to. He also was restricted from other files, but he knew how to get them.

And he did.

And he leaked them on the internet.

Did he break the law? Why, yes.

Did he violate the Constitution?  
No, he didn't.

Did the NSA break the law? There is no doubt, and we owe it to Eric Snowden.

Did the NSA violate the U.S. Constitution? You bet your sweet bippy. Whatever that means. Just what is a bippy? Maybe the cast of "Laugh-In" could've clued us in.

Anyway, The NSA knowingly did what they did. So did Snowden. But the administration running the country like a mob family. And Snowden's biggest crime was being a whistleblower.

Now from the point of view of the mob, such a person is a "rat". I for one don't care a whit about the "omerta" and this supposed code of honor amongst crooks. Snowden is Not a rat, he's a whistleblower. He did break into files and, yes, that makes him a criminal. I do believe that he should face justice. On the other hand, this administration has a notorious track record of injustice, and instead of a fair trial, Snowden will be persecuted for crossing Obama's path.

It's ironic that a place like Russia, not known for either freedom or justice, granted asylum to Eric Snowden as a political refugee. Russia, protecting an American from persecution from its own government? Things have really changed since the 1980s.

If I understand correctly, Eric Snowden was cautious with the Information he released. Unlike WikiLeaks, he made sure that the information that indicts the Obama crime syndicate didn't expose sensitive information that exposed our troops fighting the already lost War on Terror.

So, the answer is twofold. Snowden is a criminal. He broke the law. But the laws he broke were made

to protect the guilty. The crimes he exposed were far more grievous. The U.S. Constitution is the highest authority in the land. While I don't advocate violating the law to uphold the law, without Snowden's whistleblowing, we wouldn't be able to prove the NSA phone scandal. Some of the information he did have access to legally. I don't know what information was available at his security level. All I know is the second part of the question: Eric Snowden is a hero. He was an average person working for his government. While there, he saw that the very government he worked for, which swore to uphold the Constitution, was acting above the law to retain its own power. He has a problem with it. And so he told the truth about people who betray the truth without blinking an eye. So, yes, he is a hero too. And if I had to choose only one, I would say that Eric Snowden is, in fact, a hero.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### E X T R A N E O U S

\*\*\*\*\*

#### TRAITORS!

The Electronic Frontier Foundation

There are millions who supported the FCC's recent move to regulate the Internet.

They are all lemmings.

The Electronic Frontier Foundation, which ordinarily advocates for internet privacy, were huge pushers of Net Neutrality. Most of the people who went out to support it had no idea what it really meant.

There are people who hate business. That's fine with me. But many of these people will do anything it takes to punish business... even if it means taking themselves out in the process.

QUESTIONS?  
COMMENTS?  
SUGGESTIONS?  
SAGE ADVICE?  
PRAISE?  
GRIPE?

Contact LiberTORian!!

Send email to:  
synonymous1@qqvbgcu6kohbkxbs.onion

\*\*\*\*\*

#### HOW TO BE A LIBER-TOR-IAN

\*\*\*\*\*

- 1) Don't hurt other people.
- 2) Don't take other people's stuff.
- 3) Use TOR for privacy, not because you're doing anything wrong, but because it's your right.

- By Synonymous 1 -

Comcast is a despicable business. But enabling three power-hungry, unelected statists to grab more power for themselves is not the answer. (two of the five on the FCC board voted against Net Neutrality.)

The FCC now has the power to regulate the internet, including the power to dictate data transfer rates, and to censor speech they don't like. Read FCC chair Mark Lloyd's comments on how glorious it was when Hugo Chavez shut down free speech the same way the FCC just seized the power to do so! It is easy to find the footage.

So thank you, Electronic Frontier Foundation. We are less free now, thanks to you.

\*\*\*\*\*

## D N S    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

\*\*\*\*\*

Well, I wrote this first issue all by my lonesome self. I really was lonely. It's hard to find other libertarians in the deep web.

I thought that people merely concerned with privacy would be easier to find on the Onion relay. Maybe I'm not just looking in the right place. I'm seeking you out. So if you read this and like it, seek me out. TOR has potential to allow freedom-minded people to come together and speak their mind freely. So share your knowledge with other LiberTORian readers. We're looking for:

- o Links
- o Forums
- o Web logs
- o Inside information that exposes government corruption
- o Entertaining stuff
- o Enlightening stuff


Just send an email and fill it with your knowledge!

synonymous1@qqvbgcu6kohbkxbs.onion

Are you up there on clearnet?

Send email to

synonymous1@onionmail.info



\*\*\*\*\*

## G L O S S A R Y

\*\*\*\*\*

For those new to the Deep Web, I'm not far ahead of you. Here are some terms for those of you who Never dove in:

.onion

Pseudo-top-level domain name for deep web sites.

Clearnet

The surface web, and parts of the deep web accessible by traditional web browsers.

Deep Web

Technically, any web site not accessible via conventional search engines. Commonly, used to only describe non-clearnet areas of the Internet.

Mariana's Web

A fictitious name for the deepest recesses of the deep web. Mariana's web's existence supposes that, if the deep web is a hidden "level" of the internet, the deep web itself has its own hidden levels that are are geometrically increasingly difficult to enter. Only the most most skilled and mathematically intelligent computer users allegedly can access Mariana's web. Mariana's Web is named after Mariana's Trench, located in the deepest recesses in the ocean. It doesn't exist.

Onionland

Term for the world of the deep web that is not part of the surface web (or clearnet).

TOR

Acronym for The Onion Relay.

Vidalia

A TOR front end module for use with conventional web browsers.

---- E N D   -   O F   -   F I L E   ----



# LOWE

## M A G A Z I N E

# DEEP

Volume 1

-

Issue 2

-

Summer 2015

DEEP WEB

A movie  
that raised  
public awareness  
of the infamous  
\* SILK ROAD \*  
web site  
also raises  
the issue of  
\* PRIVACY \*  
in the Deep  
web.

BITCOIN: Commerce with 0s and 1s

TODAY'S LINK: Alpha 7 Bravo Blog

Liberal... Or Libertarian?

. ' ' .  
'cdoll'  
'o0ocl:.'  
ckd:;;'.  
'lOOo;;c'.  
'.:oddddk0xodc.  
c00OkxkKN00Nk'  
ckxkKKNKOkkkk:  
'd0Ok0000Okxdko;'.  
'xOkk0K0000xddddxc.  
'cOxdk0Okdoooooxx0x:'.  
'okKNK00K0xlcok00KKKKKc  
'lXWX00KKXXK00KXXK0kdx0x'  
ckdcdcd:;;:d0kkk000KKKKXXxooddooddoc'  
'clc:coodkkko:oOXXXXXK00xccccclloodxd,  
'lclcccoxdoc:lK0000KK0kxdxocccc:ldx0o.  
'...:dxoox0xllllldk0000kk00000dccccllokOKx'.  
'...:dK000Kkold000KKK00xx0K0ko:cdxxOKXx,.  
'...:lxxkolokKN0000000KX0o1c:oddx0KNx,.  
'.:dlodx00KK00KNNNK0dcccxxOKKNx,.  
'lodd:'dXN0kxdodx0xlclood0KXx,.  
'cxx, :0Xx,. :;loco:..lKKx:.  
'kO: :0Xo. :;doo' .ckOo'  
'kNo.lXKc 'dOo. :OO:  
'dNo.lNO, .d0d. .kWo  
'oOo.c00; .dNO' dWk.  
'lOo.'ld; :OO: cK0:  
'lNo ...: 'lxc. ;x0o.  
'lNd. :: ;kx, .:ko.  
'01. ;c'. l0c .oo.  
'kc. ;oc. ;o;. .ll.  
'l01. :oc' ;l; .lo'.  
'.;clxOo,codxkdoolx0o. .:do,.

~~~~~

T A B L E      O F      C O N T E N T S

LibertORian   -   Volume 1, Issue 2

~~~~~

ACQUIRING RELAY:	3
Liberal... or Libertarian?	
BLURBZ	4
The FBI found the Silk Road location by mistyping Captchas?	
MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE	4
TODAY'S DEEP LINK:	5
Alpha 7 Bravo Blog	
ARTICLE	6
Protecting Your Privacy, Part One	
QUOTABLE QUOTES	9
HELP LIBERTORIAN	9
---	
INSTITUTIONALIZED HISTORY CHANNEL LIES	9
Gnostics are Christians? An oxymoron!	
CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY	10
The History of Real K-K00L DOODZ	
#HASHTAG #FOR #LIBERTARIANS	12
FEATURE	13
Deep Web: The Movie	
ARTICLE	15
Why is LibertORian on the Deep Web??	
FEATURE	16
Bitcoin: Commerce with 0s and 1s	
INDEX OF FTP/DOCUMENTS/JOURNAL	19
HARD COPY	21
EXTRANEUOS & MORE EXTRANEIOUS	22
DNS LOOKUP FAILED	24
GLOSSARY	24

LibertORian Volume 1, Issue 2 is a publication of Synonymous 1.  
Published June, 2015. LibertORian is released on an irregular basis.  
N(c) 2015. Readers are freely welcome to copy & redistribute this text by any means possible, preferably by photocopying.

%%

## A C Q U I R I N G       R E L A Y

%%

LIBERAL... OR LIBERTARIAN???

People often ask me, "What is your political affiliation?" I say to them, "Libertarian". It's an honest answer, but I think it confuses many people. One person particularly said, "Wow! I didn't expect that considering the work of yours I've read. The problem with the designation, "libertarian" is that people unaware of the name associate it with "liberal". It raises problems, or at least eyebrows.

Historically, a "libertarian" is a classic liberal. Note the word, "classic". A modern liberal is nothing of the kind. And no matter how often one refers to it, neither does it have anything to do with the dictionary definition, which includes such synonyms as, "open minded", and, "tolerant". A brief history of liberalism show that this is a joke! Once upon a time, like in the 1930s, people like this actually were called "liberals". It was at a time when such thought didn't prevail. The prevailing thought was "progressivism", which sounds pretty nice. Of course, like "The Affordable 'Care' Act", many things that sound nice often do so to be deceptive. Progressivism wasn't just about progress. It was specifically progressing past Constitutional principles. Progressives consider individual freedom as an outmoded concept; they did all they could to strip these principles from life.

The banner of progressivism brought with it eugenics, social Darwinism, Marxism, concentration camps, and a litany of disasters to the United States. They didn't want to change who they were, but had to do something. So they changed their name:

- By Synonymous 1 -

that new name was "liberal".

Nowadays, the word liberal has the same stigma, so liberals do what they're good at. Enough time passed that "progressive" can be used once more. The word is increasingly used by liberals such as candidate Hillary Clinton, even evoking the name of racist/eugenicist Margaret Sanger as the epitomizing figure of her progressive philosophy.


Libertarians were the classic liberals, the counter force to the early progressive movement, and the antithesis to modern liberals, who hijacked their name to appeal to the average, freedom-loving person. Liberals stand for big government and limited individual freedom. Libertarians represent a government only large enough to protect and defend individual liberty.

The last two sentences sums it up:

- o Liberal: limited freedom,  
big government, intolerant
- o Libertarian: classic liberal,  
anti-modern liberal, defends  
freedom and limited government

Sometimes a libertarian will use the term "illiberal" when critical of progressive measures.

So, be proud to call yourself a libertarian (if you are one), but you may need to concisely explain yourself to people whose political understanding is limited strictly to left and right.



%%

B L U R B Z

- By Synonymous 1 -

%%

Libertarians are a diverse bunch. There are a lot of people who are libertarian on virtually all principles, but are hesitant to join the movement, because they think it also means, "and I want to legalize heroin!"

Well, this is one of the areas that prevents me from being a "hardcore" libertarian myself. I'm totally anti-narcotics. But the discourse about the Silk Road investigation, the subject of the movie Deep Web, is not about drugs. It's about whether or not the government violated the law to enforce the law. Shutting down Silk Road is one issue; whether or not the methods used to do so were Constitutional is a much more important one.

It's general knowledge now that a NSA team hacking their way into IP address of Silk Road's servers led to the creator. Initially the FBI claimed that a captcha- you know: those annoying text entry boxes a user must fill out to prove to a web site you're human- was poorly coded and left the server's location exposed. This is testimony of Christopher Tarbell, who provided this information to the defense on request.

It turns out that this was not possible with the way the site's front-end and back-end servers were configured, and the FBI wouldn't elaborate to the request for more info from the defense.

Other computer experts contend that the NSA, and not the FBI, has the ability to track down an encrypted server's IP address. The electronic Frontier Foundation concurs in general.

Whatever your view on the legality of cyber drugs, the issue of the federal government's legality when investigating illicit activities, and their refusal to present their size honestly in legal matters is something that should concern all libertarians, and all fair-minded citizens. ((L))

%%

#### MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE

%%

- 1) America is a democracy.  
FALSE. America is a republic.
- 2) Man-made global warming is real.  
FALSE. New scientific studies prove that the Earth's temperature changes are consistent with those of the past 8000 years.
- 3) Raising taxes generates more revenue to the government.  
FALSE. When taxes are too high, raising them stifles economic growth, resulting in reduced commerce and reduced tax revenue. Lowering taxes creates more taxpayers with more money. When President Reagan decreased the top marginal tax rate from 70% to 28%, tax revenue doubled.
- 4) George W. Bush had a hurricane machine which he pointed at New Orleans and made Katrina because it was a black community.  
FALSE. But 51% of Americans polled in 2007 believed so.
- 5) The Big Bang Theory is natural law of physics.  
FALSE. It was disproved in 2015.

MORE TO COME!!!!

((L))

%%

T O D A Y ' S       D E E P       L I N K

%%

>>      opnju4nyz7wbypme.onion/      <<  
>>      /weblog/index.html      <<

#### ALPHA-7-BRAVO BLOG

I've only recently been diving into the deep web. Since I discovered its existence, I've been using it to seek out fellow libertarians who use the deep web to protect their identities from illegal tracking by the federal government. For a while my searches have been fruitless. I expected to see a lot more of this in the deep web, but it turned out that it was more of the hype that I read about on clearnet- the shady stuff that I wish to avoid.

After a month, I did follow a link that led to the first substantive deep web site I found, excluding basic destinations like the Hidden Wiki and the Duck Duck Go search engine. The site is called Alpha-7-Bravo (A7B) Blog.

Firstly, the web blog host, whom is most likely referred to as A7B, has the ability to write sentences that are grammatically correct and have proper spelling. This is a nice bonus. The second bonus is that he truly sheds light on issues in a rational manner. He is not some conspiracy nut like Alex Jones or Jesse "The Embarrassment" Ventura. His opinions are backed by true facts which pan out when investigated, most of the time.

For instance, one of A7B's most recent posts is on the topic of the United Nation's AGENDA 21. If you read his opinions, one may think he's a kook. Here's an excerpt from the post:

"UN AGENDA 21 IS NOT ABOUT SAVING THE PLANET Have you heard the one about "Think Globally, Act Locally"? It's really not about "saving the planet"... It's about making citizens into slaves.

Take away their private property rights, their rights to self defense, and all of the rights of human beings (especially those in the US Constitution, Bill of Rights, and Declaration of Independence), and replace them with "priveleges" granted by the state. It's a bait and switch on a global scale, and the "planners" want to plan your future for you. I could write a very long diatribe about this, but instead, I'll cut to the chase... Keep in mind, this is not a "conservative issue" or a "liberal issue" but this affects EVERYBODY... "

The article continues to a link to a video. There's a video archive on this site, but the links weren't working at the time. They have since been reinstated, after A7B fixed a bug on the web site.

The research on this is sound. After examining the information on the UN's own web site, everything is confirmed as true. The UN's program is one to make everything in cities and towns environmentally "sustainable". Any aspect of society that is not is eliminated- including people. It's a progressive nightmare as dark as anything that eugenicists thought up.

The site allows viewers to post their comments, but doing so required you to use JavaScript. Be cautious when doing so; it's always safest to keep JavaScript off when using the deep web, as it can be exploited to reveal a person's IP address.

In summary, A7B Blog is a great first destination for libertarians new to the deep web. Note that the site is only updated occasionally. Visit it about once a week to see if there is any new content.



2015, Senator Rand Paul effectively put the Patriot Act to an end. The news is not all good, though. By then the NSA's illegal spying, via Obama's executive order #12333, has expanded into about 10 new programs. It's a lesson to everyone: do not allow illegal activity just because you support the current president or government; it will carry over to the next one, and be more difficult to stop the longer it is enabled.

The burden to protect one's privacy is that of the individual himself. In Part 1, we will discuss privacy in your everyday routine.

- 1) Avoid cards from business that offer rewards.

These cards often give you a maximum of 5% for shopping with them, and often times only select items give you the rewards. The benefits of freeing yourself of this is more than worth the savings. The store records all your transactions and targets you with ads, then sells your profile to other data collecting companies who do the same. So:

- o If you must use one of these cards, provide a false address and phone number. Find ones that the phone company doesn't issue. (often times numbers ending with 99XX are reserved for the phone company. Call the number to be sure.)
- o Supermarkets often carry a dummy card for people without cards, or lost/forgot theirs. If they don't, offer them a fake number that's not in their system. Stores are too busy and want to please the customer, so they'll use the dummy card instead of holding up a line. They may suggest you straighten the number out with customer service. Tell them, "I will as soon as I get a chance," and never get a chance.
- o Memorize your standard fake. Use it whenever you need it.

Companies are too busy to worry about figuring out if the 99XX numbers are reserved for the phone company.

- 2) When eating at Chick Fil-A or a place that will call out your name when your order is ready, use an alternate name. It's always fun to have the clerk shout, "The order for Galactic President Superstar McAweesville is ready!" But a regular name is less conspicuous. "Brad" is just as effective as your name.
- 3) Get a map of your area (I prefer a tangible map to an online service such as MapQuest.) Avoid Google Maps at all costs costs! Mark intersections with traffic light cameras. Some cams aren't all in every direction. For each camera, mark the stretch of road in the intersection where the camera views. Plan your route to work where you avoid them all, or as many as possible. Do this for all your travels. It is inconvenient at first, but it becomes second nature with time and practice.
- 4) If you know someone in local law enforcement, ask if they know how long the digital records of traffic light cameras in your area area or stored, or if they are permanently recorded.
- 5) You can go crazy trying to avoid all cameras. The ones at private businesses aren't accessible by government without a warrant. It is still prudent to be wary of their presence.
- 6) Never provide personal information from telephone solicitors or fake bill collectors. Some scams say you owe money when you don't, and ask for your credit card information. Tell them to send you a bill in the mail for you to pay by check. If they say they can't do that and must take payment by phone, there's no doubt that it is a hoax. A legitimate

company won't pass up a payment by mail. They want it any way!

These few tips are a great starting point for offline privacy. When you are on the internet, things are much more open! The NSA and unscrupulous companies are keeping track of your every move illegally, creating a detailed profile of who you are, and using it against you. You will feel naked if you can see what they know about you. Protecting your self online requires far more work and vigilance. There are simple steps to take for now. More tips will come in future editions of LiberTORian, but if you get started with these, you will be far more secure than you are now.

- 1) Become Google-free. Use your browser to block Google's access to your computer. Google is just a net criminal organization that violates your privacy routinely. They colluded with government in a quid pro quo. Disconnecting from Google includes:
  - o never visiting their web site. You never needed them.
  - o Never hold a gmail account. If you communicate with a person with one, be wary that Google will read your message. Never attach a file in a message to a Gmail user.
  - o Leave YouTube. Since Google acquired it, they've really turned it into trash anyway.
  - o Block Google from accessing your browser. And never use Chrome browser, which is just giving Google a license to know every single online move that you make!
  - o If you have an Android phone, get rid of it now!
  - o Keep up to date on whatever products Google is involved with developing. Avoid them.
- 2) On any smart phone you use, shut off the GPS locator.
- 3) Get this straight: Private mode on your browser is a joke! It

is 100% ineffective at providing any privacy outside your local computer or network.

4. When visiting a web site, be sure the URL reads "https" and not "http". Add an S manually if this is needed. Not all sites are secure, but use secure versions of sites where available.
5. Download and install the TOR browser. You can install it free at <https://torproject.org>. TOR, an acronym for The Onion Router, is a modified Firefox browser that encrypts your information and redirects it through multiple relays, where a new layer of encryption is added with each transfer point. You should be using TOR already if you are a LiberTORian reader! But TOR is not the end-all solution to your online privacy. When using TOR, you should:
  - o avoid any P2P torrent program
  - o shut off JavaScript!
  - o only visit https, and not http versions of web sites
  - o be careful to avoid onion sites engaging in illicit activities (such as selling illegal drugs)
  - o frequently check that TOR is operating properly. The URL: <https://check.torproject.org>
6. Learn about virtual private networks (VPNs), and how to use them. VPNS keep your location private. Several sites offer free VPN availability. I'm going to leave the research on this one to you. Just do an internet search. Oh! Remember not to use Google. For a new search engine, I suggest:
7. Use Duck Duck Go as your search engine. (<https://duckduckgo.com>) Duck Duck Go won't track your IP address.

By changing these habits, you are on the way to becoming more independent from snooping eyes and ears. ((L))



%%

## Q U O T A B L E     Q U O T E S

%%

Our right to privacy is a cornerstone of the American foundation.

-Glenn Beck, 2015 06-01

%%

## H E L P     L I B E R T O R I A N

-----

%%

Here's the situation: LiberTORian is still a newcomer to the deep web. The initial plan is to bring the first issues of LiberTORian to some of onionland's public pastebin sites. I would like to bring LiberTORian to its own server. It will be impossible for me to host my own server, as I don't have a computer or dedicated network that is always connected to the internet. If you are a concerned libertarian and want to help, here are things you can do. If you want to help, choose one; I'm not asking for one person to do everything. I actually want to do as much as I can on my own.

- 1) Set up a dedicated .onion site to host LiberTORian, in .txt and in .pdf formats.
- 2) Become a writer to LiberTORian. We finally have an email address. We're still testing it out. If you're interested in writing an article for publication, send a plain text file to liberTORian at [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com).

In the meantime, circulate this document to others willing to read it. Post it everywhere! Read and even disagree if you are inclined. The tyranny of big government can be defeated with the light of truth and the resolve of freedom.

%%

## INSTITUTIONALIZED HISTORY CHANNEL LIES

%%

There are lies that permeate the History Channel. These are not mistakes, because the themes aren't just in one or two random programs. They are deeply entrenched, as if the directors of documentaries for the channel are given strict orders to tell the lies. We will cover one of the worst culprits in this issue.

LIE #1) Gnostics are a Christian sect.

This is 10000% false. Gnostics are NOT Christians. Christianity is extremely simple to define: A Christian believes that Jesus Christ is their God, and their savior. Gnostics simply do not. In fact, Gnostics believe that Jesus was a fake, and God was laughing at those who crucified Jesus because they thought they killed God!

Gnostics believe it is impossible for God to take human form at all. Gnostics believe in a strict segregation between the incorruptible spiritual world and the completely corrupted material world. If God was to enter the material world, He would be corrupted, which is also impossible in Gnosticism.

Gnostics wrote their own alternative gospels centuries after the original Gospels were written. These gospels contain contradictory information to the original Gospels. Gnostics' claim that their information is the real truth is that they receive special "knowledge" from the spirit world no one else has access to. "Gnostic" comes from the Greek word for "knowledge".

The History Channel's motive is clear: by lying and omitting this history, Gnostics' views get equal, or privileged, critical footing now!

CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY!



Although the technology changes over time, human behavior remains pretty much the same. In the early days of home network computing, BBS services had their social outcasts. You know the type: leeching software, acting phony, using their own shorthand. Actually, abbreviations from the BBS era of the 1980s is a direct forerunner to leetspeak. You will see some of it within this document. This is a very funny writing, and people today dealing with "n00bs" will appreciate it today. Here is the unedited file in its original format. Be advised that there is one obscene word in the text. (the PH word)



**\*ENGAGE IN THE CULTURE WAR \***

Edge here, I just wanted to tell y'all that this t-file is all about K-K00L DOODS and is formally dedicated to users with K-K00L habits. Well, enough with all the mumble-jumble, let's get started!

A K-K00L DOOD is an organism of the human species which has a mysterious attraction to a micro chip processor. They are quite anti-social. The following is a list of K-K00L DOOD characteristics.....

- (1) Anti sociality(Ex:They usually hang up when a sysop wants to chat)
- (2) Buzzed hair(From not coming in 10 years)

- (3) Listens to Culture Club
- (4) Lies like a pig
- (5) Replaces "For" for "4" or "too,two or to" for "2" OR "f" for "ph"(Ex:PHUCK!  
PHUN!FONE!FREAKER)
- (6) Can only type in UPPER CASE and 40 columns(Because their Apple II+ can't  
handle stuff like that)

As you can see, this organism must lead a pathetic life. The following story  
is a dramatization of a K-K00L DOOD:

Hark! It is 1:38 A.M.! No one is moving in the Smith house. But wait! There  
is little Eggbert Smith tip-toeing through the hallway! Why must the little  
fellow be alert at this time of night? For you see, Eggbert is a K-K00L DOOD  
and it is his duty to call the local 10-meg Ae and leech every little ware he  
can get his little hands on! He puts a pillow over his computer so it won't  
make too much noise when it boots up. He enters the password!Acess at last!  
But what is this? The sysop goes onto chat mode and asks,"Who is this?"  
Little Eggbert (Who is SO nervous that he is jumping around the floor in  
his choo-choo train P.J.s so he won't wet his pants) carefully types the name:

"Dr. Micro"

Who ,in fact, is a famous software pirate. The sysop naturally disbelieves him  
and hangs him up.Poor little Eggbert! He has nothing to do but call his only  
friend "Irving". Naturally, Irving is awake because he too tried to leech off  
an ae and got kicked off. The obviously talk through the modem because they are  
too scared to talk to each other voice. Here is how their message went:

HELLO? DR. IRVING? R U THAIR?

HELLO? THE GRIM EGGBERT? IZ THAT U?

YES! O AM EYE GLAD I FOUND U!!!!1!!11111!11!!!!

(Ed note:Sorry to bother you but i'll just put a "\*" to every lie they make)

HA!!!!!!HA!!!!!!HA!!!!111!!!!111HA!!!!!!111!11!!!!1!!!!111!K-K00L! U R SUCH A  
DOOD!!!!1!!111!!!!!!1!!

WAREZ? U HAV ANY WAREZ?

YAH. I HAV OLYMPIC DECATHALON! REAL K-K00L WARE!

\* K-K00L! LET'S TRAIID! I HAVE ULTIMA 4 AND EVEN ULTIMA 5!

\* SORRY DOOD...BUT CINDY IS AT MY LEFT....SHE'S JUST TUGGING ME TO GO INTO  
THE FERRARI! I CAN'T DOOO ANY K-K00L TRADING....U KNOW HOW IT IZ!!!!1!!111!

\* YEAH I SHUR DO. CAN I TALK TO CINDY?

\* (Eggbert by the way, is still at the computer console)  
HELLO? THIS IS CINDY! I'M A BLONDE! THE GRIM EGGBERT IS K-K00L!!!!1!!111!!!!11!

\* (Dr. Irving is blushing and giggling because he's never talked to a "Girl"  
before.)

HYI....HYI...HI THERE...THIS IS DR. IRVING. I'M THE GRIM EGGBERT'S FRIEND







prison. The prosecutors called these "uncharged crimes". If they are crimes, why are the feds not charging Ulbricht with them? This intentional misuse of authority is another violation of the accused's rights. Again, the movie amps up the sympathy, as we see the toll the actions by the government, and the stigma brought upon them by the media's subsequent judgments based on these unjust actions.

DPR himself is no more a drug lord as Smith & Wesson is a gun runner to Mexican gangs. Well, this is not a direct analogy. DPR knew that his web site would be a marketplace for narcotics as he built it. But his approach is more that of a libertarian entrepreneur who tested the frontiers of capitalism and went too far.

DPR's attorney, Josh Dratel, uses the unconstitutionality of the federal government's investigation as his defense. It is more than a technicality. What the federal government did was a gross violation of the law. Ordinarily, a case like this would be thrown out. But this is a high-profile case now. The federal government doesn't like to lose, and it's apparent that they are willing to lie, steal and cheat in order to do so.

The story itself is one filled with numerous surprises and twists. The DEEP WEB movie not only covers this while wrenching as much drama out of it as possible, but it is well-written and well acted. The movie is as deep as its title, looking at everything from many levels at once, exploring both the personal and societal ramifications of the outcome. I'm not saying that Ulbricht didn't break the law, or should face justice. But what is worse? The person who committed the crimes? Or the investigators who violated the Constitution to uphold the very law they disregard? It's not up to me to make up your mind. Watch the movie yourself. Then decide! ((L))

%%

WHY IS LIBERTORIAN ON THE DEEP WEB??

%%

While the deep web may be filled with nefarious activities, it's also a safe place for whistleblowers and political dissidents. One thinks of communist regimes such as North Korea, or states where free speech is oppressed, like Iran, as places where identities must be protected from a vengeful government. But the United States has become such a place. Ask Eric Snowden. There's nothing to worry about if you have the right view. But if you aren't on the side of the kakistocracy, you'll be in their crosshairs.

Take the case of Senator Menendez (D-NJ). For years he towed the statist line, supporting government controlled health care, fascist measures such as Dodd-Frank, and a host of liberty snuffing legislation. And because he did so, the Justice Department turned a blind eye to the trips arranged for him to meet with child prostitutes, and charges of corruption. But the moment he betrayed Dear Leader and attended a speech held in congress by Benjamin Netanyahu, down came the strong arm of the law, out of nowhere. Suddenly they had a problem with him right after he broke ranks with Obama.

There's no doubt, except his blind followers, that Obama is petty, thin skinned, vengeful, and uses his power as a sword to punish his enemies. This isn't a party thing. There are statist in both parties and in the tea party (Rubio, for instance). Tor and the underweb are crucial as a bastion of free speech. It's also crucial as a check on an out of control government, and a forum for speaking the truth without fear of persecution for free speech. The administration may have put the nails in the Constitution's coffin, but we won't let it stay dead! ((L))

```

        .',,,;ccc:,,'.
        .,:llc:,,,.'...':;lool:,.
        ':ol;'...         .....';lxo:.
        .:l:,...         .....         ;cdo;
        ,ll,..         ;lddxkOOOkdxdo:'         ,oxl.
        .lc'.         .:xOOkxl,,;oo:':c:cdxxl.         'lko.
        .:l,         ,xKOdc:cdl .kO; :l;.         ;xk;         ,dkc
        .lc.         .xN0oloodkl .OO; lxl'.         'OK,         'dOd
        .c:..         '00l:l.....         ..         ,:Ko         'oOo
        :c.         'Kk','c'...         .....         .:Kd         .oOc
        .o'         .Ok'...';lx.         ;Odlccc;         .l,.         .Kl         ,x0.
        :c.         .dN;.....'l.         ,xc'...:o.         .o,... cX.         .o0l
        .l;         .Kk.....'c.         ,xl:,,,         .ll'....,No         .cOk
        ;o;         ;Md.....         .c1l;'....KO         .cO0,
        ,o;         ;Md.....         .lc::;'.         .c:'''KO         .cO0,
        .l:         .OO         ,.         ,xc','ld,         .o::,Wl         .lOk
        .l.         .cN,         ,;         ,d;...;o,         .o::,xK.         'dKl
        .o;         xO.         ..':.         'o:,''''.         ll;,cN:....cOK'
        ;o'         .OO.....         .;ooc;lXo.....:xKl
        co,.         .x0:...'.,,';         ;:.         ':::codolclOXc.....:x0d
        co:.         :X0;...;coc .kO; lxc:::ckXk'         .ckKx
        ;oc.         .:kkl,':;         .dx,         :l:',l,x0d'         .lO0o.
        .co:..         .cdxxdl;,';,'':;ldxdo,         .ck0x.
        .co:..         .':loodddoclc;.         .lk0x;
        ,lo:'.         .:cxO0l.
        .:ldl;'..         ..,ok0ko,
        .':codd1cc:::clodkOkdl;.
        ..',;clll;,'..

```

B I T C O I N :  
 C O M M E R C E  
 W I T H  
 O N E S  
 A N D  
 Z E R O E S  
  
 B Y  
 S Y N O N Y M O U S  
 1

"BITCOIN?" you may ask. Why would anyone place value on something so intangible? Something completely virtual in its existence? You can't hold a Bitcoin. Why should I trust it?

Yet, people trust federal reserve notes. They are virtually worthless pieces of paper, cotton and ink and yet we assign value to them. A \$100 bill has the same intrinsic value as a \$1 bill. Yet as a societal whole, we pretend that the \$100 bill is worth one hundred dollars. In the past, American currency was backed by physical gold and silver. What are now "federal reserve notes" used to be "gold" or "silver" certificates. Such notes were payable by the government in gold or silver on demand. In 1933, Franklin Roosevelt outlawed owning gold and confiscated it from the citizens. Gold certificates were no more. Silver certificates were last issued with a 1957 mint mark

and silver was removed from nearly all circulating coinage in 1965. By 1971 no coins were minted containing silver. Currency from then on was backed by nothing. Well, not nothing. Like an FDIC account, all money is backed by "the faith and credit of the U.S. government". In other words, nothing! Faith and credit of the U.S. government? Only a fool, or a blind Obama supporter, puts faith in the government. And credit? The U.S. government is \$18 trillion in debt! Don't insult my intelligence.

So unlike gold, bitcoin cannot be physically held. What's the incentive to own it? Can't it be hacked?

First of all, Bitcoin has no central authority in a government. It's a truly democratized unit.

Second, the value it has has been placed by the marketplace itself in



a natural manner. currency, thanks to QE infinity, is artificially inflated. Our money is losing 10% of its value annually. Bitcoin's supply is strictly limited to just under 21 million units in its lifetime, and its number can only decrease as people lose their coins.

Bitcoin is independent from the banking system or any government, meaning that, so far, it can't be confiscated. Sounds good, doesn't it?

There are always risks, though. Without a middleman, all transactions are final. If you become victim of a scam, kiss your bitcoin goodbye. You don't earn interest on bitcoins, without a banking system, but with the US interest rates at nearly zero, when did you earn more than a few cents from your \$10000 savings account?

To become a Bitcoin holder, you require software called a "wallet", which operates like a real wallet. Wallets can be programs on your computer or smart phone, or virtual programs stored in the cloud by third parties, or pieces of portable hardware with the wallet program preinstalled. There are two main files in a wallet: the wallet itself, and the block chain, which contains a bitcoin transaction ledger. The block chain contains every bitcoin transaction since bitcoin's introduction in 2009. Some wallets require the entire block chain to be downloaded to your computer, which takes a long time.

The third component of a wallet is your wallet file- the bitcoins you own.

A bitcoin wallet generates one or as many RECEIVING ADDRESSES you wish. Think of it as an email address you to receive bitcoins in a transaction. when bitcoins are transferred, they are recorded in the block chain as going to your

receiving address. The great thing about this is the ease of use. The receiving address is a string of randomly-generated characters that the owner of the address needs to only cut and paste anywhere on the web. Bitcoin owners need only click the link to send you theirs!

#### FREE BITCOINS!!!!

Many web sites are BITCOIN FAUCETS. these sites will issue a fraction of a bitcoin to your receiving address every half hour (or other increment) that you visit. Other sites offer free bitcoins on a one-time basis, or for visiting other web sites. typically the amount is a thousandth of a bitcoin, worth about a quarter. but users can collect URLS of bitcoin faucets and cycle through them, earning bitcoins as they go.

#### THE BITCOIN UNIT

One bitcoin is worth about \$233 at the time of writing. A bitcoin is divided into one million units. The smallest unit, .000001 bitcoin, is a MICROBITCOIN, also SATOSHI, named after bitcoin's creator. The next unit, .001 bitcoin, is a MILLI-BITCOIN, again worth just under a quarter. The abbreviation for bitcoin is BTC or a capital B with two vertical lines striking through it.

#### BITCOIN MINING

Bitcoin mining is performed by users dedicating their computer power to creating block chains. As time progresses, the amount of computing power required is set higher and the rewards decreased. In the end, the last bitcoin will be mined in 2033. bitcoin availability is parabolic, as it reaches a target, fewer and fewer bitcoins will be mined. The computing power required now is so great that people are joining MINING POOLS, combining their computer power to mine bitcoins as

a team, and splitting the earnings. Very few people mine coins, and those that do can do a years' work with no rewards.

#### BENEFITS OF BITCOIN TRANSACTIONS

Bitcoin transactions become part of the block chain and all exchanges are public. Yet, they are also completely anonymous. This made bitcoin the ideal currency of the deep web. Its anonymity has made it the currency of choice for illegal activities. The Silk Road and other online drug markets trade exclusively in bitcoins. In fact, bitcoin is almost exclusively the currency in use in the deep web, due to its anonymity, ease of use, and direct, government-free transactions. Now all those who wish to use the hidden web to conduct illegal activities can do so with much more facility! The fact that bitcoin has conquered the internet underworld means that, as long as this kind of commerce exists, bitcoin should lead a healthy life.

More and more legitimate businesses are venturing into bitcoin. PayPal will convert your holdings into the virtual currency. Dish Network accepts payment in bitcoin as well. The number of businesses are too numerous to list here, but just the fact that Dish is taking it gives you an idea as to the mainstreaming of BTC.

So what if it's only 1s and 0s? If people trade with it and there is a demand for its use, it is worth something. People the world over are obsessed with credit cards, and essentially it's like bitcoin in the sense that it is money that doesn't actually exist. Yet buying things on credit cards is spending virtual money that the person doesn't even have! No wonder we're all in debt!

So there's no reason for anyone who uses credit cards to oppose using

bitcoin. A person can always visit a bitcoin exchange to convert it into cash any time. But there are fears of a bitcoin bubble. The federal reserve, creators of the current money bubble, ironically predicts its demise. So did Forbes magazine (dead by 2011), Gozmodo (dead in 2011), Wired magazine (2012), New York Ragazine [sic] (2013), Reuters and Street Insider, Financial Times (2014), and USA Today, which will probably be dead before bitcoin itself (predicted bitcoin dead in 2015). Sure. And Al Gore predicted an ecological crisis in 2015, we'd be underwater by 2030, and all sorts of alarming disasters that were all supposed to have happened a long time ago. Bitcoin is alive and thriving for now and the foreseeable future.

Another false claim about bitcoin is that it is a Ponzi scheme. Look up Ponzi Scheme. It's where returns are paid by new investors. Liberals, progressives, Obama and other liars get angry when people say this, but Social Security is a a Ponzi scheme. Bitcoin is not. Period.

So that covers the basics. Except bitcoin laundering and sites that promise to double, triple, or 100x your bitcoins in 24 hours. I know nothing about this or if these are legitimate. Remember that bitcoin transactions are all part of the block chain, which is in triplicate and difficult to fake. These could be sites that exploit the source code, or they could be scams to hack into your wallet and steal your bitcoin savings. If in fact, there is some exploit that can reproduce bitcoins, this is causing inflation the same way the federal reserve does this by manipulating the money supply. These sites, if they really artificially bring bitcoins into existence (if that's how they work) they will become useless quickly.

If you are interested in bitcoin and have questions, their official web presence is at the memorizable URL: <https://bitcoin.org> ((L))

%%

## INDEX OF FTP/DOCUMENTS/JOURNAL

- By Synonymous 1 -

%%

I've only been exploring the deep for only a few months. For the un-initiated, this can be a confusing and intimidating place, as you come across some of the darkest places in cyberspace, morally speaking. It's also a hard place to find your way around, as you're in deep waters without any kind of guide.

I began using Vadaliala, and later, TOR, as a suggestion from a woman friend of mine who explained it briefly to me. Soon after I became comfortable using TOR, I learned about the DEEP WEB from a radio host talking about technology. I learned that people used it to speak freely about their government without being monitored by them.

Since then, I've turned to the deep web in search of a place to discuss the issues important to me safely. As of yet, I didn't find such a forum, but did find some friendly sites along the way. I documented my thoughts along the way so that this information could be useful to people like me who are also new to the realm of onionland.

Here is the first entry that actually has something useful. The first two entries were mainly a reference for me. For those who are seasoned computer whizzes and master hackers, this should be good for a laugh. But remember, this is designed for others like myself who are just average goofy people.

2015 05 11

Just one month ago, Tor recently updated to version 4.0.8. My version has not been accessing the TOR Network, so I went to get the latest version and install it. The current stable version is 4.5!

It works smoothly. The first place I visited was Duck Duck Go, a search engine that doesn't record your IP, and also returns deep web search results(?) Duck Duck Go is found on the surface web at duckduckgo.com, but also has a deep web address:

<http://3g2upl4pq6kufc4m.onion/>

One characteristic about the deep web is that it's not well-indexed. Links tend to be found in collections, sorted by category. The deep web is a volatile place. Dead links are everywhere. It's not unusual to find a list with over half of the .onion links deactivated. Part of this is related to the takedown of Freedom Hosting, which comprised a large size of the deep web, allegedly as much as half! Freedom Hosting was an anonymous web service, which attracted many who wanted to engage in unsavory activities, including the production of hard CP. The Web site was shut down, including all those created by Freedom Hosting users.

Well, I visited a few sites, looking for discussion boards that may cover online privacy. To do so, I wanted to find a huge list of .onion links. So I Duck Duck Went (yeah, I'm verbizing the search engine. I mean, the evil company "Google"'s name is a verb!), "Huge list of onion links. The results

produced two lists, and among other things, a restaurant that uses fried onions a lot.

The first list came from a posting on "Bit Bin". Bins are big in "Onionland". I put the word in quotes because many people use it, but I find the term monumentally silly and will refer to "onionland" as the deep web. You'll hear "Pastebin" until you're sick of it. It's on both the surface web and the deep web, and a popular place to stick files for public sharing. Chans are like bins. Most chans are populated by pseudo-anarchist statistis and people who think 9-11 was an inside job. Chans are like bins, often reserved for images. But I'm digressing.

The Bit Bin list was over 1000 lines long, with nearly that many links. The first link is, naturally, The Hidden Wiki. The link was dead. The Hidden Wiki is on the surface web at thehiddenwiki.org and looks like Wikipedia, only the links are all to .onion sites. After more searching, I found its current location:

<http://jh32yv5zgayyyts3.onion/>

The Hidden Wiki agreed to remove all links to CP from its site. Links to hired assassins, counterfeiters and counterfeiting sales, drug sales, weapon sales, uranium sales, terrorist organizations, money launderers, software pirates, identity thieves, and the like, are all fine, however. The Hidden Wiki complies but gets around this by creating a second site, the Uncensored Hidden Wiki. What a place.

I've been to the Hidden Wiki before and wasn't able to access the links I wanted to view, mainly discussion forums. So I returned to the BitBin list.

Second on the list, naturally, were Silk Road and Silk Road forums. This isn't surprising. I'm making an uneducated guess that this is the reason 50% of people dive into the deep web, and get pulled under from there. The sites are down, I know from news articles. I understand that people pay in BitCoin (BTC) and the drugs are stashed in an agreed upon undisclosed location (like a hole in a tree) to be picked up by the buyer. Bitcoin is the currency of choice in the Deep Web. Transactions are anonymous although they're publicly visible.

Those out of the way, a list of chans followed, seven in all, followed by three CP chans. Undoubtedly this list is old enough that these last 3 links are all dead, though I won't venture to confirm it.

So after going through the list further, I finally found some links, ones I didn't see in the Hidden Wiki, to discussion groups. None of them worked. But on the way, I did find something that I wanted to find earlier. And it's the first truly useful tool I found in the deep web.

The service is called SMS4Tor. Its URL is memorizable to boot, using a few mnemonic devices:

<http://sms4tor3vcr2geip.onion/>

Their FAQ page is:

<http://sms4tor2vcr2geip.onion/faq/>

Having VCR in the name, splitting the number 32 (the number of possible

characters that could appear in an onion address) makes it even easier to commit to memory. Also notice the number sequence: 4, 3, and 2, each preceded by three alphabet characters.

Described as a "Secure Messaging System for Tor", SMS4Tor allows users to write "anonymous encrypted messages that self-destruct when read." Simply type or paste text into the provided field, then click the "I'm Done!" button. SMS4Tor gives you an encrypted URL that you give to the recipient. When the recipient (or you, or anyone) views the URL, the message is erased and gone forever, unless the reader stores their own copy somehow. As for as SMS4Tor is concerned, though, no trace of it will come from them. Naturally, as an onion site, the intended reader will also require the Tor browser.

Now, finally, after one month, here was something useful for everyone. This is the way real whistleblowers (not these self-righteous WikiLeaks types) or freedom fighters in oppressed countries, can reach an American journalist, for instance. Or just an average libertarian can send an innocent email to someone without having to type, "Just between you, me, and the NSA..."

The new Tor browser also gave me a helpful tip. "Maximizing Tor Browser can allow websites to determine your monitor screen size, which can be used to track you. We recommend that you leave Tor Browser windows in their original default size."

--- END OF FILE ---

%%

H A R D            C O P Y

- By Synonymous 1 -

%%

Further reading is always a good thing. You can't have too much information. When you're done reading this, why not move on to a book? We suggest Catastrophic Failure by Stephen Coughlin as a great starting point.

Stephen Coughlin is an intelligence officer who was commissioned by the Joint Chiefs of Staff to research and best combat Islamic extremists. Donald Rumsfeld and the Pentagon praised and implemented his work.

Then came the infamous purge of 2011. Coughlin was now seen as an enemy of diversity and forced to resign by an administration friendly to terrorists.

Now, Coughlin has turned the tables on those who censored his work. In

Catastrophic Failure, Coughlin exposes how terrorist groups have infiltrated the federal government, and how the administration's reaction is not to prevent them, but to assist them!

Just one of many schemes documented in this book was Hillary Clinton's collusion with Organization of Islamic Cooperation to "use some old fashioned techniques of peer pressure and shaming", to silence the free speech rights of critics of radical Islam or Mohammad.

Catastrophic Failure not only shows how Islamic terrorists have seized control of high ranking, high security government positions, but how the leaders of the American left are empowering them and even doing their dirty work right by their side.

%%

## EXTRANEOUS

%%

Okay, so I have never been so idle that I felt the need to watch such TV programming as "19 Kids and Counting" (or however many kids there are by the time of this posting). But the Duggar sisters, or four of them, are involved in some personal scandals. Then the media circus piled on something that one of the kids, Josh, did during his childhood. The sisters are saying that most of the media's reaction is "mostly lies", and they consider themselves victims. Victims, not of their brother, but the news.

Again, this show means nothing to me, but the treatment of the two sisters does. The mainstream media proclaims itself judge and jury on events that they barely investigate to ascertain the facts. This is so wrongheaded, wrong, and violates the first half of the libertarian manifesto: "Don't hurt people". Of course, no one's accusing the mainstream media of being libertarian!

This comes at a time when Epix's DEEP WEB movie raises awareness of not just government corruption, but media mistreatment of people. The Duggar family's experiences may be very different from the life of Dread Pirate Roberts, but the dirt they are being dragged through is a notable parallel. The narrative is the same.

Sure, the news always has a story to tell. They also have a need to be sensational. But don't you think that there could be some sort of balance between telling a story and treating the subjects with respect? And maybe when telling the story, not relying on other news as your source? Odds are that they have it wrong, and if you don't seek the truth, so will you! ((L))

%%

## MORE EXTRANEOUS

%%

Yeah, so America has had its share of bad presidents. George W. Bush, for instance. But Obama is in a league all by himself. His philosophy is, "I want to go down in history as the worst president ever, and I want to make sure I have no competition whatsoever!

Oh, and if you don't like reading that, go pound sand! Learn how to think for yourself while you're at it.

The problem with fighting the war for individual freedom is that Obama has taken the playbook of his ISIS buddies and launched attacks from anywhere and everywhere at once! Consider all the places where all stability is being dismantled:

- o He has aided terrorists, with arms and finances at every turn. They are turning our assets back on us!
- o He discounts terrorists, while attacking freedom-loving Americans with strongarm tactics
- o He intentionally is destroying the economy, and making half of all Americans dependent on the other half
- o He destroyed the health system
- o He is dismantling the military, firing competent generals and replacing them with unqualified fools who will do his bidding
- o He places terrorists in high security White House positions, and promotes them whenever someone calls to investigate.
- o Purged all references to Islamic terrorism (and fighting them from the FBI and CIA.
- o Opened the border to illegal immigrant, including terrorists.
- o Destroyed the educational system by forcing Common core standards on the individual states, ensuring

that children are uneducated and thus dependent on the government to make all their decisions for them.

- o built up an unsustainable debt more than all the debt accumulated by every predecessor combined
- o inflated the money supply by 10% a year for a perpetual Wall Street bailout.
- o created a money bubble, with an overinflated DOW to match his ego
- o Waged a war on Christians, and Catholics in particular, trying to take away their First Amendment rights
- o Used the Homeland Security Department to traffic Samolian refugees across the border, without vetting any of them. Potential terrorists can easily pose as refugees Again... HOMELAND SECURITY!!!
- o Wage a legal war on states who take measures to protect their polls against voter fraud
- o Exploit tragedies to divide people by race, and create division and social chaos to capitalize on it
- o Militarize the police and in exchange, make them cede their freedom to make their own decisions to the Administration
- o Foster a culture of hate against law enforcement, except the federal government, of course!
- o take power away from congress
- o Misuse and abuse Executive Orders whenever he doesn't get his way and throws a tantrum
- o Create more bureaucracies, and increase the power of the existing ones to create regulations where they can't get laws passed
- o Run the country like a mafia, intimidating, extorting, and threatening dissenters with legal action. Everyone is guilty of violating an unvoted regulation from an unelected body. Use the EPA, especially, to destroy any political dissenters
- o Tell lies about his record that are so removed from common sense that even he knows no one will believe them... nor does he care if anyone will
- o Control the food you may or may

not eat

- o destroy the Second Amendment
- o Destroy the Fourth Amendment
- o take the Ninth and Tenth Amendment away from the states
- o Reward those who cheat, and punish those who live by the rules
- o create two Americas with two sets of rules; treat those who are aligned with time with special treatment and those not aligned with him (the majority) with impunity
- o Deny disaster relief to states that do not support him
- o Destroy families by creating a crisis where Mexican parents are told that their children will be accepted if they illegally immigrate, but their parents will not, so tens of thousands of children will be orphaned and wards of the state
- o Dump these children, the victims Obama politics, into red states to deal with
- o Use the Department of Justice to obfuscate all of the Administration's lawbreaking
- o give away control of internet domains to the UN
- o support enemies of Israel, the only free democracy in the Mid-East
- o Repeal freedom of the internet
- o much much more!

As you can see, the president has been working overtime. Imagine what could have been accomplished had he done something productive, instead of destructive. This is why it's so hard to be a libertarian today. So what do you do?

- 1) VOTE! Make sure another Obama is never allowed to occupy the White House again!
- 2) NETWORK! Sizeable as the war on freedom is, it all must be documented for history and freedom's sake!
- 3) DEFEND ALL FRONTS AT ONCE! Do not let anything get by! Start a group! Mobilize locally! Protest! Resist! Engage in civil disobedience! And never stop!

%%

## D N S      L O O K U P      F A I L E D

%%

Just wanted you to know that here at LiberTORian, we really do want your input. It's just that we've been having trouble accessing an Onion Mail account. We can sign up fine, but we're given a bunch of passwords that all never seem to work. After trying several ways, we now realize that I just have no idea how to get in our OnionMail account. I've had experiences opening free email accounts on the surface web, so is it just something I'm missing? For a way to tell me what I'm doing wrong, or how to properly create an Onion Mail account AND log in, I need your help.

Right now, LiberTORian is initially being posted to the SLAMSPEECH decentralized pastebin, located at

<http://ka3ojmfcsmz76wse.onion>

or

<http://pasterlczk6anaqz.onion>

If you could type, cut and paste the requested information to this site, I'll find it and be able to use this information. If you also know some other hidden mail service that's away from the prying eyes of the NSA (yeah, I don't believe that Obama's telling the truth when he says that at 3:57 on June 1, the NSA stopped spying. His word means nothing and he's apparently delusional to boot!) please share the link to this other mail service.

UPDATE: We finally have a deep email address. If you want to contact us with either your comments or content to be included in future issues, get typing, and send it to LiberTORian at [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com). We are looking forward to what you have to say! That's right: YOU! ((L))

%%

## G L O S S A R Y

%%

### Bitcoin

A decentralized, digital, finite currency. All BitCoin transactions are transparent yet anonymous. BitCoin is the preferred currency in in the Deep Web, and is used for underworld transactions across the network.

### Darknet

Networks inaccessible from the internet. Darknet is not a word to describe the underworld of the deep web.

### Dark Web/ Darkweb

Synonym for Darknet.

### Hidden Wiki, The

A web site that provides introductory points to prominent areas of the Deep Web. The Hidden Wiki is found on clearnet at

<https://thehiddenwiki.org>

and has sites and mirror sites in the deep web.

### Silk Road

One of the most well-known deep web sites. Silk Road is an eBay type marketplace which sells illegal illegal drugs and other substances, both illegal and legal. Silk Road, its successor, Silk Road 2, and Silk Road 2's successor, Green Road, all have been shut down. Similar black market sites, such as BitPharma, exist.

%%

Don't hurt other people

and

Don't steal their stuff.

%%

---END OF FILE---



Volume 1 - Issue 3 - Mid Summer 2015

[illegible]

#####

T A B L E     O F     C O N T E N T S

LibertORian - Volume 1 Issue 3


#####

ACQUIRING RELAY	3	CLASSIC TXT FILE OF THE DAY	14
BLURBZ	3	the_ant.txt	
Did you hear the one about Obama?		ARTICLE	15
TODAY'S DEEP LINK	4	Independence Day: The Fourth of	
Rugged Inbox		JUNE???	
FEATURE	5	FEATURE	16
The Right To Life: Cornerstone of		The Liberty Dollar: Free	
Libertarianism		Commerce? Or Counterfeit?	
MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE, #6	6	HARD COPY	19
FEATURE	7	WHO BUILT THAT by Michelle	
Belle Knox: Hot Libertarian		Malkin	
ARTICLE	10	AMENDMENT OF THE DAY	19
Libertorian Wants You		The Ninth Amendment	
BLURB	10	ARTICLE	20
Message to American Homosexuals		The Significance of Hard Copy	
ARTICLE	11	ARTICLE	22
Protecting Your Privacy, Part 2		Index of FTP/DOCUMENTS/JOURNAL	
ARTICLE	12	DNS LOOKUP FAILED	24
The Kakistocracy			
FEATURE	12		
Eric Snowden Copy/Paste/Print			
Poster			

EDITOR: SYNONYMOUS 1

CORRESPONDENCE:  
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

Donate BitCoins to LibertORian  
12yfpakCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s



LibertORian Volume 1, Issue 2 is a publication of Synonymous 1.  
Published June, 2015. LibertORian is released on an irregular basis.  
N(c) 2015. Readers are freely welcome to copy & redistribute this text by any  
means possible, preferably by photocopying.

#####

# A C Q U I R I N G      R E L A Y

#####

- By Synonymous 1 -

DEAR READER, thank you for taking the time to read the third issue of LibertORian. I'm feeling more comfortable now, having found an email address, and finding my way around the deep web while avoiding all the worst of humankind. I read things; some of the darkest elements in the deep web make ISIS look like school girls.

I write this in the hopes that you don't just read LibertORian, but use the knowledge proactively. In these pages, we hope to:

- 1) Inspire further reading and research
- 2) Spread the message of liberty
- 3) Become active in the community

From this point, we hope to begin compiling sources of whistleblowers and activists. You could become inspired by these sources, or who knows? Maybe you will join one of them or mimic their game plan.

There is a #4 in the list. One of the other things LibertORian wants is your input. We're looking for those with diverse opinions, but at the core believe in individual liberty. Please note that free speech is not the same as freedom to be heard. If you're a statist, don't expect your work to make it to the pages of LibertORian. You are better off starting your own journal. That's the difference between libertarians and statist: libertarians don't care if some statist publishes something; we just won't waste our time reading it. Statists have such a problem with opposing views that the most extreme of them will try to ban them out of existence, even using the power of the state if need be.

So, if you'd like to write for us, type something up and email it to [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com). Or if you even want to just comment or add additional enlightenment to something in the pages of this or a previous issue, we want to know what's on your mind!

That email address again:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

Don't forget the 1! Enjoy!

#####

## B L U R B Z

#####

Have you heard the one about Obama? He says he loves America...

There have been some really stupid Twitter hashtags this year. Starbucks, the imbecilic company that sells overpriced, overrated coffee, began #RaceTogether, and coffee servers are writing, "Ask me about race" on customers' cups. They're trying to open up some discussion so that informed people can feel guilty after talking to some pimply college kid who knows nothing.

Another hashtag that is an utter joke is #ObamaLovesAmerica, in response to Rudy Guiliani's observation that Obama hates America. The great thing about these hashtags is that clever people have been "hashbombing" these absurdities, so that they are overwhelmed with with snarky but honest retorts, so that the hashtag is mostly a parody of itself. Consider it a small victory against those who think they're smarter than everyone.

#####

T O D A Y ' S     D E E P     L I N K

#####

Rugged Inbox Dot Com

URL

s4bysmmsnraf7eut.onion

In an excursion into the deep web, I only found three non-broken links to email servers. The three were Onion Mail, SIGAINT, and the deep link of the day, Rugged Inbox. Onion Mail looks appealing, but I can't seem to log in once I create an account. I also had some problem with SIGAINT, I think because it required Java in order to read mail. It's advised to not use JavaScript in the deep web. Rugged Inbox works simply like SIGAINT, but without the JavaScript the way Onion Mail is secure.

Rugged Inbox touts offshore servers in Belgium. This is a great asset because Rugged Inbox isn't bound by intrusive Obama-era regulations forcing email clients to keep all messages from users for up to two years, even from deleted or deactivated accounts. That gives the NSA plenty of time to do the only thing it's good at: going through all the mail of law-abiding citizens. It's none of their business. Rugged Inbox is outside the jurisdiction of the NSA.

Another nice feature, actually a courtesy, is the banner posted on the web site, "The FBI has not been here". In parentheses, it advises, "watch closely for the removal of this sign.)" Good thing to have.

Rugged Inbox allows users to create either a permanent email account, or a temporary, self-destructing account. A temporary account will last between 6 months and a year, depending on the user's preferred setting. A user may destroy his permanent account at any time, so

>>>     s4bysmmsnraf7eut.onion     <<<

it's still a flexible option. An account that is destroyed is done so permanently. An account limits the number of messages to 30 daily.

Once an account is created, a person can open their account at a page called, "Squirrel Mail: email for nuts!" It's on the same server, and the URL is:

s4bysmmsnraf7eut.onion/sm

The "sm" stands for Squirrel Mail. There are other options, but when you use Squirrel Mail, it's Java free.

Some nice things about Rugged Inbox:

- 1) It's operated under a VPN
- 2) It makes use of encryption
- 3) It removes the headers that reveal a user's IP address and email client name
- 4) It doesn't feature JavaScript
- 5) It's designed to work hand-in-hand with TOR browser
- 6) And this is the best part: when your data is deleted, the message is GONE! It doesn't live forever on some server. Keep in mind that the other person you're communicating with may be using a server that retains email, and worse, may be using GMAIL!!! The best practice is to avoid making email communications to anyone with a gmail account.

Rugged Inbox is an ideal tool for whistleblowers or people oppressed by their government from speaking freely, or just people who don't want their communications monitored.

#####

## THE RIGHT TO LIFE

#####

### ...THE ESSENCE OF LIBERTARIANISM

In LibertORian, episode 1, I summed up libertarian thought using Freedom Works' leader Matt Kibbe's theme: "Don't hurt people and don't take their stuff." If this philosophy was to be applied, the first part of it, "don't hurt people", applies to all people, including the weakest and most defenseless.

Ronald Reagan said that medical science is revealing more each day what common sense has told us all along: that an unborn baby is a unique human being. This isn't the global warming argument, in which those who believe, or perpetrate, the global warming hoax exploit it to take rights away from people. No one disputes that an unborn baby is indeed a human. Except, of course, a few disgraces to their field such as Richard Dawkins, an alleged biologist who claims a pig is more human than an unborn baby with HUMAN DNA. Global warming proponents want us to grind commerce to a halt on their claims because we should give their version of science "the benefit of the doubt." Hardened abortoholics won't stop the unborn from certain death even when there IS NO DOUBT.

What complicates the issue is the fact that the baby is inside the body of another person. So the question is, where do the rights of one person end and another begin?

The answer to that question is, "why does giving rights to someone mean you take rights away from someone else? When the rights of two people are in balance, though, the Constitutional solution is to determine which right is more

- by Synonymous 1 -

fundamental?

The right to life is paramount. Without it, all other rights are moot. Right to "choose" is a myth; what is the choice, but to kill a human being? A person's right to life isn't dependent on her location.

This is the one of three angles to look at it. The second is from religion. Our system of justice is based on religious law, like it or not. And it's done a good job at being a fair system. The answer is in the book of Exodus: "Thou shalt not kill." (Exodus 20:13). It's a linear precursor to "don't hurt people." Again, embarrassments to science like Carl Sagan, who believes that an unborn baby goes through the entire evolutionary cycle before birth (I never saw a premature baby come out as an orangutan!) As a libertarian, it's his right to believe what he wants, but he touts his ignorance of basic biology when he says, thinking he's clever, "isn't masturbation mass murder, then?" Obviously he doesn't know first year stuff like a sperm cell has 23 chromosomes but a human baby, born or preborn, has 46. Most people know what it means to be biologically human.

The third libertarian approach is the big government approach. Put bluntly, abortion is essentially government sanctioned murder. And since abortion is an industry that's subsidized with hundreds of millions of dollars annually, it's taxpayer funded murder. We've evolved from the days where the Dredd Scott decision was the law of the land. In those days, a black person was deemed a property, not a person. It was legal to beat a slave to death. We are more barbaric than ever as a

society. There is no difference between Roe v Wade and Dredd Scott. Each took the rights of the 9TH and 10TH Amendments from the states. Both declared a certain group of people non-people. But Roe v Wade led the the brutal killing of millions of babies in a manner we'd be horrified if we did to a dog!

So what, some may say? They're just unwanted babies. Well, what if the next step in government sponsored murder is the elderly? The disabled? The mentally disabled? The Jews? Those who aren't productive? As we turn what was once the greatest health system in the world into the utter failure of the UK system, the government will be making more of these decisions, and your "right" to care will be linked to your "usefulness" to society. To quote Mother Theresa, "a society that accepts abortion can accept anything".

So, what about the death penalty? Many pro-life conservatives support the death penalty, and their reasoning is that abortion kills the innocent who never made a choice, while the death penalty affects guilty people who know the consequences of their actions. I strongly disagree with that. I oppose the death penalty for the reasons above: 1) the right to life is paramount and in the Declaration of Independence, 2) Thou shalt not kill, and 3) It's government sanctioned murder. If we are to be libertarian, and not hurt others, we must to this for the the full spectrum for humanity. Unless it's in self-defense or the self-defense of our nation, "don't hurt other people is a right of all humankind. When I say self-defense of a nation, I'm talking about warfare. But only in national defense and not for political interests, or low gas prices, or for whatever reasons Obama funds the terrorists. The right to life extends to war; we are not to go send our soldiers to kill and die for any trivial reasons.

In essence, the right to life is the cornerstone of libertarian philosophy. For the protection of others begins with the weakest and most defenseless among us. As Dr. Seuss says in "Horton Hears a Who", "A person's a person, no matter how small. A minority of libertarians support abortion, using the argument that a person has a right to do what they want with their own body... but when two lives are intertwined, a libertarian must not forget the part about, "don't hurt people". ((L))

#####

#### MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE, #6

#####

6. Nazis are right wing.

FALSE. "Nazi" is German for "National Socialist". Socialists are left-wing statists. They believe in and enact policies of strict government control of the economy.

Often Nazis are associated with the right wing because the left wing communists opposed them in World War II. In Europe, libertarians were known as "classic liberals" and believed in conservative economic policies of limited government, but when Nazis and communists rose, this school of thought was pushed aside worldwide. Communism was already well-established as "left wing", so when Nazism rose, and was opposed by communists, they filled the void and were called, "right wing". Fundamentally, there is very little difference between communism and Nazism.

When Hitler became German chancellor the first two things he did were to take over the health system, and disarm the populace by confiscating their guns. Does that sound right wing? So let's help people realize this by calling Nazis by their full name, "National Socialists". ((L))

#####

BELLE KNOX: HOT LIERTARIAN!

- By Synonymous Xi -

#####

Read the word, "libertarian", and a person conjured up an image of some clean-cut white guy in his 30s with a tie on. Or something. But that's just your mind running off with a stereotype to facilitate reading. Libertarians come in all packages, including beautiful women. And one of those is Miriam Weeks, better known as Belle Knox.

Belle Knox began as a prospective college student facing the rising cost of tuition. This was after Obama usurped the entire student loan program, making it a government monopoly. Since then, universities, knowing the taxpayer faucet will always pay the tab, have been jacking their already overpriced costs for an increasingly worthless product. Belle Knox, who was Miriam at the time, on a whim, sought out work in the pornography at a search engine. Soon it became a serious consideration.

Belle soon became employed acting in adult films to pay for her tuition at Duke University. She kept her alter ego, Belle, under wraps, but a co-ed viewed one of her films and recognized her. She asked him to keep her identity a secret. He promised, then promptly turned and told all his fraternity friends.

Soon thereafter, her social media was filled with harassing posts by fellow students. She was subject to an unfair standard; for some reason, a stigma was attached to her for baring herself to a camera, but for some reason, it was fine for perverse students to view those films.

The problem, and this is a problem with pronography itself, is that it dehumanizes women. People stopped looking at Miriam Weeks and only

saw a sex object. This doesn't mean that the government has to step in and ban the product. Miriam chose this career willingly, and is free to leave any time she wishes. But because this is how she chose to pay for college shouldn't make people think less of her as a person. Here is a quote from Belle Knox on the subject, where she demonstrates that she's more than a pretty face:

"Everybody is focused on my decision to perform in porn to pay for my tuition. Let's start paying attention to what got me here. Skyhigh tuition bills result from a culture, from our President on down, telling every kid to go to college, regardless of their future plans to ability to graduate. And they result from schools being all too happy to raise prices to catch all the money flowing from the federal spigot."

Here she raises a valid, overlooked point. Corraling every student into college keeps the government's fascist (yeah, I use the word correctly. Many people use the word just because they hate a politician) student loan program running. It also makes students dependent on the government, so they will vote for the politicians under their thumb. College is the greatest scam today.

Belle Knox has since joined the organization "Students for Liberty", and is the campus coordinator of the Duke University chapter. She also joined the Young Voices organization which advocates for issues of liberty.

Of course, she faces stigma even from the libertarian community for her career choices. But Belle

doesn't consider her career to be a license to disrespect women. In a column she wrote, "Just Say No To Rape", she expresses her shock that friends of her blamed rape not on the rapist, but the attire that the victims chose, or their decision to get drunk. Here are a few snippets from the article:

"In a few sentences, my friends had epitomized slut and victim shaming. In that moment, I felt enraged that my female friends had perpetuated rape culture. I also felt sad. Sad for every victim who has been or ever will be doubted because of the mainstream ideas surrounding sexual assault. When we allow ideas like this to be accepted, we only isolate the true victims and discourage them from reporting."


"During O-Week [campus orientation week], I was constantly reminded to watch my drink and only walk in groups. Don't get me wrong; these are practical tips. But essentially, they tell women that they can behave in a certain way to avoid being victimized. But, why don't we tell men similar things? Why aren't there anti rape campaigns targeting women? Why don't we focus on telling men not to rape, instead of telling women how to not get raped?"

"How about we teach young men that when a woman says stop, they stop? Or that if a woman (or man) is drunk or unconscious, they should help them, instead of taking advantage of them?"

"I dream of a day when my daughter can walk down the street without the fear of being assaulted. And yes, I think that I should be able to wear whatever the hell I want without being labeled as a slut. This idea that men cannot control their impulses is archaic and offensive."

Belle is equally outspoken on issues

of the economy as she is on treating women with respect. Belle credits her Catholic upbringing for her conservatism. "I'm conservative. I'm very socially liberal, but I'm very economically conservative." On the Nolan Chart, Belle Knox appears to be here:



Here is some wisdom from Belle Knox on economic issues:

"I totally endorse... [Rand Paul]. He actually graduated from Duke, so that's just ANOTHER reason for me to love him."

"I felt that being screwed on camera was the best way to avoid being screwed by the higher education system."

She also admired Milton Friedman and Ayn Rand, author of Atlas Shrugged.

While Belle presents her image as a product and amps up the sexiness, she personally is affected by it all. She says, "A lot of my life has been ruined by sex." A five part documentary, "Becoming Belle Knox", depicts Miriam Week's real life experiences becoming the "Duke porn Star", and having the notoriety thrust upon her by her outing, and how it led to people viewing her not as Miriam Weeks the woman, but Belle Knox the sex object. Personally, I am more interested in Miriam Weeks for her mind than Belle Knox for her body. Unfortunately, her web site is focused on Belle Knox, the product, exploiting her beauty for maximum profit. I'm not knocking that. It's her right to make money from perverts if the demand is there. But there's nothing there for people who care





So that's the whole package: Belle Knox in body and mind. So she performs in adult films. Get over that. I have a problem with the industry. I personally think that pornography destroys lives. I've seen it first-hand. But viewers are responsible for their actions, so I'm not going calling for an end of an industry that consists of people freely entering it. If a person wants to end the pornography industry, 1) good luck, and 2) do it the libertarian way by eliminating the demand. Teach people its negative effects. The point is to not be so quick to judge. If you are critical of Belle Knox for her career, you are a hypocrite if you watch such films. Remember when "Roe", the poster girl from "Roe v Wade" jumped off the poster? The pro-life community embraced her. Meanwhile, pro-abortion groups has a problem with those who accepted her, because they were Christian. "How can you accept her? She's a homosexual. You have to shun her!" This says a lot more about them, misses the point and mischaracterizes Christianity in general. They may not agree with the lifestyle of some, but don't condemn people outright for their behavior. People should be the same way with Belle Knox. We never lived in her shoes. I'd rather she left the industry myself, but that's where she is in life. That's no reason for her not to be treated with the same respect that other women deserve. Even some libertarians have shunned Belle Knox. How about we listen to what she has to say, and judge her on her words? Christians call this "loving thy neighbor". Liberals call it "tolerance", something they always call for but rarely practice themselves.

Belle Knox is a libertarian that, hopefully, will be a rising voice in the fight for individual liberty and economic freedom. Keep on a lookout for her! (L)

#####

#### LIBERTORIAN WANTS YOU!

#####

We're looking for a few good writers to contribute to LiberTORian. You are free to write news, commentary, speculative fiction, humor or comedy with an emphasis on individual freedom or the U.S. Constitution. If you have historical knowledge we're also looking for people who can share history lessons with us.

If you want to contribute, send a text to this email address:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

If you want to comment on something from a past issue, feel free to contact us too!

LiberTORian is being posted at the SLAMSPEECH pastebin, which can be reached at one of these locations. If one isn't working currently, try the other one.

[pasterlczk6anaqz.onion](https://pastebin.com/pasterlczk6anaqz)  
[ka3ojmfcsmz76wse.onion](https://pastebin.com/ka3ojmfcsmz76wse)

#####

#### MESSAGE TO AMERICAN HOMOSEXUALS

#####

We are thrown off buildings for being gay in nations such as Saudi Arabia, Iran, and Iraq. It is a crime punishable by death where we live.

We don't get much sympathy from you in the United States, but you sure talk like you are suffering under worse oppression than we are, just because someone running a private business won't bake you a cake.

-Oppressed gays of Islamic nations



#####

## THE KAKISTOCRACY

-By G.P.S.-

#####

Add this word to your vocabulary;  
you will need it: Kakistocracy.

Practice pronouncing it a bit, until  
you are comfortable rolling it off  
your tongue without missing a beat:  
KAK-iz-TOCK-ris-see.

So what is it?

KAKISTOCRACY: n. Government run by  
the least qualified and most corrupt  
among the people.

That is what we have under the  
current administration.

Let's begin with the selection of  
Tim Geithner as the head of the  
Federal Reserve. It's bad enough  
that a tax cheat was put in charge of  
America's money supply. To add in-  
sult to injury, while in the IMF, he  
applied for supplements to pay his  
taxes, then pocketed the cash.

Then there's Obama himself. Calling  
him the least qualified is an under-  
statement; he never worked a day in  
a real life job and he's the one who  
is running the country? He promised  
the most transparent administration  
in history and gave us the most  
opaque. The only transparency is  
from all the spying he's done on us.

Then there are numerous scandals:

- o Operation Fast & Furious
- o IRS intimidation
- o Benghazi
- o VA Hospital Black Lists
- o Chicago Black Sites for torture

The list is too long to place here.  
This has prompted David Axelrod, the  
hack from the discredited rag The  
administration the most scandal-free  
ever.

Then there's Hillary Clinton, former  
secretary of state. If you don't  
know about her, or her role in  
Benghazi, lying to the parents of  
two of the Benghazi Four to their  
faces AT THEIR FUNERAL, or the  
Clinton Crime Family Syndicate, do  
us a favor and don't vote, and do a  
bit of research.

No one was less qualified to be the  
health care authority than Kathleen  
Sebelius, a non-doctor and disgraced  
governor of Kansas, who took bribes  
from an D&X abortionist to continue  
partial-birth abortions when it was  
banned in America.

The list goes on: Communist Jeff Van  
Jones, Samantha Powers, Cass Sustein  
and more. All of them the absolute  
worst people for the jobs they were  
given. This administration can all  
be summed up in one word: KAKIS-  
TOCRACY. Learn it. (L)

ERIC SNOWDEN COPY / PASTE / PRINT POSTER

We here at LibertORian have chosen a pure TEXT format (or T-file, for those of  
you who grew up in the 1980s). As such, the images we show are also made up of  
a mosaic of text characters. Well, we thought that this is actually something  
that looks when it's printed out on paper. So we decided to place the equiva-  
lent of a Tiger Beat pull-out poster in the middle of this issue. In this  
case, it's a copy/paste/print poster. As our gift to you, we present a picture  
of NSA Whistleblower Eric Snowden. Recently, the NSA spying program, which was  
expressly NOT in the Patriot Act, has been sunsetted thanks to him. So print  
this and be inspired by his honesty, courage, and love of Constitutional rights.



#####

## CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY

#####

the\_ant.txt

Here is a revised version of the old  
Aesop's Fable, THE ANT AND THE  
GRASSHOPPER. No author is mentioned

or any BBS information. But by the  
context of the update, the story was  
written most likely in the mid-90s,  
toward the end of the BBS era, and  
in the dark recesses of the Clinton  
administration.

#####

#####

## OLD FABLE

The ant works hard in the withering heat all summer long, building his house  
and laying up supplies for the winter.

The grasshopper thinks he's a fool and laughs and dances and plays the summer  
away. Come winter, the ant is warm and well fed. The grasshopper has no food or  
shelter so he dies out in the cold.

## CURRENT VERSION

The ant works hard in the withering heat all summer long, building his house  
and laying up supplies for the winter. The grasshopper thinks he's a fool and  
laughs and dances and plays the summer away. Come winter, the shivering  
grasshopper calls a press conference and demands to know why the ant should be  
allowed to be warm and well fed while others are cold and starving. CBS, NBC  
and ABC show up to provide pictures of the shivering grasshopper next to video  
of the ant in his comfortable home with a table filled with food.

America is stunned by the sharp contrast. How can it be that, in a country of  
such wealth, this poor grasshopper is allowed to suffer so? Then a  
representative of the NAAGB (The National Association for the Advancement of  
Green Bugs) shows up on Nightline and charges the ant with green bias, and  
makes the case that the grasshopper is the victim of 30 million years of  
greenism.

Kermit the Frog appears on Oprah with the grasshopper, and everybody cries when  
he sings "It's Not Easy Being Green."

Bill and Hillary Clinton make a special guest appearance on the CBS Evening  
News to tell a concerned Dan Rather that they will do everything they can for  
the grasshopper who has been denied the prosperity he deserves by those who  
benefited unfairly during the Reagan summers. Richard Gephardt exclaims in an  
interview with Peter Jennings that the ant has gotten rich off the back of the  
grasshopper, and calls for an immediate tax hike on the ant to make him pay his  
"fair share."

Finally, the EEOC drafts the "Economic Equity and Anti-Greenism Act"  
retroactive to the beginning of the summer. The ant is fined for failing to  
hire a proportionate number of green bugs. Having nothing left to pay his

retroactive taxes, the ant's home is confiscated by the government.

Hillary gets her old law firm to represent the grasshopper in a defamation suit against the ant. The case is tried before a panel of federal hearing officers that Bill appointed from a list of single-parent welfare moms who can only hear cases on Thursday's between 1:30 and 3 PM. The ant loses the case.

The story ends as we see the grasshopper finishing up the last bits of the ant's food while the government house he's in, which just happens to be the ant's old house, crumbles around him since he doesn't maintain it. The ant has disappeared in the snow. And on the TV, which the grasshopper bought by selling most of the ant's food, they are showing Bill Clinton standing before a wildly applauding group of Democrats announcing that a new era of "fairness" has dawned.

#####

INDEPENDENCE DAY: FOURTH OF JUNE?!!!

----

#####

- By Synonymous 1-

"We have to change our traditions", says Alinsky-ite Michelle Obama. (If you don't know what an Alinsky-ite is, LiberTORian has more work to do than expected!) She meant it. The traditions we have celebrate the things that make America great. It is precisely the reason that she spoke this to a group of youths.


Obama went to work changing American traditions as soon as his regime began. Right out of the starting gate, in 2009, he pooped on the memories of those killed in 9/11. By decree, Obama turned September 11TH from a day of remembrance and mourning to a day of "service". It is a tactic to get the generations to come to not think of, and then never know, the significance of the date. Instead, they will be using the day to "serve". Serve whom? the federal government? This is one of the insidious ways the administration expresses its bromance with Islamic terrorists, and how there is no time limit to their plan to destroy America's traditions.

The most recent attack on traditions is what LiberTORian calls, "The Purge, Part 2". The original purge was covered in issue 2's HARD COPY

section. The first purge was to eliminate any references to Islamic terrorism in FBI, CIA, and NSA documents. The second phase is for the government to attack non-Islamic influences in the military and international agencies.

The latest incident occurred at the U.S. embassy in Indonesia. This year, Independence Day falls in the Islamic period of Ramadan. Think of Ramadan as Lent for Muslims. In order not to offend Muslims, and the terrorists if you ask me, Obama moved the Fourth of July celebration to the Fourth of JUNE! So the Ramadan celebration won't be interrupted by us meddling Americans with their honoring America's birth and the freedom declared by the states on July 4TH (well, the ratifying began On the 2ND of July, but that's ok.)

Well, up your nose with a rubber hose, Obama! You don't give a whit about not just offending Americans, but bringing misery to them, but we have to tiptoe around Muslims? Are they all going to snap and kill us all? Just as you purposely omit, "ENDOWED BY THEIR CREATOR" whenever you quote the Declaration, you hate all that makes America great. ((L))



- BY SYNONYMOUS 1 -

Seven million liberty dollars are in existence. They're privately minted one ounce silver rounds. In the Great Lakes states and surrounding areas, people used them to barter for goods and services. And they were declared "counterfeits" by the U.S. government.

A story like this is usually told with the history first, then analysis afterward. I'm going to go right ahead and address the question outright: Commerce or Counterfeit?

I'll explain the Liberty Dollar to those who are unfamiliar with it in a moment. First, let's look at the actual text of the Constitution on the subject of counterfeiting:

#### Section 8 - Powers of Congress

The Congress shall have Power...  
[various powers listed]

To provide for the Punishment of counterfeiting the Securities and current Coin of the United States;

"Securities" refers to financial securities. In other words, assets such as currency and other paper backed up by assets such as gold.

The Constitution explicitly gives the congress the power to punish counterfeiters of CURRENT COIN of the United States. Current Coin is any coin that is currently produced by the United States mint (also in section 8, and forbidden at the state level in section 10).

If one examines the Liberty Dollar,

it is apparent that it may resemble a U.S. coin in some respects, but it also features many devices that are not found on any coins of the past or present. Let's compare and contrast the Liberty Dollar and standard U.S. coinage:

#### SIMILARITIES

- o They are both circular and have reeded edges like the quarter dollar
- o They both are dated
- o They have an obverse and reverse
- o The word "liberty" is depicted
- o There is a printed denomination

#### DIFFERENCES

- o Liberty dollars feature a copyright
- o Liberty dollars feature a web URL and/or a phone number
- o Liberty dollars feature the head of Liberty. Past U.S. coinage has done so, but no current coinage does
- o U.S. coinage is minted with its country's motto, "In God We Trust". Liberty Dollars don't, but sometimes feature a related motto, such as, "Trust in God"
- o Liberty Dollars are minted in denominations greater than one dollar. Only U.S. bullion coins are
- o Liberty dollars are made of precious metals such as silver. Only U.S. bullion coins are
- o Liberty dollars are often struck in higher relief, and are of greater aesthetic beauty than their U.S. government counterparts.
- o Most Liberty Dollars are printed with the word "NORFED".



Thus, it is obvious that the Liberty Dollar is not a U.S. coin, makes no attempt to mimic a U.S. coin, and is undoubtedly NOT a counterfeit.

Now, on with the story.

The first Liberty Dollars were struck in 1998. There's nothing unusual about this. Private mints and jewelers often produced their own 1 ounce silver rounds. The only major difference was the inclusion of a date. The coin's creator and chief engraver, Bernard von Nothaus, was concerned about inflation and the devaluation of the dollar. He first minted his silver rounds to be used as an alternative to currency in private commerce, an asset of intrinsic value.

Within a few years, Liberty Dollars were being circulated in exchange for goods and services in Michigan, Northern Indiana, and surrounding states, the area surrounding the Liberty Dollar's "federal reserve", which von Nothaus called "NORFED".

There were 64 varieties of Liberty Dollars during its lifespan which ran until 2009, when Obama came in. The feds then shut down von Nothaus' operation and arrested him for counterfeiting. Some varieties are limited editions; others featured activist themed reverses. Several Liberty dollar reverses honored individual states, depicted guns and stood for the Second Amendment, or featured Ron Paul, famous for being a critic of the Federal Reserve. The 2008 Ron Paul dollar is another aspect about Liberty Dollars that differs from American coinage: it featured a living person.

Many businesses within NORFED's sphere of influence posted signs indicating that they accepted Liberty Dollars as payment. NORFED also minted copper one ounce coins. It is a physical precursor to the rise of bitcoin (see LiberTorian issue 2) and, while it made no

attempt to replace currency, it was a legitimate barter medium. It was also, like bitcoin, one of the most private transactions a person could make. It's no surprise that the Federal Reserve, who prefers to track transactions, had a problem with the Liberty Dollar.

#### THE LEGAL SUIT AGAINST von NOTHAUS

The legal suit against von Nothaus had more to do with persecution for political beliefs than the phony charges of counterfeiting. NORFED (pronounced NOR-fed) stands for "National Organization for the Repeal of the Federal Reserve". The federal reserve notes are not backed by anything and essentially have as much value as an overproduced baseball card. U.S. coinage is all made of base metal. Pennies have been made of zinc since 1982. They are coated with a super-thin layer of copper to make them appear more valuable than they really are. Dimes and quarters are mostly copper with a thin layer of copper/nickel to make them appear more valuable. The only coin whose metal is nearly worth its value is the nickel, made of 75% copper: a composition that, except for four years during World War II, has not changed since the Civil War!

The NORFED Liberty Dollar was made not to replace the Federal Reserve, but to provide an alternative for people whose confidence in it is shaken. Unlike U.S. money, the Liberty Dollar is an asset of true value, while U.S. currency is made of cotton and paper, and coins of base metals.

The trial itself was uneventful and predictable. Statist attorney Anne Tompkins actually called NORFED's actions an act of "terrorism":

"While these forms of anti-government activities do not involve violence, they are every bit as insidious and represent a present

and clear danger to the economic stability of this country."

Considering this is coming from an administration that considers ISIS a "Junior Varisty Team" (quoting Barack Obama), von NotHaus doesn't really present a danger, does he? If he is a terrorist, what does it make Ben Bernanke, Barack Obama, Tim Geithner and the Federal Reserve? 2011 was the year they began their "quantitative easing" programs, printing money to no end to purposely devalue the money supply until it's worthless. NOW! Someone tell Anne Tompkins to charge them with economic terrorism! HURRY!

Fair-minded people have a big problem with this assessment. To quote Walt Theissen, who commented on NOLAN CHART dot com:

"The real question in her [Anne Tompkins'] view was whether those who advocate the use of gold and silver as money should be considered patriots or terrorists. In her view, honest people who want honest money that has honest value are considered, 'terrorists'."

This isn't the first time the Obama administration reversed the terms. Remember the memo that went out to Missouri police, based on a study by statist senator Claire McCaskill? It identified Ron Paul supporters, critics of a North American Union, people in the tea party, pro-life people (more than half the population), and people with "don't tread on me" bumper stickers as terrorists.

Meanwhile, no one knows what happened to the \$7 million in Liberty dollars that were confiscated by the FBI.

The jury found von NotHaus guilty on all counts after a mere 2 hours of deliberation. von NotHaus called for an acquittal and a mistrial, and

that he be tried again. In 2014, three years later, Judge Vorhees denied the motion. He could face five years in prison and up to \$250,000 in fines, although the silver confiscated by the FBI more than made up for it.

The most ignorant among us really think von NotHaus was trying to pass off his "coins" as money. "What was he thinking?" Those who took to message boards really think he was counterfeiting. Then they mock the libertarian mindset in the process. Of course, they'd be the first to whine when their money is worthless.

## LIBERTY DOLLAR 2

Far from the North Carolina trial, Joseph Vaughn Perling of California began minting Liberty Dollars in 2013. He also acquired the 1-888-LIB-DOLL(ar) phone number. The operation wasn't around long. When you dial the number, you get this message, after a female greeting:

"Do not leave a message, as messages will not be returned. Liberty Dollar is closed until after the trial. -BEEP-"

GoldLine and Glenn Beck circumvented the Feds by issuing a limited edition "medal". Just call your one ounce coins "medals" and you are safe.

Liberty Dollars are collectible today. Most sell on eBay for more than their metal value. People can still use silver as a currency alternative. The U.S. government makes their own: the Silver Eagle. This coin, in essence, is an officially sanctioned counterfeit, according to their definition. Private jewelers make 1 oz rounds. The Canadian mint produces Maple Leaves, which contain more silver than U.S. Silver Eagles. So the moral is, if you're an entrepreneur, you get the shaft. The feds don't like competition, or economic stability. ((L))

#####

H A R D            C O P Y

- By Synonymous 1 -

#####

WHO BUILT THAT by Michelle Malkin

Born in Hawaii, raised in Indonesia, moved to Chiacgo, Barack Hussein Obama had everything in life handed to him on a silver platter. Having never worked a day in his life, he has no concept of earning a living. In 2012, he said to those who worked hard to start and maintain a business successfully, "You didn't build that! Someone else [the government] did that." It was a slap in the face to those who actually labor to earn a living.

Born in Philadelphia, raised in South Jersey, moved to Colorado, writer Michelle Malkin understands the value of hard work. Having been instilled with a strong work ethic from her family and schooling, she strives to be the best at what she does, whether it's playing the piano or prolific research and writing on topical issues. Her most recent book, WHO BUILT THAT, celebrates the entrepreneur, and more so, those who spend their lives thinking and tinkering to improve their ideas until they are perfect. She coined the term, "tinkerpreneurs" to describe them.

WHO BUILT that is as engrossing as it is entertaining. Electronics geeks or at least steampunk junkies will enjoy Nikola Tesla's experiments which were truly ahead of their time. Everyone loves an underdog story, expect the statists who say you didn't build that. And the section on toilet paper is

! DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN !  
BITCOIN ADDRESS

12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s

entertaining, considering how mundane the topic appears on the surface. The reader comes to appreciate the fact that there are people in the world who devoted so much time thinking about such things as toilet paper. Our world is a better place because of them, yet we take their contributions for granted.

Malkin's book takes time for us to step back and see a bigger picture. And she does it in her signature blunt frankness, while at the same time blasting away the liberal ideals that stifle and squash that creative spirit. WHO BUILT THAT is a truly inspiring book that makes you want to go out there and join the ranks of the tinkerpreneurs.

One more thing: Michelle Malkin's inner geek shines through in this title. In this book, nerdiness is shown as something cool. ((L))

%%%

T H E            9 T H            A M E N D M E N T

%%%

Our Amendment of the day is the Ninth Amendment. The 9th Amendment ensures that just because a right is not in the Constitution, that does not mean that the rights don't exist!

The enumeration in the Consti-  
tution, of certain rights, shall  
not be construed to deny or dis-  
parage others retained by the  
people.

So, don't get any funny ideas,  
politicians! ((L))

#####

## THE SIGNIFICANCE OF HARD COPY

#####

At least for now, our HARD COPY feature has been for books that were recently released, and are available in both hardback and eBook formats. And we find importance to tactile, tangible books. As much as Liberatorian loves electronic books, and using technology to share knowledge, we also recommend that you collect real books of historical importance.

The benefits of eBooks are apparent:

- o Easy to reproduce and distribute
- o Easy to search text digitally
- o Many volumes of works require little storage space
- o Can be sent instantaneously to others via electronic means.

The benefits of traditional books may not be so apparent to someone in a younger generation. Take the following into consideration. Un and eight planets. They

### \*TRADITIONAL BOOKS ARE HARD TO FAKE

A person who owns an original copy of Margaret Sanger's books, letters, and periodicals has the upper hand when someone claims that she isn't a racist, and didn't form Planned Parenthood for racist reasons. Other half-truths, when put into the full context of her whole writings, give a deeper explanation for more accuracy. Margaret Sanger supported Nazis, for many reasons. However, she wrote in detail her opposition to the Nazis for their nationalism, not for any other reason. Pro-Sanger people only post small snippets of her essays out of context to paint a false picture of her.

This is only one example of the power of books. Historians who collect, archive and read documents from the Revolutionary War period

- By Synonymous 1 -

dispel myths that originated in university campuses that the Founding Fathers were Deists, or weren't Christian, including Thomas Jefferson. They provides a fuller picture that contrasts with intentionally sanitized versions of history. For example, HBO omitted all references to John Adam's religion in their historically inaccurate miniseries based on his life. True documents written by him prove that his deeply held Calvinist beliefs were so ingrained in him that it was reflected in all he said and did.

### \*TRADITIONAL BOOKS DEPICT LIFE EXACTLY AS IT WAS AT THE TIME THEY WERE WRITTEN

I own books on astronomy that cover The s

exclude Pluto. This is not because Pluto was demoted from planetary status in 2005. It's because the books I own were published before 1930, when Pluto was discovered.

Original books allow us to see the world candidly through the authors' eyes, without the fog of time.

### \*TRADITIONAL BOOKS CAN'T BE EASILY ALTERED

If someone doesn't like an eBook, it's not difficult to revise one. If someone wants to change a traditional book, one must publish a new one from scratch. If there is information in the traditional book that presents a problem, the person or people with the problem must hunt down and burn every copy.

The content of a real book is in ink and there's something nice and permanent about ink. Ink is bold. By

extention, something printed in ink is bold. It's defiant. It invites the reader to take it on. A user who doesn't like what a eBook has to say can easily delete it and forget about it. It's not so ease with a book. You've got to destroy one of those.

#### \*TRADITIONAL BOOKS BYPASS TECHNOLOGY

Let's say that the Chinese hack the internet and it goes down. Or some rogue insurgent detonates an EMP. Or Obama declares an emergency and that the internet is to go "dark" until further notice. You may not have access to your eBooks. Books aren't defeated by technology that fails mankind.

I had posited this scenario to a Fundamentalist Christian friend of mine, who wanted to get rid of all his books, including this Bible. I said, that may a time you want to turn to your Bible for guidance, and if you had rid yourself of it, it's no longer available to you. He since reconsidered.

#### \*PEOPLE BETTER RETAIN INFORMATION FROM REAL BOOKS

It's been scientifically proven that the cognitive process when reading a book is different than when the same person reads an eBook. There is something about physically turning the page and placing a finger on the lines being read than skimming and scrolling. I can't explain it. I just read the study. Maybe if the results of the study were in a regular book, I would be better able to explain it!

#### WHAT YOU CAN DO - WHAT YOU CAN DO

Become the archivist for your community. In addition to collecting books on topics you enjoy, also compile ones on topics of history,

analysis of events, textbooks, and technology, as well as how-to books. seek out books that you think are crucial for future generations to know about our culture and those of past generations, and those that teach skills that may be lost in the future.

Collect books and eBooks. If you or someone in your tea party or 9/12 group, or other trusted community has the time, scan and archive the books you own.

Modern books can be found cheaply! Books tend to depreciate and you can find titles that are a few years old for cheap! Libraries and yard sales are great places to buy books at basement prices.

Older books, and first editions, are often expensive. In cases like this it may be impractical to buy these books. This is where eBooks are so helpful! Become familiar with this web site:

<https://archive.org>

There you can download texts and magazines from the past that have entered the public domain. Seek out and make your own archive of books you find important.

The Obama administration is notorious for "scrubbing" their Freudian slips from the internet. CAPTURE ANYTHING YOU SEE FROM STATISTS and make hard copies!

I finally completed Ray Bradbury's The Martian Chronicles. The final chapter, written first, is "The Million Year Picnic." What is the Fahrenheit 451 author's obsession with burning books? In this story, he writes it as a positive thing! After Earth destroys itself, a surviving Martian colonist burns the archives of Earth history, so we don't repeat it. Maybe they might now that there are no records! Let us keep our mistakes instead, so we LEARN NOT to repeat them! ((L))

#####

## INDEX OF FTP/DOCUMENTS/JOURNAL

- By Synonymous 1 -

#####

Once again, here is a document I keep to commit what I've been doing to memory, and to provide a guide for other people new to TOR who are even more lost than I am! You may

laugh at this if you're a l33t haxxor, but remember that I'm writing for myself and others like me! Here is a record of a n00b finding his way around the deep web.

#####

2015 05 17

It's been a week since I dived into the Deep Web. I spend an hour chasing dead links. Even the Hidden Wiki was down most of the time I clicked the bookmark, and I'm talking about the clearnet address (<http://thehiddenwiki.net>)

I simply can't find an .onion forum to discuss things or find some direction in the deep web (which its users absurdly calls "onionland"). After giving up for a while, I duck duck went, "Where does the Electronic Frontier Foundation stand on Net Neutrality", a question I was curious about before but forgot to check until I saw EFF in a list of links. It turns out they're for the FCC getting involved, dictating terms to providers, and censoring users. Traitors. I also learned that they opposed it unless the FCC "does it right".

I tried out the self-destructing SMS site:

<http://sms4tor3vcr2geip.onion>

This was memorized so easily, I type it in to use it. First, I typed in the message,

"This is a test. This is only a test".

Then I clicked the link when finished. It provided me with the URL. It definitely is important to get the URL correct, or ensure that it was copied correctly, or copied at all. I opened a new tab and deleted the source. Pasting the URL, the message showed up. I repeated the process. The second time, the URL was a dead link. Perfect!

I searched around an IRC chat room to find another Tor user to try it on, but found none.

One of the only link links on the Hidden Wiki that worked was ParaZite's list of links: <http://kpynyvym6xqi7wz2.onion/links.html>. Most of the links, if not all, are clearnet sites.

Remember when I said how evil many people in the Deep Web are? Here's some prime examples. The links are in categories and sub-categories. "Liberty and Privacy" opens with two links to Electronic Frontier Foundation.

Much of the content is Finnish, I assume it's ParaZite's home nation.

He also wrongly terms Anonymity Network, "darknets".

"Internet" gave me a good laugh; "Google" was listed as a "quality" web search! Strangely, Duck Duck Go wasn't included; strange for someone concerned with privacy.

"Society" lists several subcategories, starting with "Jihad". There are a few anti-jihad sites listed, but this one stuck out:

Suomen Al-Qaida RY, the registered organization I am going to establish, join now! donation for bin laden expected and accepted!

So the guy about this site is going to make a "Charity" for Osama bin Laden. This kind of makes most of what follows make sense.

After "Jihad" is "Organizations". By "Organizations", he means, "Terrorist Organizations". However, their sites are all plainly listed so that watchdogs can visit and see what these evil people are scheming:

FARC - Al Qaeda - Hezbollah - Hamas - Supporters of "Shareeah [sic]" - Kavkaz

"Politics" is next, and isn't much better.

the Progressive Labor Party - Communist Party - USA, "McCarthy fan club" - Sinn Féin, the political wing of IRA - Transnational Radical Party, the party i would like to vote for the Parliament of Finland

Other links are government agencies that are described as tyrants and such; kind of ironic. I'm not defending the agencies, but it's funny.

The end of this section is "Anarchy". I use quotes because many "anarchists" support tyrannical regimes and big, intrusive government, or communism, but still consider them anarchists."

"Gore". Need I say more. Worship and glorification of real death. By the way, the Nick Berg beheading video by ISIS is a snuff film. Some people pretend to believe that snuff films don't exist. I don't know why.

"Suicide and Euthanasia" lists sites for fans.

"Drugs" is the typical onion stuff.

"People" lists a link to "Mika Ebeling" (<http://isuisse.ifrance.com/emmaf/>), citing "'pro-life', anti-abortion, anti-euthanasia. Notice "pro-life" is in quotes. No grip on reality. Really sad.

This is everything wrong in the deep web in one site. For a vast, underground frontier, there is very little diversity of thought on this site...

Well, at last I found one link of the type I've been seeking... and it's functional! This is the only site of it's kind I've been able to find so far. It's called the Alpha-7-Bravo (A7B) Blog.

<http://opnju4nyz7wbypme.onion/weblog/index.html>

Here's the title of the most recent post:

Sat May 2 13:45:48 MDT 2015  
UN AGENDA 21... SLAVERY AND INJUSTICE FOR ALL

Here's the beginning of the content; you have to click for more:

UN AGENDA 21 IS NOT ABOUT SAVING THE PLANET Have you heard the one about "Think Globally, Act Locally"? [The excerpt is found in issue 2's DEEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY]

The article is accurate, well-researched, and not at all paranoid.

A link to the 1987 movie AMERIKA is in another post, but the link wasn't connecting when I tried. Maybe another time.

The sad thing about this page is that all discussion, feedback, or contacts to the webmaster requires Javascript to be turned on. This is something I'm not ready to do. Maybe in the future, I'll make an exception for one visit to this site.

Don't know of his politics nor do I care. All I want is someone who believes in freedom and is consistent about it! One of the sections of his site is an NSA Whistleblowers Heroes Gallery. That's also a good sign. Maybe I'm on the right track.

#####

D N S     L O O K U P     F A I L E D

#####

This wraps up another great issue of LiberTORian... in our opinion. Our intent is to entertain, educate, and inspire you to action. We're always looking for material from YOU, the reader. send email in text format to:

synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

But if you really want to do something, write on topics of restoring freedom to your local paper. Engage in the community conversation. Do not allow statist's articles or letters to the editor go unchecked.

I don't suggest that you go onto the comments section of a local newspaper's web site. It's a breeding ground for leftist trolls who have nothing better to do. If you do respond, keep it classy. Let the

readers see the difference between the unhinged leftists and your reasoned arguments. Even ignore the worst of them after a while, turning the Alinsky tactic back on them. Readers, for the most part, are smart enough to discern the contrast between people who are civil and reasonable and those who are unhinged. Don't sink to their level. Instead, give them the rope and they will often hang themselves.

LiberTORian wants to be YOUR newsletter. If you care about privacy or freedom, write us! It's the 1ST and easiest step at taking action. And, the odds are that your writing skills, whatever your level, still surpass New York Times' writers'!

((L))

--- END OF FILE ---



<http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion>

# LOOSE

## MAGAZINE

Volume 1 - Issue 4 - LATE JULY 2015

RACHEL DOLEZAL: AN ISSUE  
AS CLEAR AS BLACK AND WHITE?

```
...:;;....
.':::;ccc;c,;,,.....
. ...'lc:oloooddxxlloolc:lc:;;.
..';lo;.':::oxxxkxxkO000000kxddoolc;',,,.
..cooodxxdxxddxO0000000000000000kxxddlclool:,...
.:ldxkk00000000K00000KKK0KKKK0000000kxxdolkdllo;',''.
...;'cddxkk00000000KK0000KKXXKKKKKKKKKKK0000000kddol:'.
.,:lldxxkO00xO0000000KKKK000KKXXKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000kxoc''.
ALSO: .,;loodkkO0kO00kO00000000000K00KXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000kdlc,..
.';lodxxkO00000dkO0kO000000KKKKKKXXKKKK0KKXXKKKKKKKKK0KK0000xl:'...
.,;oodoxO00000kOxodxkkkO000K0KKKKXXKKKKK000XKKKKKKXX000000kdo:.
HOW .,oddxxkO0000000lollddxxkO00000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000kxxlc,.
TO .,;:cokO0000000o',;::clldxO00000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000kxxl:'.
SCREW ., .,lxkO000000l....',;coxxkxkO000KKKKKKXXKKKKKKKKKKK00kdlcc'.
A . .':dddkkkO000o'.....c;;:cclodxxk0KXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000xc:.
LIGHT .,lcodxxO000l.','..';:l:dkxdlldk0KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000o:,.
BULB .coeddxkO00klclde.;,;,,;,:cldkO0KKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000d;'.
COMPANY .';;ldkkOO:.','..';:,'',;:ldxO0KKKKKKKKKKK0KK0Od'
.,;lxkkk,.....';:,'',;:ccodxO00KKXXKKK00KK00o.
.,ldxk,.....:ccc;,:ccloxkoOKKKKKKKKKK0x:.
.'dxxl.;:.';:c;:cclxxkO00K00kd;
MEET .:dddxcc'c,..'':ccc;',;:dXKkoloo;..
THE .':cc:.....',;:,'',;:do,.....
ANARCHISTS .....'.',;:cccccc;,.
.. .,;:cclllllcc;';kd:.
.,;:looooddooollc;,:ONNk.
.....l;':looooollllc:::xXX0x....
Confederate .. .,;:OX;...';:ccc::cdKKKK00:.
Controversy .,;:lodxxkO00xox0XO....',;:cc::;cxKKKKKKK0x,.
.,ckKKKKKKK00kxdkOXXl'...'':cc;:;:dKKKKKKX00K00o.
```

%%

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

%%

ACQUIRING RELAY	3	LibertORian Volume 1, Issue 4
QUOTABLE QUOTES	4	A publication of Synonymous 1. Published July, 2015.
DEEP WEB LINK OF THE DAY Harry 71's Onion Spider	5	LibertORian is published on an irregular basis.
FEATURE Screwing a Light Bulb Company	6	N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome, actually encouraged, to copy and distribute this text by any means possible, preferable by photocopy- ing.
DAVID NOLAN'S QUAGMIRE: PART 1 Libertarianism and The Right to Life	8	
MEET THE LIBERTARIANS Part 1: Anarchists	9	LIBERTORIAN HAS DEEP WEB SITE: <a href="http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion/">http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion/</a>
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT OF THE DAY The Fourth Amendment	10	(Thanks to a user on OnionLand)
BLURB Statist President	10	CORRESPONDENCE: <a href="mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com">synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com</a>
FEATURE Rachel Dolezal: As clear as Black and White?	11	Send submissions to LibertORian at the above address.
GUEST POST The Matrix vs The X-Men by Moxxygen	13	DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN: <a href="#">12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGIM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s</a>
BLURB LibertORian Wants Your Words/ Money	14	
FEATURE Confederate Controversy	15	
IN THE NEXT LIBERTORIAN	20	
CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY annoy99	21	
D.N.S. LOOKUP FAILED An appeal to supporters of SCOTUS	24	

Don't <  
hurt <  
people <  
<  
and <  
don't <  
take <  
their <  
stuff. <

%%

## A C Q U I R I N G     R E L A Y

- By Synonymous 1 -

%%

Thanks for reading. Now, more than ever, it's important to remain ever vigilant in defending liberty. The United States is finally, as Barack Obama pledged, fundamentally transformed. In 2008, America still was a fairly free republic with a major problem with its politicians. In seven short, while at the same time, long years, the country as it has been founded is over. The federal government has been wiping itself with the U.S. Constitution, and now they have finally flushed. America is no longer the free country it once was.

Along with freedom is common sense. Our president is delusional. He actually says that he restored America's respectability in the world. Someone invoke the 25TH Amendment of the Constitution and remove Obama from power, since he's obviously not mentally fit for office.

The next president has a lot of fixing to do. No more talk of reform; this nation must be RESTORED. I envision a day when people are not persecuted for their beliefs. I envision a day when justice is applied equally to all. I envision a day when rights are respected and wrongs are corrected. I envision a government that once again serves the people, and the demise of the current one that demands our servitude. That will require daunting work and perseverance, and a constant fight against the forces of statism who will oppose any loss of the power they've grasped. It may take generations, but remember, the progressives have chipped away at freedom for an entire century! There is no more quick fix. As the law of entropy suggests, it is much easier and required far more energy

to destroy something than it does to repair it. This is what every freedom-minded person must do:

- 1) Oppose all efforts of government brainwashing. Their current attempt is to latch on to the gay mafia, and exploit their thuggery to teach children from kindergarten on that there is no MALE or FEMALE. LEARN YOUR BIOLOGY. A person has male or female DNA. RESIST and OPPOSE government brainwashing, no matter how absurd it sounds. For they have been successful in convincing people all kinds of nonsense in the last decade! (the Man-Made Global Warming Hoax, for example. Also, the majority of people have been brainwashed into believing that the homosexual population is 20 to 25%, when it is not even 2% in reality!)
- 2) Know that God is in control and wins in the end. Also know that he is a God of justice. We have been spoiled as Americans, taking our freedom for granted. Understand that 95% of the entire population of the world's history has lived under oppression. What America is experiencing is not new or unique; it's only new for us. As you become active, know that societies are like a pendulum. They always swing back in the other direction eventually even if they are turned upside down first.
- 3) Be people of faith. When it comes time of revolution, do not go out with an attitude of seeking vengeance. Do not be people of the lowest common denominator, like Occupy Wall Street. In fact, become the ANTI-Occupy Wall Street. When we engage the

enemies of freedom, let us conduct ourselves with honor. Pray not for victory in battle, but guidance to fulfill God's will, and for the conversion of the souls of our enemy. Let's make our children and our children's children proud of us.

4) This isn't such a hard one, and it's something I covered in issue 3: archive everything, especially the moves of the enemies of freedom. I personally collected hundreds of documents from the Obama administration, the U.N., and various senators that paint history AS IT IS, IN THEIR OWN WORDS. Again, it is a way to make our children proud, because when they read these documents, they will ask, "Where were you to stand up and oppose this when it was happening?"

5) Be a beacon of light. This means to be prepared for dark times which are ahead for yourself, your family, and others who will have nowhere to turn. America's light of hope will be extinguished for a long time. It is ironic that a person who's campaign slogan was "hope" is the one most responsible for it! Don't get me wrong; many are at fault, and George W. "We must suspend the free market in order to save it" Bush is also one of them. But none has been such a malicious catalyst as the current occupant in the White House and the Kakistocracy that he brought with him. When America is not there to defend freedom in other nations, let alone our own, all the America-haters around the world will be singing a different tune, like, "We sure wish you were back again." On an individual level, be the hope others are seeking.

6) Join a group of liberty-minded people. Be sure that their values are close to your own. I left my local Tea Party group because they raised up a nurse who advocated active euthanasia (look up the difference between active and passive euthanasia), fostered anti-Catholic sentiment within the

community, and also told absurd statistics such as, "95% of people support Dr. Kravorkian". I'm sorry, but there's almost NOTHING that 95% agree on, so I have serious doubts on your invented statistic. There aren't even 95% of Americans who think ISIS is evil! It wasn't the place for me.

It's no longer a time to remain passive or ignorant to the world around us. I don't know what else to do, except 1) pray, 2) use what talents you have. (I can write, so that's what I'm doing), and 3) a leader will arise eventually who will be the next Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. Follow him or her.

And remember, it took 100 years, beginning with Woodrow Wilson and Wisconsin University professors, to get to where we are today. It is not going to be repaired overnight. Remember: Entropy.

There is one more thing you should do: acquire a Bible and a U.S. Constitution. Read, study and learn from them both. Understand the meaning behind the words. It's only by comprehending the wisdom of the past that we can forge a better future. ((L))

! DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN !  
! BITCOIN ADDRESS !

12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s

%%

Q U O T A B L E      Q U O T E S

%%

"Not to speak IS to speak; not to act IS to act."

-Deidreich Bonnhoeffer

%%

DEEP WEB LINK OF THE DAY:

>>> skunki3q6etts35z.onion <<<

%%

Harry 71's Onion Spider

<http://skunki3q6etts35z.onion/>

I came to the deep web as a novice, lost and unable to find my way around. I'm happy to say that this is no longer the case. I owe much of it to Harry 71's Onion Spider.

The Onion Spider is a program that crawls through the deep web, seeking and reporting new or undiscovered unt, sites.

The main site is extremely clean, providing the visitor with options:

- o show the entire list of links
- o show links and details by the character in the site name (2-7 and A-Z)
- o show newly-discovered sites

I visit new sites that don't look like they contain bad content. Some contain links to the type of forums that I've been looking for. Checking the new sites is a great resource for active links, ones that actually work. Inexperienced deep web users learn quickly that onion URLs are often deactivated, for many reasons. One is that people just take down their hidden servers, so there is a lot of fluidity. Another is the Freedom Hosting takedown by the FBI in 2013, which shut down as much as half of the deep web's links! Most of the newly-discovered onion URLs are active links, and just may have what you're looking for. If you find a link featuring something despicable, never visit it again. It's that simple.

Visitors to Harry 71's Onion Spider have the option to view a web link's detailed information. Doing so may

allow you to see subdirectories of the main site, including FTP locations. This can come in handy for people looking for something specific, and is also helpful in navigating a site.

It could take days searching all of the thousands of links in the site. But thanks to Control-F, a user may type in a keyword and find a desired appropriate site. For instance, if someone is looking for a mail server to establish a hidden email acco


he can type in "mail" and find web sites where "mail" is in the site's brief description. Of course, there are many listed sites without descriptions. Those links should be clicked cautiously. I found one site that featured a music video by ISIS, glorifying the bombing of innocents and statist theocracy. Good-bye.

Harry 71's Onion Spider is a great resource for new users to the deep web and seasoned users alike. The links are unbiased, unlike Google, and provide all the links, good and bad, as equals, unlike Google, which discriminates against the good. It leaves the user as the ultimate judge, which is what libertarianism is all about! ((L))

By the way, here is ISIS' position on the Nolan Chart (estimated):

```

      .
      . . .
      . . . . .
      . . .---. . .
      . . . |   | . . .
      . . .---. . .
      . . . . .
      . .0.
      .
```



In 2008, President Bush catered to the eco-extremists, passing a new law that bans the use of traditional light bulbs...or what I call, "REAL" light bulbs. This is infuriating to libertarians, as the government has just come in and taken a perfectly good legal product and made its manufacture, sale, and use illegal. This is all to push the allegedly "green" corkscrew light bulbs, also called compact fluorescent bulbs, or what I call, "POISON" light bulbs.

This is a slap in the face to free choice. First of all, studies have shown that poison light bulbs aren't the energy savers they've been trumped up to be. Once again, this demonstrates the government's folly of taking action before the facts are out. Secondly, I don't want the poison light bulbs in my home, for many reasons! For one thing, they are POISON! They contain lethal amounts of mercury. Poison bulbs are also notorious for burning out prematurely, with a pop. Whenever a poison bulb pops, it emits mercury vapors. The levels are so high that the government tells you to call a HazMat team to visit your home! In fact, there is a 15-step guide that the government issued to dispose of a poison bulb that breaks. The same government that banned mercury thermometers (another intrusion) is forcing a more volatile version of the same poison INTO our homes!!!

The law banned the 100-watt bulb by 2010 and the 75-watt bulb by 2012.

```

%           S C R E W I N G           %
%                                     %
%           A                           %
%                                     %
%           L I G H T                   %
%                                     %
%           B U L B                     %
%                                     %
%           C O M P A N Y               %
%                                     %
%                                     %
%           - By Synonymous 1 -         %

```

Rush Limbaugh said that he prepared for the ban; he bought a 10-year supply of real 100-watt bulbs. Good idea, but five of those 10 years are behind us. Michelle Bachmann's bill to bring back them back was defeated by statistis in the House of Represenatatives. What will you do in 2020?

I personally own a 25-year supply, which is all I could afford with my paycheck not going as far as it once did. Thanks, Obama. Again, this is insufficient to provide real light bulbs for my children. There are alternatives such as LED lighting, and I love those, but they are expensive. Until an innovator comes up with something new that isn't regulated out of existence because the idea is too good, there is only a finite supply of 100-watt bulbs. Eventually, America will be to light bulbs what Cuba is to cars. Well, maybe not, since Obama is lifting sanctions on communist dictators so they can raise the standard of living for the elitists that run the government, but it never trickles down to the people because their countries don't have capitalism, either. So, what to do?

For some unknown reason, three-way real bulbs are still allowed to be produced. Their wattages are 50-100-150. But those and use them as 100-watt bulbs. Be sure to hoard them!

The second solution is to deal with

the ban on 75-watt real bulbs. But first, a little on the other changes mandated by the U.S. government.

Lights are now measured in output brightness, or lumens. I know about lumens because, although I'm not gay, I studied interior design. For one thing, most people don't provide adequate illumination to their homes. It wouldn't hurt you to have brighter bulbs in your home. Light bulbs brightness decrease geometrically the farther one stands from its source. At 20 feet, you receive a quarter the light as you do at 10 feet, and one ninth the light at 30 feet.

Here are a list of standard wattage traditional bulbs and their corresponding lumen output:

4 Watts	20 lumens
25 Watts	180 lumens
40 Watts	400 lumens
60 Watts	800 lumens
75 Watts	1100 lumens
100 Watts	1600 lumens
150 Watts	2500 lumens

Notice how the lumen to watt ratio increases as the wattage of the bulb increases. Whereas a 4 watt bulb provides a mere 5 lumens per watt, a 100 watt bulb emits 16 lumens per watt. In the backwards mentality of the 2008 congress & President Bush 43, the most efficient bulbs are the ones that are banned!

The solution provided by the light bulb company is to reduce the wattage of light bulbs and offer them to the public. The government now orders that light bulbs be measured in lumens. Amazing to say, this is one change made by the government that actually makes sense! Better yet, it offers the opportunity to screw the light bulb companies.

The list that follows indicates the new wattages of bulbs, and that of the classic bulbs that they're intended to replace:

72 watt bulbs	replace	100 watt bulbs
53 "	"	75 "
43 "	"	60 "
28 "	"	40 "

Okay. Now each bulb is supposed to produce lumens comparable to the older bulb it replaces. But now, you can use upgrade these new bulbs and increase your room's brightness. Your lamps are designed to handle wattages up to the maximum wattage marked on each lamp. So, when life gives you new bulbs, make new bulbade.

Their Wattage Replaces; Use As:

72	100	75
53	75	60
43	60	40
28	40	25

In the above chart, use the bulb in the left column in a lamp in the right column. The middle column is to be ignored; it's just to show you a reference to what they want you to downsize from! But someone at G.E. forgot to libertarian-proof their bulbs! By the way, a 43-watt bulb won't blow out your 40 watt maximum lamp.

Just one thing: placing a 28 watt bulb in a 25 watt Easy-Bake Oven (TM) may overcook your confections. This is because of the heat generated by the increased lumens. Be sure to test them out first, or hoard 25-watt bulbs. As of yet, they aren't scheduled to be banned. But keep your ears open. Be ready to hoard at a moment's notice.

The list of items that are illegal to buy is growing. But here's one alternative that's in our favor!



%%

## DAVID NOLAN'S QUAGMIRE - PART 1

%%

In LibertORian, issue 3, a published article explained how the right to life is a fundamental libertarian issue. The official libertarian party platform has wrestled, and even softened its anti-life view since the party's inception in 1971. David Nolan, one of the party's founders, is pro-abortion. He is primarily responsible for placing support for abortion in the platform. A growing majority of libertarians are pro-life, as it is logically in line with the libertarian "Non-Aggression Principle (NAP)". The pro-abortion principle is inconsistent with this principle and will be explored over the next next several issues of LibertORian.

The libertarian platform of 2014 places the right to life as the paramount right. After the preamble, the right to life is the first principle of the libertarian party's 2014 platform:

### 1.0 Personal Liberty

Individuals should be free to make choices for themselves and to accept responsibility for the consequences of the choices they make. Our support of an individual's right to make choices in life does not mean that we necessarily approve or disapprove of those choices. No individual, group, or government may initiate force against any other individual, group, or government.

This paramount right supersedes all those that follow. All the rights that follow build upon this basic tenet of libertarian philosophy. A parallel in science fiction is the first law of robotics in Issac Asimov's "I, Robot" and robot continuity:

- By Synonymous 1 -

A robot may not harm a human, or by inaction, allow another human to come to harm.

The first libertarian party platform in 1972 supported abortion for an arbitrary 100 days. After 100 days, for some reason, the NAP kicks in. No reason is provided as to why a 99-day old baby isn't protected by the NAP. In 1974, the party's position was radically expanded to all nine months. Realizing that rank and file libertarians who weren't the party leaders object to their party's contradiction, the 2014 platform on abortion is somewhat softer, but worded to skirt the inconsistency:


### 1.5 Abortion

Recognizing that abortion is a sensitive issue and that people can hold good-faith issues on all sides, we believe that government should be kept out of the matter, leaving the question to each person for their conscientious consideration.

Libertarians are a diverse group, but two basic principles that unite the people of the persuasion are to respect the life and rights of others, and respect their freedoms and property. Matt Kibbe, founder of FreedomWorks, puts it tersely: "Don't hurt people and don't take their stuff." People includes the unborn. If you wish to deny biological science and not consider an unborn baby as a human being, do it on your own time. An individual or government can't deem non-person status to a person.

LibertORian will continue to explore how respect for life is consistent with libertarianism. (L)





Anarchism is libertarianism in its

purist form, so to escape the paradox, some compromises are to be made.

The other problem is that anarchism creates a social vacuum. Nature abhors a vacuum, and so it is never long before some form of government moves in. If it enters softly, a libertarian society will emerge, but usually anarchism is replaced with statism, its polar opposite. This is because societal evolution is like a pendulum. If it swings to one extreme end, it tends to come down hard to the other end. An example of this in classic literature is the tragic parable, "The Lord of the Flies".

This critical analysis of anarchism isn't intended to be interpreted as an attack on it or a statement to condone it. It's only to explain the philosophy and leave it to you to weigh its benefits and pitfalls.

In future issues, various forms of libertarianism's compromises will be covered and explored. ((L))

%%

#### TODAY'S CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

%%

Amendment 4 - Search and Seizure.  
Ratified 12/15/1791.

The NSA and the Obama administration better read up on this one. And the president claims to be a Constitutional scholar!

The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized.

%%

#### S T A T I S T                      P R E S I D E N T

%%


As LibertORian covers insightful analysis of topical issues, there is going to be a lot of criticism of Barack Obama. Sometimes it is going to be pretty harsh. Our article on CONFEDERATE CONTROVERSY is one example. This isn't being done as sensationalism. It's all honest criticism and there is little to no hyperbole in it. If you disagree, do some research. We aren't going to waste time giving examples for things that aren't in dispute (like Obama is a racist).

This isn't a Democrat issue. Party politics is pretty stupid. It's folly to judge people by their party and not their individual character.

The opposition to Obama would be no different no matter for the party. The problem is his statism, and his opposition to individual liberty. Worse than that, our criticisms are about not just his beliefs, but the actions he has taken throughout the entirety of his presidency. These actions have violated the highest law of the land, taken rights from the people, and taken more control for himself and an already bloated government.

Other presidents in both political parties have done this, but none to the extent or with the arrogance and sense of entitlement as this one.

If you are unable to accept this, LibertORian just may not be the magazine for you. If you seriously, and by seriously, I mean, taking an honest look without blind love for Barack Obama, it's obvious that he's an enemy of freedom. If you still insist this isn't true, please seek professional help. The proof is insurmountable. And now, back to your regularly scheduled magazine. ((L))



- B Y      S Y N O N Y M O U S      1 -

I'm not a tabloid, sensational story hound. But the story of Spokane, WA NAACP leader Rachel Dolezal for some reason fascinates me. This isn't at all the first story of its kind, nor is it a new issue. Somehow, though, this story took on a life of its own and became the darling story of the national media, or at least till the next story came along. (See article "Confederate Controversy", elsewhere in this issue of LiberTOrian.)

The story begins with Rachel Dolezal as the head of the Spokane chapter of the NAACP, the National Association for the Advancement of Colored People, a liberal organization.

Let me change the subject for just a moment, because there is something that irritates me. All my life, the city Spokane was pronounced, "spoke CANE". Suddenly, every media outlet from ABC to Ziff-Davis is saying, "spoke CAN". As a libertarian, it's your decision to pronounce something however you want, but still, it's really annoying. I'm by no means a conspiracy kook, but it seems like everybody in the media got together, and agreed to (unofficially) change the pronunciation for media use!

Back to the story. Rachel was the leader of NAACP in Spokane where she was a member for four years. One

day, a mainstream media reporter who was interviewing her asked a simple question: were her mother and father black? She said that they were. In defiance of her answer, the reporter produced photographs of her parents and repeated the question, showing the pictures to her. After evading the reporter a bit, she eventually answered, "I don't understand the question."

Soon Dolezal became a controversy. She subsequently explained that she identifies herself as black. The National NAACP was embarrassed by the revelation and, under pressure, Dolezal resigned.

A new revelation about this story was revealed daily: childhood photos of her showed a straight-haired, freckled girl as pale as Jim Gaffigan, the comedian. It took four hours for a beautician to give her the unique ethnic hairstyle that she sports. It turned out that Dolezal first began identifying herself as black four years ago, when a newspaper article describing an accident involving her published that she was of mixed parents. A subsequent report wrote that both her parents were black. For some reason, it inspired her.

This is where it gets curious. Our

subject, Rachel, is a white person, but identifies herself as black. Now, everyone says that blacks are a discriminated-against minority. So who would want to change their race to one that is discriminated against?

Libertarians don't care what a person chooses to identify with. The only problem we have is when we're told to accept someone else's self-delusions as fact. This woman is not black. But if she wishes to live as if she was, it's her right.

The NAACP pressured her to resign. The libertarian view to this is that the NAACP is a private organization, and they have the right to exclude individuals or groups of a certain type. They seem to have a problem with a white person running a chapter. It's their right. It's also their right if they wish to accept other races. This particular issue is complicated by the fact that Dolezal identifies herself as black, so she feels she has a right to be a leader in NAACP, but she is clearly not, so they disagree.

This is the problem with "transgendered" movement which seemed to spring out of nowhere. There are two genders, or sexes, defined by our DNA: male and female. These activists have created up to 82 genders, 80 of them being phony labels. A girl who identifies herself as a boy is still a girl. There's also the new-found fuzzy definition of "transgendered". In real life, the term meant someone who actually had a sex change operation. Even with artificial parts added or subtracted, the DNA still remains. A person has a right to alter themselves how they want, however: 1) your right ends where mine begins, so the public has the right not to pay for it, and 2) it doesn't change who you really are.

This entire movement is leading to people driving society off a cliff

of insanity. Now radicals are trying to allow people to use restrooms of the sexes that they are not, all based on an arbitrary standard of which sex you "identify" with for that day. Rest rooms are made private and segregated not to discriminate, but to protect the rights of privacy of individuals. Now women have had men in their locker rooms in a California Planet Fitness, and Planet Fitness banned the woman who complained because she felt violated with a strange man in there, who said he "identified" as a woman that day.

Of course, there's no chance that a pedophile will use a false identity to get easy access to girls in a public rest room where children are present, right? Wrong! To protect a few people trying to deny reality, we are violating the individual rights of nearly all Americans. It is about as un-libertarian as a society can get.

Dolezal's story is not the first of its kind, but the first that drew national attention. Critics of the "gender identity" activists have used Dolezal's example to coin the phrase, "trans-racial". And why not? It's as absurd as the idea of being "transgendered". One wonders if it's an attention-seeking issue, or if she feels the minority status and is sympathetic for any discrimination toward blacks that still goes on in America. Or maybe she's just more comfortable among the black race and their culture. Again, she has the freedom to identify herself as who she wants, but when it comes to facing reality, we are the judge.

Rachel Dolezal has even gone as far as creating a story that her father had to leave the southern state he lived in because he struck a cop and he would face racial discrimination as a result. One thing is certain: whatever you think of her, Dolezal has created a fascinating character for herself, earning her fifteen minutes of fame. ((L))



Logo and article  
by  
Moxxygen

The matrix shows police being killed, and cops/military/government as the bad guys. This is in stark contrast to the Xmen Batman movies, particularly the 3rd of each of those two series, which adopt a worshipful and obedient attitude toward the police, military, and government.

In the third Xmen film, the government/military are creating an anti-mutant vaccine, which turns people with super powers back into regular people. Magneto, whose character is a holocaust survivor, fights against this, proclaiming that a genocide against mutants is something he will fight against with whoever will join him. Xavier, however, rallies the Xmen, even an anti-authority figure like Wolverine, to assist the government and military with their genocidal campaign. The final battle of the film shows the Xmen (again, including Wolverine amazingly enough) standing with the US military against Magneto to protect the government facility where a young mutant boy is imprisoned so that his blood can be harvested by teams of doctors. The motivation of the Xmen and Xavier is not clearly explained. Rather the only conclusion I can come to is that the director and film creators felt it was imperative that the Xmen be shown to support the military and government, no matter what their goals were. Maybe they felt this patriotic message was so obvious that no explanation was necessary.

The third Batman film by Nolan was

released right at the end of the Occupy Wallstreet protests which had gripped the United States for more than a year. In this movie the villian takes over Gotham to redistribute the holdings of the wealthy, including their apartments and condos, to the rest of the city so that everyone can have a home. He also imprisons the police underground for nearly a year using an act of terrorism. The final battle of this movie shows the poor, down-trodden NYPD escaping their subterranean prison to charge en masse against a horde of Occupy protestors and gun them down, killing every last one of them, and the villian Bane. Batman supports the police using NSA style spy technology which is amazingly prescient given what we now know about the NSAs capabilities thanks to Snowden. It's enough to make one wonder if the director was being fed information from the government on the type of "optics" that needed to be presented to the public to counter the Occupy Wall-street movement.

Watching the Matrix now, 15 years after it was released, is shocking for the simple reason that police are shown being killed by the good guys, and the military/police forces (the government is nonexistent in this movie) are portrayed as unambiguously evil and worthy of murder. There are countless scenes in the film in which the word POLICE is shown on the bloody, bullet ridden uniforms of the SWAT teams that are sent against the heros, often with the bullet holes going right through

that word and covering it with blood. The opening scene of the movie shows a half dozen police officers being beat up and killed by Trinity. Interestingly there are no female police or military shown anywhere in the movie, however two of the main characters, Trinity and Switch, are women and are shown to be extremely independent and powerful in their own right. They do not take orders from the men around them and their willful volition is on display through the whole film. Trinity's character is somewhat sexualized with several scenes of her clad only in a skin tight leather/rubber outfit but Switch is completely asexual and has a much larger role than Apoc or Dozer, two male characters.

This is in contrast with the character of Cat Woman in the third Batman movie, who although she starts out as someone sympathetic to the plight of the poor and downtrodden in the battle against inequality, whispering to Bruce Wayne, "Someday soon those of you with so much are going to regret holding the rest of us down who have so little" she later changes her mind upon seeing the photo of a rich white family in their Occupied apartment where multiple families are now living after Bane's takeover. She then becomes remorseful at her earlier willfulness and falls in to line, supporting Batman (who represents - the NSA/FBI/American security complex). Likewise the Xman Storm is a hollow, uninteresting character in the Xmen movies who acts as little more than a nurse for Xavier or a sexually attractive school teacher, two highly feminized occupations which are seen quite negatively by patriarchal society.

Batman together with Morgan Freeman's character represents the extralegal usage of both high technology and force to assist "normal" police operations, even allowing parallel reconstruction to take place, whereby he breaks the law

and violates the human rights of those around him to apprehend people who are causing trouble for the rich, before handing them or information on their whereabouts/activities over to the police who can continue with their legalistic farce and pursue a standard prosecution. This is epitomized in the scene where Morgan Freeman's character develops a method of using people's cellphones to perform an acoustic analysis, spying on anyone who has a phone and their surroundings.

The point with thinking about this and analyzing the differences is to show how much has changed in attitudes between the movies and the pre/post-Bush era differences but also the contrast between Cyberpunk's skepticism of the police and military, and comic books' frequent worship of jingoistic Americana and uncritical support of the status quo.

%%

LIBERTORIAN WANTS YOUR WORDS/MONEY

%%

Write for LiberTORian!

Send something in text format to:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

You are not guaranteed to be published, but you just might. Even if you have an opposing view! But not necessarily! But try!

Donate to LiberTORian. Who knows what we do with the money?! But it's a nice gesture to let us know you like what you're reading and want to see more. Or don't. It's the libertarian way.

Send your satoshi (BTC) to:

[12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s](https://blockchain.info/address/12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s)

[illegible]

-- HOW THE PRESIDENT EXPLOITED A TRAGEDY TO GAIN CHEAP POLITICAL SHOTS --  
- By Synonymous 1-

Brandon Friedman @BfriedmanDC- 13h  
In October, Gov. Nikki Haley flew  
the Confederate flag outside the  
South Carolina Statehouse.  
#Charleston

Let's just say it: our president is a disgrace. Barack Obama lives for American tragedies. He becomes overjoyed at the misfortunes of others. Let's not forget the Mantra of the White House, as uneloquently started by Obama's original chief of staff, Rahm Emmanuel:

"Never let a crisis go to waste."

And they don't. Tragedy to the administration is only an opportunity to push through new laws to impose statism on a public already in shock.

So here he is, insinuating that the governor is not only a racist, but a catalyst for the violence that occurred in Charleston. And again, no one knew the motive of the murderer yet. I'm no conspiracy theorist, but if it turned out that the president plants these people into such situations just to create a crisis, I wouldn't be surprised.

News flash: A CONFEDERATE FLAG DID NOT KILL THE NINE CHURCHGOERS. A FLAG HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THE MURDERER. IF THE CONFEDERATE FLAG HAD NEVER EXISTED, IT WOULD NOT HAVE PREVENTED THESE MURDERS! The President's arrogant comments were aimed at people without the common sense to understand this: his supporters.

When the killer was apprehended by the police and identified as Dylann Storm Roof, it turned out that he shot the victims, who were of mixed races, in a deranged attempt to trigger a race war, such as those in Furgeson and Baltimore. By now, while the nation was trying to mourn the victims of this senseless act, Obama had interjected himself and his agenda once again. This time it was to launch another attack on the Second Amendment. He blamed the attack on the availability of guns.

"We don't have all the facts," he said, "but we do know that, once

again, innocent people were killed in part because someone who wanted to inflict harm had no trouble getting their hands on a gun."

The first part of what he said is typical, as in his "The police acted stupidly" gaff. "We don't have all the facts," he began. He often begins statements with, "We don't have all the facts", but it never prevents him from shooting his mouth off anyway. And if this guy had no trouble getting his hands on a gun, who's fault is that? It's you and other statists who have imposed so many anti-gun laws on the public. It's not out fault if you aren't enforcing them. Again, Obama loves this kind of tragedy. Maybe non-enforcement allows these murders to happen, to they can use the crisis to create more laws that the won't enforce... except on the law-abiding citizen.

Obama spewed his anti-Constitution rhetoric several times before the funeral. Can you give the nation a chance to mourn?

In the real world, there was a very different picture. If Mr. Roof wanted to trigger a race war, he picked the wrong town to try. Rev. Al Sharpton, famed race baiter, was on a plane the day after the shooting, but the town rejected him outright. The outpouring of sympathy and condolence came from the entire community, and race was no barrier. The town couldn't contain those who travelled to Charlestown selflessly as an act of love to the victims and their families. God even turns the worst events to good, and the nation was united with New Hope Church.

Dylann Roof's plan was a miserable failure. If he wanted to trigger a race war, he should have worked in the Obama administration. He's done more in the past year than anyone to fuel racial tension and pit people against each other for his own benefit.





Meanwhile, the Confederate flag is Obama's new distraction from the tragedy towards his own agenda. For some reason, a flag that represents the heritage of the American South, and many people who fought for their nation, and their descendents. To Obama, it's another enemy in his master plan to, in his exclamation, "Fundamentally transform the United States!" Only Obama has the audacity to use the senseless deaths of innocents as a springboard to attack his enemies.

Governor Nikki Haley removed the Confederate flag from the statehouse the next Tuesday: a victory for statism over libertarianism, and a win for the politics for hate. Yet, Haley's speech which accompanied the change was extremely libertarian in its nature, advising that while some find the flag offensive, the flag is a rich part of South Carolina's heritage. In no way, she said, will South Carolina infringe the rights of private citizens to fly the flag, and that the state believes in the First Amendment right to free expression.

In the business world, it's a different story. On that same day, the following businesses banned the sale of Confederate flags:

- o Wal\*Mart
- o Sears/ K-Mart
- o Target
- o Amazon
- o eBay

Here's the crux of the issue: if they want to ban the flag from their stores, they SHOULD be allowed to! In libertarian philosophy, a private business should be allowed to sell or not sell whatever items they wish. However, if you do so, you are maligning many decent people without a racist bone in their bodies. And you are weasels, for jumping aboard the political correctness bandwagon for no real reason but to appease a few politicians? eBay and Amazon are

special cases because their markets are actually run by private individuals. These individuals have a right to sell legal products on the sites, and not face bans because of arbitrary whims of their CEOs, or whoever it is who determines what is and isn't appropriate to sell. And since when do these places determine what is and isn't offensive enough to ban or permit? I personally am offended by Tarot cards; they are evil. But do I start a crusade like Dear Leader Obama to stigmatize them and shame businesses to ban them? Of course not. I just never search for or purchase these items whenever I shop on eBay. And I never have to worry about Amazon, since I never was, or never will be, a member. It is this stigmatization that drives up the popularity of the banned item anyway. In the last 24 hours of Confederate flags' legality on Amazon, sales surged a whopping 3000%! That's not a typo: three thousand. Enjoy the lost revenue that follows. I don't like to name call, but in this environment, "weasels" is the most appropriate word.

What does this accomplish? NOTHING! Banning the flag would not have stopped Roof from murdering nine innocent people. Seeing a Confederate flag does not inspire one person to murder. What drives anyone to do something this senseless was in the person's mind already. No future murders of this type will be prevented because of this, because the flag does not make people kill. It's a sad commentary that we have a president that propagates such nonsense, and that people actually have to explain the above to fellow Americans in this day and age! The 1980s and even 1990s were so much more enlightened times than today.

It's all fine, though, because the spineless businesses do their bit of feelgood PR and pretend they're making a difference when they didn't do anything but follow the government's lead in restricting private economic freedom.

And don't think it'll stop with the Confederate flag. Next stop: the American flag. Realize that the statist hate America and will do whatever it takes to destroy her and anything that represents her. Oh, they love an America where all power lies in the hands of the government and personal liberty is a thing of the past. But the America founded on liberty is the enemy. It's also important to understand that under the guise of "progressivism", or, "progress", the ever-vigilant, eternally patient statist have been successful at stripping away one freedom at a time, over the span of a full century. Now the God-given rights of the U.S. Constitution are all but destroyed.

Talk show host Rush Limbaugh, who is slowly evolving from a super patriotic arch conservative into a sensible libertarian, even warned of the flag as the next target. It is no longer absurd that ANYTHING can be the next target in the statist's war on freedom. And for what? Three things: 1) making enemies where none exists, 2) pitting people against each other and reaping the benefits, and 3) taking away your freedoms by grabbing more power over you.

Obama spoke at the funeral of the victims. For this disgraceful hate-monger to deliver a eulogy of the people whose bodies were his source of political exploitation is repulsive. Maybe eBay should target products with his image for a ban, instead of the General Lee, the famous car from the beloved 1970s TV series, "The Dukes of Hazzard".

Sure, there are a few people who are racist who fly the Confederate flag. But ignorant people refuse to acknowledge the flag's rich and very interesting heritage and history. A few people who have brought it to light are former CIA agent Buck Sexton and talk host Sean Hannity. Both were also astute enough to provide elaborate documentation of

the Democratic party's racist heritage, from slavery to the present day, including the party's opposition to civil rights in practice and in legislation.

It is undeniable, except to his apologists, that Obama is a racist keeping true with party tradition. He also suffers from delusions that people are more racist than in the days of his childhood, and there is institutionalized racism in every aspect of government. Well, you've been running the show for years. We've seen racism in the Justice Department and Supreme Court that wasn't there when you took office. Obama's recent speech on racism exposes how it is HE who judges people by race. He even went as far as to say that racism is ingrained in people's DNA. That's right: to him, racism doesn't lie in people's hearts or minds, but in their genes! This has frightening connotations.

Let's assume that Obama really wants to rid America of racism. He really doesn't, obviously, but he will fool low-information voters that he does. Well, if he deems racism to be in the DNA of people, there is only one way to get rid of racism: get rid of the racist DNA. And to do that, you have to get rid of those who possess that DNA.

Of course, Obama would decree himself the highest authority on who possesses the racist DNA. If Barack Obama's pattern of behavior is as consistent as it's been his entire life, and people like him are as predictable as a comic book character, the ones he deems to have the racist DNA will be all his political enemies, and them alone.

I might be reading too much into all of this. Before people accuse me of calling Obama Hitler, think about it. Does anyone think that the above scenario is out of the realm of possibility? Obama has already committed heinous actions that led to the deaths of others (Benghazi,

Fast & Furious, Baltimore, etc.) He belonged to the Woods Foundation, a terrorist organization. He cavorted with domestic terrorists who bombed the Pentagon. He becomes overjoyed at the death of others, and uses tragic events as a way to whip up anger toward his political enemies. Now, couple that with the fact that the only two presidents who ever built concentration camps, and employed them to incarcerate innocent Americans, were statist Democrats. They were Franklin Roosevelt and Obama's personal favorite, fellow racist Woodrow Wilson. Once again, this isn't to say that Obama will begin this, but it is totally within the realm of possibility, and totally within his character for an Alinsky-ite like him to do. Plus, there is historical precedent where presidents who think very similarly to Mr. Obama have done such things. It is up to us to consider the "impossible", because 1) it is truly probable, and 2) if it does happen, people who have considered the possibility will easily recognize it and be the best equipped to stop it.

The best way to stop it is to never let it start in the first place. People like Obama require hatred in order to exploit divisions to usurp additional power, just as Chancellor Palpatine did in the Star Wars prequels. The easiest way is not to be pawns in the game. Racism sucks. Whatever misfortunes you encounter in life, don't blame an entire race for it. Don't judge others by their skin color. Eliminate racism in your life and dismiss it in others. Don't let racists use you in their games. Obama, Sharpton, and their kind lose when we set aside arbitrary differences based on something as silly as melanin. They also lose their ability to control you.

Dylann Roof and Obama are peas in a pod, wanting to create bitterness against one another. All it takes to defeat them is to live by the model of Reverend Martin Luther King, Jr. (L)

%%

IN THE NEXT ISSUE OF LIBERTORIAN

---

%%

Obama"care" was supposed to be defeated in the Supreme Court with a 4-4 decision, but Kagen, the court's communist justice, refused to recuse herself; before her appointment to the Court, she was to be the lawyer to argue for Obama"care" before the court. A justice with a vested interest is supposed to recuse themselves, but to statist, the ends justifies the means. So the illegal law was upheld in a 5-4 vote.

Now, the Court in a 6-3 decision ruled, unconstitutionally, that the administration may tax people in all 50 states for Obama"care", including the 26 states aren't establishing exchanges. In a sense, this is taxation without representation. The 26 states just had the 10th Amendment pulled from under them. The Constitution is not being destroyed in bits and pieces, but in huge chunks, like it is being bitten by giant sharks in federal government. It is bleeding to death.

Providing the dissenting opinion in the case is Justice Scalia. His document clearly proves him to be the most intelligent member of the Supreme Court. In his dissent, he describes the contortions of law and absurd lengths the majority judges went to to justify their unconstitutional decision which violates separation of powers, and grants unwritten authority to the executive branch to make up and alter the law on whatever whim suits them.

LibertORian issue 5 will publish Scalia's dissent in its entirety. Please read it and understand that what's at stake in the War on Liberty. At least this government official understands ramifications of sliding the slippery slope. (L)

%%

CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY

>>> annoy99.txt <<<

%%

## 99 WAYS TO ANNOY SOMEBODY (and get even)

The following text file, "99 WAYS TO ANNOY SOMEBODY (and get even)", is from an early 1990s BBS group called FISH. Like many groups of the time, FISH is an acronym. It stands for "Folks in Sinatra Hats". Many of these groups' names were often formed by thinking up a name and then finding letters to fit. I don't know if this was one of that type.

Some of these methods of annoying people found on this list are mischievous and even illegal, and it's meant for fun reading, although some of these ideas might seem worthwhile to try out on someone deserving... but that would violate the libertarian tenet, "Don't hurt people". Some of FISH's files were more disturbing, using their knowledge of chemistry to tell you how to make deadly poisons, for instance. I oppose the use of knowledge such as that to hurt people, so such files won't be published here.

This one is a little more lighthearted and even humorous. Here is the file from California's own FISH, in its original, unedited form, exactly as it was typed (as always) somewhere between 1990 and '95. WARNING: One obscenity ahead.

\*\*\*\*\*

Yes thats right its another F.I.S.H. F.I.L.E. !

" Were not just for breakfast anymore!"

<<99 WAYS TO ANNOY SOMEBODY (and get even)>>

by PUNK ROCK GIRL

&

THE POTATOE LADY

Disclaimer: This information is for informational information only. F.I.S.H. Gang take no responsibility for the misuse of this informative informational information.

Instructions for use: these are mere suggetsions of what one might possibly do. If one were to successfully attempt a #69 the possibilites are endless. REMEMBER TO APOLOGIVE PROFUSELY IF YOU ACHIEVE A #10 OR A #17 etc, etc...

1. mumble when you speak
2. call them every five minutes "just to say hi."
3. spread filthy rumors about them
4. put vaseline all over their locker
5. get a porno mag and put a fake adress sticker on it with their name displayed prominently. Drop it in the mall, the parking lot, etc etc...
6. give them herpies

7. follow them around smiling alot
8. touch thier car a lot
9. touch them a lot
10. vomit on them at the least appropriate time. i.e. during school!
11. send them junk mail
12. smile a lot and laugh incessantly. laugh at everything they say including hints such as: SHUT UP!!!
13. ask them embarrassing questions like DID YOU BRUSH YOUR TEETH TODAY? or DID YOU FORGET TO WASH YOUR HAIR?
14. pry. especially into things that are none of your business
15. spit all over them when you talk. P,B,S,F, are good letters
16. tell a friend you hate them but don't say why
17. cough on them, sneeze on them
18. stare at them
19. when they are talking to you:  
stare at their eyebrows  
squint at them  
keep looking over at something behind them  
pick your nose  
yawn
20. order them things...  
diaper service  
5 tons of gravel  
pizza flowers  
mulch  
all these things usually bill you later
21. send them love letters from their least favorite person.
22. poke them with things
23. ask them why they cut their hair
24. compliment them on their least admirable qualites. ASK THEM IF THEY KNEW THEY LOOKED LIKE A PORPOISE.
25. sign them up for a CD club with their most hated music as their main selection
26. take their picture all the time
27. ACCIDENTLY drop their name and phone number in trashy parts of town
28. kidnap their pets and put packaging tape all over them
29. drain their steering fluid
30. steal their gas cap
31. let them know when they have messed up
32. sing to them
33. unplug all their appliances
34. unscrew all their light bulbs just enough so they dont work
35. take the bolts off the front whell of their bicycle
36. make up stupid nick names like JIMBO and DAN THE MAN. get others to use them
37. accidently set them on fire
38. place syringes on them and alert the proper athorities
39. call them bad names like Queen Daryl and Bunnyfucker
40. tell their mothers they do drugs, sign them up for New Beginnings
41. everyone has defects, point their out in flyers, on bathroom walls, and over school PA systems
42. murder their animals and make it look like road kill
43. call the phone company and have thier line disconnected
44. do meaningless things to their house like leaving celery on

the door at night

45. accidentally drop a dye tablet in the washer

46. talk about them on live television

47. put gum in their hair... on their seat... in their shoes...

48. constantly remind them that a relative died, make jokes about it

49. check out library books on their name, rent movies for them, make long distance phone calls from their house

50. whenever anybody asks your name, USE THEIRS! especially when doing something illegal

51. change all the clocks in their house to different times

52. set clock alarms to go off at three o'clock in the morning

53. flip all their circuit breakers and steal all their fuses

54. turn on the heater in the summer and the cooler in the winter

55. drop alkalizer into their fishtank

56. put refrigerator magnets on every screen you see (especially computers)

57. did you know that if you hold a razor blade with the sharp edge at a 90 degree angle with a window and run it up and down it makes a really bloodcurdling noise.

58. tear the last page out of books

59. tell them there is a party at some deserted location

60. did you know that alum is sold in the spice section of your local supermarket? it is possibly the sickest tasting white powder ever invented. it goes well on salads... in drinks...

61. put broken glass in the swimming pool

62. misuse words ( Freudian Slip )

63. pour a bottle of Nyquil into the punch.

64. there is a little screw on those things that make doors close real slow labeled SPEED. turn it one direction until it falls out. It will slam quite nicely now

65. lamp oil kills lawns DEAD!

66. let the air out of their front left tire

67. give their dog a nice yummy hunk of meat with laxative on/in it. put the dog in the house

68. super glue a quarter to the floor in the mall

69. get a garage door opener and drive through suburbia until one opens

70. place a cup of rotting eggs and milk near their houses ventilation intake

71. put a padlock on their fence and lose the key

72. remove their lawn mower blade

73. degause their tape collection

74. talk with your mouth full

75. put crushed garlic under their car seat

76. did you know Volkswagens are air tight (mostly) roll up all the windows except one and stick a hose in. roll it up the rest of the way, turn it on

77. format all the school computers

78. relabel all their video tapes, chemicals, disks, etc...

79. sell everything they own via the classified ads in the paper

80. tap rhythmically with your fingers, pencils, rulers...

81. turn up the oven

82. scream and perform a seizure during lunch time in the cafeteria

83. grunt now and then

84. ask why every time they speak

85. slurp drinks
86. drool during a conversation with an authority figure
87. did you notice that some brands of cat food look just like tuna? switch labels
89. ALL teachers keep aspirin in their desks, switch it with nytol
90. breath like a horse
91. sell them encyclopedias at four o'clock in the morning
92. loosen one link in their bike chain
93. saran wrap over the toilet seat
94. road kill is the gift that keeps on giving
95. keep sending mail to Abe Froman and use their address get others to do so
96. when they are driving suddenly scream as loud as you can then stop
97. turn their car stereo up all the way before they go someplace
98. place a few good size hunks of dry ice in a 2 liter plastic soda bottle, add some rit dye ( preferably red liquid dye ) close the lid throw it into their hot tub and run like hell
99. writing in candle wax does not come off, in the summer it melts on white pavement and turns dark grey. write your favorite slogan on your friends drive way.

100 is just too wacky to mention

If there are any questions or comments leave mail for:

PUNK ROCK GIRL (me)

EZRA

THE INFIDEL

THE POTATOE LADY

but in a way aren't we all

\*\*\*\*\*

%%%

D.N.S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

- By G.P.S. -

%%%

This puts another issue of Liberatorian to rest. Things are much more dire from here on, as American Constitutional rights are quickly being eliminated. Obama knows his days are numbered; he's now trying to do as much destruction to liberty as possible... and he's succeeding! The Supreme Court is willingly assisting him, granting increasing unlawful powers for themselves while taking them from We the People. The next president may inherit a mess that can't even be fixed, that is, if Obama even relinquishes power at the end of

his term at all! As much as he has destroyed the Constitution, would it be a surprise if his final executive order would be to nullify Constitutional presidential term limits?!

SCOTUS just added more nails to the Constitution's coffin; next issue covers the Constitutionality of gay marriage. This appeal to the rule of law and equal justice is NOT homophobic or an attack on gays. So statist: Put your lame personal attacks aside allow real discussion!

- - - E N D    O F    F I L E    - - -



<http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion>

# LIBERTY

## MAGAZINE

# POPE

Volume 1 - Issue 5 - August 2015

R. I. P. U. S. C O N S T I T U T I O N 1 7 9 1 - 2 0 1 5

S P E C I A L R E P O R T O N J U N E 2 6, 2 0 1 5 :  
T H E D A Y S C O T U S P U T A N E N D T O L I B E R T Y .

```
'''.'.....',,,;ccllodc:clcc:;;;,.... . .....',,,
.....,'.....';:cccoddcc:oxxxddooollc:'. ....
...',;:lx000xc;,,ldoll111;..
. ....':;:cokOkk0000Od,..,xkxdol;.
..... ....':;:lokX0odl:ok0koc:...:kOd:'.
.... ....;:cok0000xoc:,,;:oxxl0o:,';o;.
... ....;:ldx00kdxxdol,;,,lkOkkOd:;'
.....,coxkOkdc;,,l11,....':dk00kc'.
.....',cd00K0x:'.',;:loo:,,;:'cdklc,...
.....;ookkKKOd;'.':ccodoo11;11xd1:'.
.....'ldc:'.ldxdc;1,',';:l0xdlooolc:,...
...;cl0Kk:;;;;:lodd:,,;:coxOd:cccc:,,,'.
..xK000xkkd:'.',;:kX0xdkl''dOxl,;,,,;'.
..d0x::cclol, . .';:ckXNkl;:xooxkc',;,'... '...
. .odc;':c11:,,,'.',;:ldo:;';:lxl;...'.
'c:,,,;:cldoodoc;'.':o:lo'....'...
...',,ccdx00o'... ..;:dd:;lc,'.....
....;:11';cx:.. ..c;'.',;:okkl,;,'.
. ....:ool:;,,,
:c. ;. ..;:cl11000:
... ..,dOkko..
',. .',. .,okd;,,
'.. ..'col:,,
.. ..;:odl;'..
..'. .,odoc;'.
...'. .,cxxx1;.
.. ..'cdxd:..
```

ALSO:

RESTORING LIBERTY

SCALIA'S SCATHING DISSENTS

CAN AN INFALLIBLE POPE BE WRONG?

%%

T A B L E        O F        C O N T E N T S

%%

ACQUIRING RELAY	3 Again
LETTERS TO LIBERTORAIN	4
MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE #s 7, 8 and 9! Collect them all!	4
DEEP WEB LINK OF THE DAY Duck Duck Go	5
MEET THE LIBERTARIANS Number 2 in a series: Constitutional Conservatives	6
CORRECTION	7
FEATURE Can an infallible Pope Be Wrong?	8
BLURBZ Hillary Has the Media On a String	11
FEATURE Scalia's Scathing Dissent #1: Obergefell v. Hodges	12
BLURBZ Randomly-read Twitter Posting	18
INDEX OF FTP/DOCUMENTS/JOURNAL July 2nd, 2015	18
BLURB!!! Support/Write for LibertORian	21
CLASSIC TXT FILE OF THE DAY 1776paid.txt	22
FEATURE Restoring Liberty	23
FEATURE Scalia's Scathing Dissent #2: King v. Burwell	26
DNS LOOKUP FAILED	36

LibertORian Volume 1, Issue 5

A publication of Synonymous 1.  
Published July, 2015.

LibertORian is published on an  
irregular basis.

N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,  
actually encouraged, to copy and  
distribute this text by any means  
possible, preferable by photocopy-  
ing.

LIBERTORIAN HAS A DEEP WEB SITE:  
<http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion/>

(Thanks to a user on Onionland)

CORRESPONDENCE:  
[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

Send submissions to LibertORian  
at the above address.

DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:  
[12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s](#)

```

>><<
>>   <<
>>   <<
>>   <<
>> Don't <<
>> Hurt  <<
>> Others <<
>> And   <<
>> Don't <<
>> Take  <<
>> Their <<
>> Stuff <<
>>      <<
>>      <<
>>      <<
>><<

```

/-----  
//  
/| ((L))

%%

## ACQUIRING RELAY

%%

Much of this issue covers the SCOTUS decision on homosexual marriage. To many who read this, there will be closed-mindedness toward the views presented in this issue. That's because, when the decision was ordered by the Court, all the news coverage was from the point of view of the gay activists. Most people don't even know what the other side even believes. That's because the point of view of the other side was barely shown... or allowed to be shown, by the mainstream media. Instead, any opponents of the ruling are mocked, ridiculed, and depicted as a bunch of intolerant, backwards modern-day equivalents of racists.

We were given mindless platitudes of "love wins", a hashtag coupled with some of the most hateful venom seen on Twitter this side of ISIS. Those opponents who expressed outrage or disapproval were bullied for daring not to go along and fully support all the celebrating going on. It's hard to celebrate the death of fundamental rights.

So presented for not the first time, except to those who don't venture outside the media bubble (that goes for viewers and reporters alike), here is the Constitutional, or libertarian, view on marriage: gay, straight, and et cetera.

### LIBER-TOR-IAN STANCE ON MARRIAGE!

The Constitution enumerates the powers of the federal government By enumerated; that means that the only powers that government has are explicitly mentioned in the document itself, and if a power is NOT in the document, the government DOES NOT have the power to regulate. Marriage is NOT in the

- By Synonymous 1 -

U.S. Constitution, and thus, it does NOT have the right to perform, license, restrict, or in any other way, interfere with marriage. This includes the Supreme Court.

Marriage is a rite, not a right. Marriages were strictly RELIGIOUS ceremonies until the government interfered. Each religion has a right to decide who it allows or does not allow to marry. If a church wants to only marry one man and one woman (Jews, Catholics, Pentecostals, etc.), or allow gay marriage (reform Episcopal, Unitarian, etc.), or allow marriage among three or more people (Muslims, certain Mormon sects, etc.), it's up to each individual church to decide its own policies, and people may choose to be married in the church that best fits their values. The government has no right dictating to religions, or forcing upon religions decrees that the Constitution forbids them from doing.

The 10TH amendment gives the right of each individual state to decide their policies on non-enumerated powers, including marriage. The Court overstepped their bounds, citing the 14th Amendment, calling marriage a "privilege". A privilege is something the government bestows, and is different than a right. Marriage is not a privilege, as it's not in the government's jurisdiction. And even decisions by individual states on regulating marriage may not infringe on the 1st Amendment right to freedom of religion.

So you see, it's a very tolerant position. The real intolerance is from the gay marriage crowd; why are they so against marriage for more than one man and one woman?

((L))

%%

## LETTERS TO LIBERTORIAN

---

%%

LiberTORian,

The article "The Matrix vs X-Men" didn't really fit your magazine. For the most part it seemed [sic] to promote Occupy values and anger [sic] all police and government officials and the rich. Libertarians have a problem with many in law enforcement [sic] and government, but are not blanket against them all for the sake of opposing them. And there are rich people who are corrupt but there are many who are not. I can really find the kind of value in that article on so many other onions, so please don't do that here too.

Anonymous

Dear Anonymous,

While the article published did present an Occupy Wall Street view point, it didn't offer opinions to promote those values, however. It only offered analysis of the films in discussion. There was much in the article I disagreed with, but there were some good points too. The article made comparisons that demonstrated changing attitudes toward filmmakers' presentation of authority in film. LiberTORian would like to see more references to social themes in films, and even fiction with Libertarian ideals. As a libertarian, I can handle different points of view. You can also be assured that the magazine will differentiate between a viewpoint and an endorsement. You'll never see within the pages of LiberTORian anyone say, "Eat The Rich! Stop the Jews!" In the future, instead of sending just a letter to us (which is your right), you're welcome to write an article for LiberTORian! ((L))

%%

## MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE #7

%%

"The Founding Fathers were slave owners."

There were 56 Founding Fathers. Thomas Jefferson owned slaves. The other 55 did not.

BONUS MYTHS!!!!

Myth #8:

Thomas Jefferson had a child with his slave girl."

Maybe or maybe not, but the woman who made this claim on Oprah Winfrey in the 1990s was a fraud. But the media which devoured this story had no interest about this later revelation.

Myth #9:

"There are Muslim Founding Fathers."

This isn't believed by many, but President Obama told this lie in a speech in 2014. The slightest research on this (reading the 56 signatures on the Declaration of Independence and looking up their religion) disproves this easily.

Thanks to Synonymous Xi for the suggestion of these myths.

%%

## DENYING THAT THERE IS CLIMATE?

-----

%%

Leftists change the language when they can't win an argument. When they lost on "global warming", they changed to "climate change", because how can you deny that the climate changes? Now skeptics are called "climate deniers"! Name one person who denies the EXISTENCE of climate; unless their head's on the moon?

%%

DEEP WEB LINK OF THE DAY!

%%

DUCK DUCK GO

<http://3g2upl4pq6kufc4m.onion>  
<https://duckduckgo.com>

Let's face it. Yahoo sucks. Bing sucks. Google not only sucks, but its search results are horrible and the company is totally evil, criminal, and invades your privacy. Duck Duck Go is a search engine that WILL NOT TRACK your IP address. The company believes in keeping your visits to their sites and all your searches private. Keeping with this tradition, Duck Duck Go also has an established presence in the deep web at 3g2upl4pq6kufc4m.onion.

LiberTORian began using Duck Duck Go before discovering the deep web. It not only protects your privacy, but, since Duck Duck Go doesn't record your personal information, all search term results are equal for any given user. It still returns better results than Google, which recently has skewed its results against more relevant links.

This Philadelphia-based company is the David to Google's Goliath; it's run by less than two dozen people. What really frightens Google is the fact that Duck Duck Go doesn't even try to become king of the hill. And that attitude has caused them to think dynamically and outside of the box; something Google is incapable of.

Even though Duck Duck Go is known by only 2% of web surfers, its impact is huge and growing. Safari and Mozilla added it as a standard option to their browsers. And Duck Duck GO dethroned Google as Gnome's default engine.

The first million searches on Duck

>>> 3g2upl4pq6kufc4m.onion <<<  
or  
>>> duckduckgo.com <<<

Duck Go took four years. Now it conducts 250 million a month. The revelations about NSA spying and other invasions of privacy by the Obama Administration have drawn recent attention to internet search privacy. And they realized that Google wasn't on their side. In SEARCH ENGINE LAND Duck Duck Go critic Danny Sullivan says that the site isn't that popular because, "No One Cares About 'Private' Search". You fool. maybe millions don't, but a sizable number of concerned people do. You just dismissed them. Again: Duck Duck Go doesn't measure its success by how monolithic and overreaching it becomes.

Someone else said the name, "Duck Duck Go", is silly. Please explain the name, "Google".

Results from Duck Duck Go searches come from the clearnet and deep web as well. I typed in a search for LiberTORian and found a deep web link from a pastebin where the first issue was originally posted:

<http://pasterlczk6anaqz.onion.city/eelcc92a55.html> (DEFUNCT LINK)

I actually took part in a promotion campaign they were running where you refer three people to Duck Duck Go and receive a free T-shirt. I was able to get more than three people to switch, and they were out of shirts for now. Oh, well. I have a raincheck. It's in their best interest that they get them soon, since wearing the shirt gives them free advertising!

Make sure to add Duck Duck Go to the bookmark list on your TOR browser. It's one of the most useful tools for exploring both the deep web and the surface web. (L)

%%

## MEET THE LIBERTARIANS

%%

### CONSTITUTIONAL CONSERVATIVES:

#### We The People

Anarchism is the most extreme form of individualism on the planet. But it results in chaos unless there is no authority to enforce libertarian values. But how much government should there be? Enter the United States Constitution.

Constitutional Conservatives rely on the Constitution as the fairest and most free government code ever devised. It wasn't easy- a war was fought to attain individual freedom, and an entire system was established afterward that failed: The Articles of Confederation. In 1791, the Constitution was crafted, based upon the principles espoused in the Declaration of Independence. Three branches of government were designed and given checks on the others so no one branch would concentrate too much power. The Constitution clearly defined the powers of government, and their ONLY powers. Those powers that are not enumerated were forbidden. Government was given just enough power to protect individual freedoms from oppressors, and, when the Constitution was enforced, the biggest oppressor, the government, was also unable to infringe on its people.

Despite the lies concocted by university professors in the 1930s, the Founding Fathers were God-fearing people. They believed that rights came from God, not government. The Constitution they drafted did not grant rights; they merely confirmed in a legal system the God-given rights proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence. The Bill of Rights, the first ten amendments of the Constitution, also are God-given rights. As such, they are not laws

- By Synonymous 1 and G.P.S. -


of man, but God. As such, they can not be taken away by man, since these rights were not granted by man, only affirmed.

A Constitutional Conservative recognizes that the Constitution is the most free system ever devised. It's designed to right wrongs as a nation advances. No one has ever come up with a better way to run a society. A Constitutional Conservative respects the law because it's just, fair, free, has led to the most prosperous and generous nation in the history of the world, and looks to a higher power as the source of freedom, not man or mere law.

A Constitutional conservative looks to the Constitution as the highest authority in the land. It's purpose is twofold: 1) verify the freedoms of mankind, and 2) prevent the government from misusing unjust authority to infringe on the rights of the people.

The Constitutional conservative does look toward the intent of the Founding Fathers when interpreting the Constitution. They were a deeply religious people who held strong to the reliance of strong family units. Parental rights are important to Constitutional conservatives, and with it, the responsibility for involved parents in the upbringing of their children. Adults are expected to instill moral values in their children. This means that they may wish for some government involvement in maintaining moral community standards. This is an area where other libertarians may disagree with Constitutional conservatives. In this group, there are people who wish to restrict access to pornography, advocate for television and the airwaves to be cleaned of obscenity, or the en-





- B Y     S Y N O N Y M O U S     1 -

/     B E     W R O N G ?

It's an often heard phrase: "The Pope is infallible". But how often is the phrase understood? How many Catholics think the phrase means the Pope is sinless? How many protestants hear it and wonder how could the church be arrogant enough to proclaim something like that? It is not understood by many people. When a Catholic hears Pope Benedict say, in a statement on global warming, "The Earth is crying out in pain," Practicing Catholics who are trying to do the right thing wonder if they are compromising their faith if they reject such nonsense. To answer the question, let's examine what being infallible means to the Catholic church.

It is a tenet of Catholic faith that the Pope is the figurehead of the church. According to Catholic belief, Jesus enshrined Peter with the keys to the Kingdom of Heaven:

And I tell you, you are Peter, and on this rock I will build my church, and the powers of death shall not prevail against it. I will give you the keys of the kingdom of heaven, and whatever you bind on earth shall be bound in heaven, and whatever you loose on earth shall be loosed in heaven."

Matthew 16:18,19

Jesus assigned Peter as the vicar of the church He established: the first Pope. Today's Pope is a successor of Peter. The key to infallibility is in the keys, literally. Whatever is loosed on Earth is loosed in Heaven.

What is meant by that is that the church doctrine is the authority of Peter and his successors. This is what is meant by infallibility, and it is all that is meant. It doesn't mean that the Pope is sinless. Peter himself, like all the Popes that succeeded him, was a sinner. He even denied knowing Jesus three times:

Then a maid, seeing him as he [Peter] sat in the light and gazing at him, said, "This man also was with him [Jesus]." But he denied it, saying, "Woman, I do not know him."

And a little later some one else saw him and said, "You are also one of them." But Peter said, "Man, I am not."

And after an interval of about an hour still another insisted, saying, "Certainly this man was also with him' for he is a Galilean."

But Peter said, "Man, I do not know what you are saying." And immediately, while he was still speaking, the cock crowed.

And the Lord turned and looked at Peter. And Peter remembered the word of the Lord, how he had said to him, "Before the cock crows today, you will deny me three times."

Luke 22:56-61

Jesus chose imperfect men to conduct God's will. The Pope's infallibility is not at all interpreted to



mean that he is perfect or incapable of sin. Protestants sometimes are critical of Catholics, mistakenly believing that they consider the Pope to be without sin. It's not what they believe.

Whenever there is a question about rituals for Christians, the Pope's infallibility means that his word on the issue is the Church's position. The decision is not the Pope's to make himself. Instead, according to Catholic belief, he is guided by the Holy Spirit to the decision. The Pope does not come up with the answer himself, but prays for it to be revealed to him through the Holy Spirit. When Peter was the Pope, there was a dispute among the converts from Judaism. The Jews were adhering to the kosher laws, including not eating meat and dairy in the same meal, and avoiding pork. Those who converted from pagan and other religions were not. Many of Jews said that the gentiles must adhere to the laws of the Torah, and the gentiles said that it's not a part of being a Christian. So Peter prayed for guidance by the Holy Spirit, and in a dream, received an answer.

The next day, as they were on their journey and coming near the city, Peter went up on the housetop to pray, about the sixth hour. And he became hungry and desired something to eat; but while they were preparing it, he fell into a trance and saw the heaven opened, like a great sheet, let down by four corners upon the earth. In it were all kinds of animals and reptiles and birds of the air.

And there came a voice to him, "Rise, Peter; kill and eat." But Peter said, "No, Lord, for I have never eaten anything that is common or unclean."

And the voice came to him again a second time, "What God has cleansed, you must not call

common."

This happened three times, and the thing was taken up at once to heaven.

Acts 10:9-16

The passage continues to indicate that Peter still was unclear by the message, but Cornelius, a centurion, was prompted by an angel to interpret the dream to Peter to indicate that followers in the Church were no longer required to adhere to the kosher rules.

This is what the infallibility of the Pope is referring to. There was a conflict within the church on an official position, and the Pope, who is guided by the Holy Spirit, was given the answer. Note that the Pope himself doesn't make the decision, but the answer come to him through God.

A similar situation occurs in the Book of Acts, when the apostles were gathered in an early Ecumenical Council (though it didn't go by that name at the time). They chose a successor to Judas, who hung himself on the day of Jesus' crucifixion.

"For it is written in the Book of Psalms, 'Let his habitation become desolate, and let there be no one to live in it', and 'His office let another take.'"

And they put forward two, Joseph called Barsabbas, who was surnamed Justus, and Matthias. And they prayed and said, "Lord, who knowest the hearts of all men, show which one of these two thou has chosen to take the place in this ministry and apostleship from which Judas turned aside, to go to his own place."

And they cast lots for them, and the lot fell on Matthias, and he was enrolled with the eleven apostles." (Acts 1:20, 23-26)

Note again that the apostles didn't

\\ \ { \_\_\_\_\_ }  
\_\_\_\_\_ ( SAINT PETER ) \_\_\_\_\_ / //  
{ \_\_\_\_\_ }

simply take it upon themselves, but prayed for guidance from God to provide the answer to them. The Pope's infallibility has nothing to do with his character or a perception that he is sinless. It only means that all the decisions that the Pope makes regarding church doctrine is always right, and that is because those decisions are guided by the Holy Spirit working through him.

If you are a Catholic, this may seem to be disturbing, considering that Pope Francis has made many comments that libertarian Catholics have a problem with. His surprise attacks on capitalism came after condemning "social justice" as from the Devil. His most recent statement on global warming is better fitting to Greenpeace than the Vatican. So, does the Pope's infallibility mean that Catholics have to accept his statements or consider themselves to be heretics?

The answer is NO. Every Pope is a regular human being, with faults, sins, and opinions. Pope Francis is no exception. His opinions, while at times wrongheaded, are just that: his opinions. His opinions are as personal as yours and mine, and are not church doctrine. So, you don't have to agree when the Pope says the Earth is "Crying out in pain". To be accurate, anthropomorphizing the planet Earth is more in line with pagan beliefs than the holy Catholic Church. Also, the Earth is not in pain. Earth is resilient, and we humans are late arrivals to it. It was fine without us and it will be fine with us and it will be fine after us.

The Pope's science adviser is in all truth a pro-global warming extremist who does a lot of politicking, and censoring scientists with opposing views, to influence the Pope's views on global warming. It is a far cry from receiving guidance from the Holy Spirit.

In summary, the two things a Catholic or a critic of Catholicism should take away from this understanding of the Pope are:

- 1) The Pope's infallibility doesn't imply that he's sinless, or in some other way perfect or better than others. It only means that he's Christ's visible representative of His church on Earth.
- 2) The Pope's infallibility only applies to Church doctrine, not any other aspect of the church. It doesn't apply to this personal opinions, and Catholics are free to disagree with the Pope without feeling ostracized.

Hopefully, this insight into the beliefs of the Catholic church will provide a better understanding of their customs, believers and non-believers alike. Remember that part of being a libertarian is to respect the right of people to follow their own religious calling, if any. And one way to do that is to understand WHY people believe what they do. ((L))

%%%

HILLARY HAS THE MEDIA ON A STRING

%%%

During 4th of July weekend, Hillary Clinton appeared in a parade or something. The media sycophants obliged to her latest demand: they must be inside a giant lasso as she walked backwards at a safe distance. This actually feeds into the stereotype of her as someone too cowardly to face anything outside her controlled bubble, especially tough questions, and the stereotype of the mainstream media, strung by a leash as they follow her wherever she takes them! Sorry, the last thing we need is a president who is out of touch with the real world, and who sets themselves apart from how others live!

OBERGEFELL v. HODGES  
SCALIA, J., dissenting

Cite as: 576 U. S. \_\_\_\_\_ (2015)

SCALIA, J., dissenting

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

**Nos. 14-556, 14-562, 14-571 and 14-574**

JAMES OBERGEFELL, ET AL., PETITIONERS

**14-556**

**v.**

RICHARD HODGES, DIRECTOR, OHIO DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, ET AL.;

VALERIA TANCO, ET AL., PETITIONERS

14-562

**v.**

**BILL HASLAM, GOVERNOR OF TENNESSEE, ET AL.;**

APRIL DEBOER, ET AL., PETITIONERS

14-571

**v.**

**RICK SNYDER, GOVERNOR OF MICHIGAN, ET AL.; AND**

GREGORY BOURKE, ET AL., PETITIONERS

14-574

**v.**

STEVE BESHEAR, GOVERNOR OF KENTUCKY

ON WRITS OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH  
CIRCUIT

[June 26, 2015]

JUSTICE SCALIA, with whom JUSTICE THOMAS joins, dissenting.

I join THE CHIEF JUSTICE [Roberts]'s opinion in full. I write separately to call attention to this Court's threat to American democracy.

The substance of today's decree is not of immense personal importance to me. The law can recognize as marriage whatever sexual attachments and living arrangements it wishes, and can accord them favorable civil consequences, from tax treatment to rights of inheritance.

Those civil consequences-- and the public approval that conferring the name of marriage evidences-- can perhaps have adverse social effects, but no more adverse than the effects of many other controversial laws. So it is not of special importance to me what the law says about marriage. It is of

overwhelming importance, however, who it is that rules me. Today's decree says that my Ruler, and the Ruler of 320 million Americans coast-to-coast, is a majority of the nine lawyers on the Supreme Court. The opinion in these cases is the furthest extension in fact— and the furthest extension one can even imagine— of the Court's claimed power to create "liberties" that the Constitution and its Amendments neglect to mention. This practice of constitutional revision by an unelected committee of nine, always accompanied (as it is today) by extravagant praise of liberty, robs the People of the most important liberty they asserted in the Declaration of Independence and won in the Revolution of 1776: the freedom to govern themselves.

I

Until the courts put a stop to it, public debate over same-sex marriage displayed American democracy at its best. Individuals on both sides of the issue passionately, but respectfully, attempted to persuade their fellow citizens to accept their views. Americans considered the arguments and put the question to a vote. The electorates of 11 States, either directly or through their representatives, chose to expand the traditional definition of marriage. Many more decided not to.<sup>1</sup> Win or lose, advocates for both sides continued pressing their cases, secure in the knowledge that an electoral loss can be negated by a later electoral win. That is exactly how our system of government is supposed to work.<sup>2</sup>

The Constitution places some constraints on self-rule—constraints adopted by the People themselves when they ratified the Constitution and its Amendments. Forbidden are laws "impairing the Obligation of Contracts,"<sup>3</sup> denying "Full Faith and Credit" to the "public Acts" of other States,<sup>4</sup> prohibiting the free exercise of religion,<sup>5</sup> abridging the freedom of speech,<sup>6</sup> infringing the right to keep and bear arms,<sup>7</sup> authorizing unreasonable searches and seizures,<sup>8</sup> and so forth. Aside from these limitations, those powers "reserved to the States respectively, or to the people"<sup>9</sup> can be exercised as the States or the People desire. These cases ask us to decide whether the Fourteenth Amendment contains a limitation that requires the States to license and recognize marriages between two people of the same sex. Does it remove that issue from the political process?

Of course not. It would be surprising to find a prescription regarding marriage in the Federal Constitution since, as the author of today's opinion reminded us only two years ago (in an opinion joined by the same Justices who join him today):

"[R]egulation of domestic relations is an area that has long been regarded as a virtually exclusive province of the States."<sup>10</sup>

"[T]he Federal Government, through our history, has deferred to state-law policy decisions with respect to domestic relations."<sup>11</sup>

But we need not speculate. When the Fourteenth Amendment was ratified in 1868, every State limited marriage to one man and one woman, and no one doubted the constitutionality of doing so. That resolves these cases. When it comes to determining the meaning of a vague constitutional provision— such as "due process of law" or "equal protection of the laws"—it is unquestionable that the People who ratified that provision did not understand it to prohibit a practice that remained both universal and uncontroversial in the years after ratification.<sup>12</sup> We have no basis for striking down a practice that is not expressly prohibited by the Fourteenth Amendment's text, and that bears the

endorsement of a long tradition of open, widespread, and unchallenged use dating back to the Amendment's ratification. Since there is no doubt whatever that the People never decided to prohibit the limitation of marriage to opposite-sex couples, the public debate over same-sex marriage must be allowed to continue.

But the Court ends this debate, in an opinion lacking even a thin veneer of law. Buried beneath the mummeries and straining-to-be-memorable passages of the opinion is a candid and startling assertion: No matter what it was the People ratified, the Fourteenth Amendment protects those rights that the Judiciary, in its "reasoned judgment," thinks the Fourteenth Amendment ought to protect.<sup>13</sup> That is so because "[t]he generations that wrote and ratified the Bill of Rights and the Fourteenth Amendment did not presume to know the extent of freedom in all of its dimensions . . . ." <sup>14</sup> One would think that sentence would continue: ". . . and therefore they provided for a means by which the People could amend the Constitution," or perhaps ". . . and therefore they left the creation of additional liberties, such as the freedom to marry someone of the same sex, to the People, through the never-ending process of legislation." But no. What logically follows, in the majority's judge-empowering estimation, is: "and so they entrusted to future generations a charter protecting the right of all persons to enjoy liberty as we learn its meaning."<sup>15</sup> The "we," needless to say, is the nine of us. "History and tradition guide and discipline [our] inquiry but do not set its outer boundaries."<sup>16</sup> Thus, rather than focusing on the People's understanding of "liberty"—at the time of ratification or even today—the majority focuses on four "principles and traditions" that, in the majority's view, prohibit States from defining marriage as an institution consisting of one man and one woman.<sup>17</sup>

This is a naked judicial claim to legislative—indeed, super-legislative—power; a claim fundamentally at odds with our system of government. Except as limited by a constitutional prohibition agreed to by the People, the States are free to adopt whatever laws they like, even those that offend the esteemed Justices' "reasoned judgment." A system of government that makes the People subordinate to a committee of nine unelected lawyers does not deserve to be called a democracy.

Judges are selected precisely for their skill as lawyers; whether they reflect the policy views of a particular constituency is not (or should not be) relevant. Not surprisingly then, the Federal Judiciary is hardly a cross-section of America. Take, for example, this Court, which consists of only nine men and women, all of them successful lawyers<sup>18</sup> who studied at Harvard or Yale Law School. Four of the nine are natives of New York City. Eight of them grew up in east- and west-coast States. Only one hails from the vast expanse in-between. Not a single South-westerner or even, to tell the truth, a genuine Westerner (California does not count). Not a single evangelical Christian (a group that comprises about one quarter of Americans<sup>19</sup>), or even a Protestant of any denomination. The strikingly unrepresentative character of the body voting on today's social upheaval would be irrelevant if they were functioning as judges, answering the legal question whether the American people had ever ratified a constitutional provision that was understood to proscribe the traditional definition of marriage. But of course the Justices in today's majority are not voting on that basis; they say they are not. And to allow the policy question of same-sex marriage to be considered and resolved by a select, patrician, highly unrepresentative panel of nine is to violate a principle even more fundamental than no taxation without representation: no social transformation without representation.

But what really astounds is the hubris reflected in today's judicial Putsch. The five Justices who compose today's majority are entirely comfortable concluding that every State violated the Constitution for all of the 135 years between the Fourteenth Amendment's ratification and Massachusetts' permitting of same-sex marriages in 2003.<sup>20</sup> They have discovered in the Fourteenth Amendment a "fundamental right" overlooked by every person alive at the time of ratification, and almost everyone else in the time since. They see what lesser legal minds—minds like Thomas Cooley, John Marshall Harlan, Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr., Learned Hand, Louis Brandeis, William Howard Taft, Benjamin Cardozo, Hugo Black, Felix Frankfurter, Robert Jackson, and Henry Friendly—could not. They are certain that the People ratified the Fourteenth Amendment to bestow on them the power to remove questions from the democratic process when that is called for by their "reasoned judgment." These Justices know that limiting marriage to one man and one woman is contrary to reason; they know that an institution as old as government itself, and accepted by every nation in history until 15 years ago,<sup>21</sup> cannot possibly be supported by anything other than ignorance or bigotry. And they are willing to say that any citizen who does not agree with that, who adheres to what was, until 15 years ago, the unanimous judgment of all generations and all societies, stands against the Constitution.

The opinion is couched in a style that is as pretentious as its content is egotistic. It is one thing for separate concurring or dissenting opinions to contain extravagances, even silly extravagances, of thought and expression; it is something else for the official opinion of the Court to do so.<sup>22</sup> Of course the opinion's showy profundities are often profoundly incoherent. "The nature of marriage is that, through its enduring bond, two persons together can find other freedoms, such as expression, intimacy, and spirituality."<sup>23</sup> (Really? Who ever thought that intimacy and spirituality [whatever that means] were freedoms? And if intimacy is, one would think Freedom of Intimacy is abridged rather than expanded by marriage. Ask the nearest hippie. Expression, sure enough, is a freedom, but anyone in a long-lasting marriage will attest that that happy state constricts, rather than expands, what one can prudently say.) Rights, we are told, can "rise . . . from a better informed understanding of how constitutional imperatives define a liberty that remains urgent in our own era."<sup>24</sup> (Huh? How can a better informed understanding of how constitutional imperatives [whatever that means] define [whatever that means] an urgent liberty [never mind], give birth to a right?) And we are told that, "[i]n any particular case," either the Equal Protection or Due Process Clause "may be thought to capture the essence of [a] right in a more accurate and comprehensive way," than the other, "even as the two Clauses may converge in the identification and definition of the right."<sup>25</sup> (What say? What possible "essence" does substantive due process "capture" in an "accurate and comprehensive way"? It stands for nothing whatever, except those freedoms and entitlements that this Court really likes. And the Equal Protection Clause, as employed today, identifies nothing except a difference in treatment that this Court really dislikes. Hardly a distillation of essence. If the opinion is correct that the two clauses "converge in the identification and definition of [a] right," that is only because the majority's likes and dislikes are predictably compatible.) I could go on. The world does not expect logic and precision in poetry or inspirational pop-philosophy; it demands them in the law. The stuff contained in today's opinion has to diminish this Court's reputation for clear thinking and sober analysis.

Hubris is sometimes defined as o'er weening pride; and pride, we know, goeth before a fall. The Judiciary is the "least dangerous" of the federal branches because it has "neither Force nor Will, but merely judgment; and must ultimately depend upon the aid of the executive arm" and the States, "even for the efficacy of its judgments."<sup>26</sup> With each decision of ours that takes from the People a question properly left to them— with each decision that is unabashedly based not on law, but on the "reasoned judgment" of a bare majority of this Court— we move one step closer to being reminded of our impotence.

#### ENDNOTES

- 1 Brief for Respondents in No. 14-571, p. 14.
- 2 Accord, *Schuette v. BAMN*, 572 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_-\_\_\_ (2014) (plurality opinion) (slip op., at 15-17).
- 3 U. S. Const., Art. I, §10.
- 4 Art. IV, §1.
- 5 Amdt. 1.
- 6 Ibid.
- 7 Amdt. 2.
- 8 Amdt. 4.
- 9 Amdt. 10.
- 10 *United States v. Windsor*, 570 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ (2013) (slip op., at 16) (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).
- 11 *Id.*, at \_\_\_ (slip op., at 17).
- 12 See *Town of Greece v. Galloway*, 572 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_-\_\_\_ (2014) (slip op., at 7-8).
- 13 Ante, at 10.
- 14 Ante, at 11.
- 15 Ibid.
- 16 Ante, at 10-11.
- 17 Ante, at 12-18.
- 18 The predominant attitude of tall-building lawyers with respect to the questions presented in these cases is suggested by the fact that the American Bar Association deemed it in accord with the wishes of its members to file a brief in support of the petitioners. See Brief for American Bar Association as Amicus Curiae in Nos. 14-571 and 14- 574, pp. 1-5.
- 19 See Pew Research Center, *America's Changing Religious Landscape* 4 (May 12, 2015).
- 20 *Goodridge v. Department of Public Health*, 440 Mass. 309, 798 N. E. 2d 941 (2003).
- 21 *Windsor*, 570 U. S., at \_\_\_ (ALITO, J., dissenting) (slip op., at 7).
- 22 If, even as the price to be paid for a fifth vote, I ever joined an opinion for the Court that began: "The Constitution promises liberty to all within its reach, a liberty that includes certain specific rights that allow persons, within a lawful realm, to define and express their identity," I would hide my head in a bag. The Supreme Court of the United States has descended from the disciplined legal reasoning of John Marshall and Joseph Story to the mystical aphorisms of the fortune cookie.
- 23 Ante, at 13.
- 24 Ante, at 19.
- 25 Ibid.
- 26 *The Federalist* No. 78, pp. 522, 523 (J. Cooke ed. 1961) (A. Hamilton).






%%

## RANDOMLY READ TWITTER POSTING:

%%

The Supreme Court can't force non  
constitutional rights by infringing  
on fundamental constitutional rights



2015-07-02

I visited several noteworthy onions that are useful or contain helpful  
information.

First, I found what is called the SHADOW WEB GATEWAY. They want you to pay .3  
BTC (about \$70) to get their browser bundle (or some link) and access instruc  
tions. The SHADOW WEB sites end in .shweb, and promoted itself as "host[ing]  
the content too dark for the deep web". This sounds like a new sub-level of  
the deep web, sort of like that phony diagram of the deep web with all these  
"levels", and mariana's web as one of the levels. Maybe it wasn't as phony as  
I once believed.

The web site ends in "here", and links to a video I haven't seen. But I'm  
wondering: if this is "too dark", it has got to be the most depraved stuff  
imaginable, and I couldn't imagine some of the depravity that it on the deep  
web. What is it: ISIS? Planned Parenthood? Sadist videos? And if it is the  
worst of the worst, who would want to pay for access to it? Or maybe it's too  
dark because of the opposite reason: it's information that opposes oppression,  
and is dangerous to oppressors. Somehow I think it's the former.

Shadow Web Gateway  
vqu4mm5lcjmlqohh.onion

I saw a video that supposedly describes it. It was one of those sick minded  
urban legend type stories. There's five minutes of my life I won't get back.  
It seems like the site is just a fix for sick people by sick people making money  
off them. Well, I can get that for free.

Next, and by "next", I mean the next site of anything useful or interesting, I  
came across somethng called "Thoughtcrime with Sarah." Contains a word from  
Orwell's "1984" and so I was intrigued enough to click. The site contained a  
single entry in .txt format, much like a vintage eZine:

JOURNAL ENTRY  
8 May 2015

%%

## INDEX OF FTP/DOCUMENTS/JOURNAL

%%

\_\_\_\_\_\ Log of July 2nd, 2015. /\_\_\_\_

This is the anniversary of Delaware,  
the First State, ratifying the U.S.  
Declaration. I'm now comfortable  
with visiting onion sites, and am  
still making logs for myself, taking  
notes on sites I've visited that I'd  
like to remember.

\*\*\*\*\*

"The internet vigilantes are strong today. Ill-considered Twitter marketing strategy from Spotify. For Mothers Day they asked users to say how they would explain Spotify to their mother. Implied that mothers would not understand much in response. Not inclined to feel sorry for Spotify. A big business should know how not to be a f\*\*\*wit online. I worry that an individual will suffer the same fate. Maybe not deserved.

The vigilantes... these do-gooder keyboard warriors. They are solving the world's problems one outraged tweet at a time. I suppose I should appreciate their work. It's hard."

Needless to say, an individual ALREADY SUFFERED the same fate. Look up the creator of JavaScript.

Thoughtcrime With Sarah  
w4ztrr7ht36lzucy.onion

With the Silk Road and Silk Road 2 taken down, there are successors, "The Green Road" and "Silk Road 3". Meanwhile it seems like at every turn there is someone who wants to be the next Silk Road, including many sites from Netherlands and even more from Russia.

Drugs are plentiful and easily available on the deep web; that is, if they're reliable sources. I will never care enough to find out as I hate this stuff.

Eventually I came across "ProPublica", which calls itself "investigative journalism". A cursory evaluation indicates that it's not so balanced; on the whole site, not one report was on any of the president's violations. The fact that it actually won a Pulitzer Prize also makes me wonder. I sent a link to LiberTORian to see if anyone there had any interest. Let's see if I hear anything from them.

ProPublica  
propub3r6espa33w.onion

SALTY PLANET is next; they are self-proclaimed "NSA Watchmen". I've read documents in their log and they seem much more objective than ProPublica, just calling things as they see them. A must-read for every libertarian. They do the research and don't play politics, just advocate freedom from electronic invasions of Constitutional rights.

Salty Planet  
3redy3uikv2cmd75.onion

For fans of pop culture, this is a really cool site, SkeleTOR.bit. I think I found that BIT goes beyond BitCoin. Now there's BitMessage (or its unfortunate acronym, BM). Here's the site:

"SKELETOR?.?bit is the future home of the Masters of the Universe CGI motion picture project, an endeavor by enthusiasts to animate the first two volumes of the 1980s mini-comics.

For news, subscribe to our Bitmessage address:

BM- 2cVYjbUkPZ17gyLJgAfE7D7mR5ulv7UzoQ "

SkeleTOR.bit  
okzatvfk2jzgvmf4.onion

The Tin Hat is a guide to protecting your privacy, and keeping up to date with privacy techniques.

The Tin Hat  
qza32xuddl3guikc.onion

WE FIGHT CENSORSHIP is a place that posts censored news and reports on government censorship of news. The focus in the most recent articles is Venezuela (the same country whose authoritarian grasp of the internet is something that, when America did it, E.F.F. SUPPORTED!!!) and Islamic nations. They accept censored articles and articles that caused people to be jailed for speaking them. They seem to have no political agenda, just stand for freedom of speech.

We Fight Censorship  
3kyl4i7bfdgwelmf.onion

NOT EVIL is a search engine that searches the deep web. It's hilarious because its logo is a parody of GOOGLE's logo, and it mocks their hypocritical motto: "Don't Be Evil." They're the most evil company on Earth next to Planned Parenthood! Their results aren't half bad.

Not Evil  
hss3uro2hsxfogfq.onion

Onion soup is more of an anti-government/all cops and law enforcement are evil site in general than a "keep your hands off my liberties" site. It covers a broad range of topics. It strikes correct often, but it also fails in many respects. For instance, they beat the "Iran had no WMDs in 2003" drum as though it was true (disproven in 2014). If you're anti-war, fine, but don't use falsehoods or you're no better than a corrupt government. The truth has no agenda. I still like much of their message, as they are aware of the liberties being taken away from Americans. I directed them to LiberTORian in a message.

Onion Soup  
[REMOVED because I no longer have interest in the site; see below]

UPDATE: Onion Soup is truly a full-fledged anti-America anti-law enforcement site and NOT a human rights/individual liberty site. Free speech gives this site a right to speak, but this site has a loose grip on reality, even saying ISIS is more humane than U.S. police in post 14:

"(14) Assume that the ISIS beheading videos are authentic. If you compare the Kelly Thomas (and other cops-slaughtering-civilians) videos with the ISIS videos, the technique of ISIS executioners is no more in-humane than that of US cop executioners. The US government neither invaded (nor threatened to invade) Fullerton, CA after the video - below - was circulated. One of the stated goals for re-invading Iraq and invading Syria (however cynical) is to "protect" foreigners from harm at the hands of foreign thugs. This queer

duality of "protecting" foreigners from foreign thugs but abandoning Americans to domestic thugs demonstrates the warped priorities of the US government."

ASSUME THAT THE ISIS BEHEADING VIDEOS ARE AUTHENTIC? \_ASSUME?!!\_ They don't exactly have ILM doing "special effects". I saw enough of the beheadings to know they were real, before I got so sick and had to turn away. Why don't you visit the very real life, easy to locate families of the victims and tell them you don't even believe that those deaths were real? This make me sick. And secondly, yeah, I know the cop video in question. That was one evil cop, but that was ONE. And despicable as it was, it PALED in comparison to the horrific method of deaths suffered under ISIS. Did you even WATCH an ISIS video? In particular the mass beheading on the beach video? Stop apologizing for them. You don't hurt, let alone KILL people in the name of religious statism! I care about freedom for all and equal justice against those who are corrupt in government, but I do not hate for America itself or government itself or the good people in law enforcement and politics. America is the greatest, most free system the world has ever seen, when it's allowed to work. The problem does not lie with America. It is people who disobey and disregard the law and turn the system of justice into a sword to attack freedom who are the problem. Hating all cops and sympathizing with evil (ISIS) is not the answer. The answer is making the corrupt abusers of power, who think they are above the Constitution, face justice. Only when enough people care about their own freedom, and that of their fellow man, will that happen.

soupksx6vqh3yddda.onion

\* \* \*

WikiLeaks is pathetic. I wonder what their true motives are, but it's surely not in the name of freedom. I conducted a search on their web site, after clearnet searches failed, to see where I can find the most recent "leaked" Hillary Clinton emails. The searches yielded nothing from those, or the past, or anything important. But they sure did turn up a lot of pro-Hillary pages on their site, including tons of pages of fundraising. WikiLeaks and Julian Assange are stupid. Edward Snowden they are not. Evil for evil's sake. Their statement must be, "if we can steal it, we'll post it, just because we can. Let the chips fall where they may." The only thing they were good for were the ClimateGate emails, and I found them somewhere else!

WikiLeaks

Not even noting the URL as I'm sick of them. Why would I need it if I don't even want to visit?

~~~~~  
ALL POINTS BULLETIN  
~~~~~

LiberTORian is seking a deep web user named Zinov. He runs an onion site called "Zinov's Gopher Menu". The site as located at this address:

<http://jewjewkeei4o4bvn.onion>

Zinov's site contains texts of interest to libertarians. He asks for people to write to him, but there is no contact information anywhere on the site. If Zinov, or anyone who knows how to reach him, has an email address, please send this information to LiberTORian at:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

%%

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

>>

1776paid.txt

<<

%%

It's the 4th of July as I'm typing this, so I thought you'd like a reminder, or to be informed for the first time, what happened to the 56 signers of the Declaration of Independence. I think this file dates from the late 1980s.

But it still circulates today on clearnet. Here's the original text, exactly as it originally appeared, formatting and all.

\*\*\*\*\*

#### THE PRICE THEY PAID

Have you ever wondered what happened to the 56 men who signed the Declaration of Independence?

Five signers were captured by the British as traitors, and tortured before they died. Twelve had their homes ransacked and burned. Two lost their sons in the revolutionary army, another had two sons captured. Nine of the 56 fought and died from wounds or hardships of the revolutionary war.

They signed and they pledged their lives, their fortunes, and their sacred honor.

What kind of men were they? Twenty-four were lawyers and jurists. Eleven were merchants, nine were farmers and large plantation owners, men of means, well educated. But they signed the Declaration of Independence knowing full well that the penalty would be death if they were captured.

Carter Braxton of Virginia, a wealthy planter and trader, saw his ships swept from the seas by the British Navy. He sold his home and properties to pay his debts, and died in rags.

Thomas McKeam was so hounded by the British that he was forced to move his family almost constantly. He served in the Congress without pay, and his family was kept in hiding. His possessions were taken from him, and poverty was his reward.

Vandals or soldiers or both, looted the properties of Ellery, Clymer, Hall, Walton, Gwinnett, Heyward, Rutledge, and Middleton.

At the battle of Yorktown, Thomas Nelson Jr., noted that the British General Cornwallis had taken over the Nelson home for his headquarters. The owner quietly urged General George Washington to open fire. The home was destroyed, and Nelson died bankrupt.

Francis Lewis had his home and properties destroyed. The enemy jailed his wife, and she died within a few months.

John Hart was driven from his wife's bedside as she was dying. Their 13 children fled for their lives. His fields and his gristmill were laid to waste. For more than a year he lived in forests and caves, returning home to find his wife dead and his children vanished. A few weeks later he died from exhaustion and a broken heart. Norris and Livingston suffered similar fates.

Such were the stories and sacrifices of the American Revolution. These were not wild eyed, rabble-rousing ruffians. They were soft-spoken men of means and education. They had security, but they valued liberty more. Standing tall, straight, and unwavering, they pledged: "For the support of this declaration, with firm reliance on the protection of the divine providence, we mutually pledge to each other, our lives, our fortunes, and our sacred honor."

Targetshooter's notes:

They gave you and I a free and independent America. The history books never told you a lot of what happened in the revolutionary war. We didn't just fight the British. We were British subjects at that time and we fought our own government! Perhaps you can now see why our founding fathers had a hatred for standing armies, and allowed through the second amendment for everyone to be armed.

Frankly, I can't read this without crying. Some of us take these liberties so much for granted.

We shouldn't.

Peace my friends,  
Garry Hildreth  
(Targetshooter)  
Erie, Pa

%%

%%

## R E S T O R I N G      L I B E R T Y

%%

Our Constitution needs our help. We've all seen or read in the media stories of people who made a difference, but does it inspire you? Probably not. But you really don't realize how many people you affect in your life, and it is for the better or worse depending on what you choose to do. I'm not saying to go out and change the world overnight. Just do something and you'll see a difference on a human level, bringing the best out of individuals. We were given the liberty by God (or for those who don't believe in God, natural law.)

But our responsibility is to defend it. It's not much to ask a libertarian to be active. You are free not to oblige, but remember that not to act is to act. Individual liberty is worth defending.

Here are some suggestions to help restore liberty.

- 1) Acquire a copy of the Declaration of Independence and United States Constitution.

These documents aren't that long. read it. Then go the extra mile to

learn the intent of the words in the important documents.

## 2) Join a local Tea Party.

Tea parties tend to lean libertarian and are heavily reverent toward the Constitution, and rule of law, equal application of justice, and limited government. Tea parties are often sources for pocket Constitutions, available for a dollar donation.

## 3) Join a 9/12 group.

Sometimes a tea party is not right for you. I stopped attending my local tea party meetings for a few reasons: 1) they featured a guest who advocated active euthanasia. Active euthanasia means that the patient or their family have no say when it comes to allowing a person to live, and the government health system says that the patient must be directly killed even if not terminal. This is far beyond passive euthanasia, where a person is removed from life support and allowed to die naturally. 2) The guest was hostile to Catholics for opposing active euthanasia, and even helped to foster anti-Catholicism among a few of its members. 3) The system itself was too organized; people had little room for input, and everyone followed a strict structure.

A 9/12 group may be more for you. For those of you who are Christian, a good analogy for a 9/12 group is a Bible study group for the U.S. Constitution. 9/12 groups are more social than tea party groups in general, offer an intimate setting, and provide discussions of the understanding of founding documents and their writers' intent.

## 4. Form your own tea party or 9/12 group.

Is there not one of these where you live? Your work could fill in a much-needed void. If you wish to form one of these groups for your-

self, do the following:

- o Offer pocket Constitutions
- o Hold meetings in a public place, where attention to it can be drawn. If you hold meetings at a library, for instance, it may help you promote it.
- o Be courteous. People of differing opinions will attend. Libertarian minded people ought to respect each other's opinions.
- o Educate yourself. Do learn as much as you can on your own.
- o Be a leader. People will look to the founder of the group for guidance.
- o Be compassionate. Do good works for people in need and the less fortunate in your area, not in order to improve a false image of such groups, but because it will bless your group.
- o Begin the meetings with a prayer. If atheists object, advise them that the prayer is an exercise of our First Amendment rights, and we do not wish to compel you to pray. The prayers are voluntary. Believers are to respect atheists' rights to their deeply-held convictions, and atheists are to respect people of faith's right to pray.
- o Don't ostracize people for speaking an unpopular opinion. That speaks of statism. Besides, maybe it's you who are wrong!
- o IMPORTANT! Be wary and always on a lookout for infiltrators. Do it with vigilance. Progressives HATE people with opinions different from their own and will go to great lengths to destroy you. Cass Sustein instructs statists to do this everywhere at a local level. There is usually one in every group who is also astute at weeding out such people. Let



each member of a group use his/her talents.

5. Join your local libertarian party

There may be a libertarian party in your area. Join them and do what you can to raise awareness of issues of individual liberty.

6. Run for local office as a libertarian.

Many offices in your local area are probably unopposed. Why not run for office as a libertarian? You can fill a position and actually influence the outcome of events. Keep in mind that campaigning and your work requires an investment of much time. Run as a democrat or republican if you wish, but be libertarian, and proclaim yourself as such.

7. Form a libertarian party in your area.

Maybe you need to fill a void where both parties in your area don't respect freedom. A new libertarian party will energize people looking for a new solution. This will also take a lot of your time, but you can seek out and support other candidates if you are not interested in pursuing office yourself. Conduct your party as you would a tea party or 9/12 group.

8. Be social on the internet.

You don't know how many people you can reach by connecting to others. Keep in contact with others on your favorite, or least despised, social media. Twitter hashtags to read are #tcot (top conservatives on Twitter) and #tlot (top libertarians on Twitter). Follow people who use these hashtags and read their posted stories. Retweet and "favorite" them. Spread the message. Also do not forget the power of REAL LIFE socializing, which is the reason the real-life 9/12 and tea party groups are so crucial!

9. Get involved in your local home schooling group.

While the public school system is busy making children uneducated and ignorant and unable to think for themselves (this is NOT hyperbole), you can use your talent to teaching children libertarian principles. One of the goals of school is not only educating, but to advocate free thought: the cornerstone of libertarianism. If only children today learned basic civics, as adults they would not stand for gross violations of their basic rights that used to only take place in third world dictatorships. They deserve this. Give the children the education they need and want. With so much on education being a "right", they are certainly being unserved by the very government who keeps chanting this absurd mantra!

\* \* \*

These are just a few ways a person can make a difference. Why not consider one or two, pray on the decision if you are faithful, or make a reasoned contemplation if you aren't, and do the part you think is the one you were meant to fill.

Don't go in with the mission to change the world. Those people will rarely succeed, and when they do, they tend to be statisticians who impose their will on others and strip the rights of mankind. Just go in with the wish to be the one person who wants to be active for good. And, if the one person goes in with sincerity and humility to help defend the rights of everyone, that one can touch ten people, who in turn will touch a hundred, who will touch a thousand, and so on, until the world DOES become a better place.

So what are you waiting for? ((L))

> Don't hurt others <  
> and don't take their stuff. <

SCALIA, J., dissenting

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES

No. 14-114

DAVID KING, ET AL., PETITIONERS v. SYLVIA  
BURWELL, SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES, ET AL.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF  
APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

[June 25, 2015]

JUSTICE SCALIA, with whom JUSTICE THOMAS and JUSTICE ALITO join, dissenting. The Court holds that when the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act says "Exchange established by the State" it means "Exchange established by the State or the Federal Government." That is of course quite absurd, and the Court's 21 pages of explanation make it no less so.

I

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act makes major reforms to the American health-insurance market. It provides, among other things, that every State "shall . . . establish an American Health Benefit Exchange"—a marketplace where people can shop for health-insurance plans. 42 U. S. C. §18031(b)(1). And it provides that if a State does not comply with this instruction, the Secretary of Health and Human Services must "establish and operate such Exchange within the State." §18041(c)(1).

A separate part of the Act—housed in §36B of the Internal Revenue Code—grants "premium tax credits" to subsidize certain purchases of health insurance made on Exchanges. The tax credit consists of "premium assistance amounts" for "coverage months." 26 U. S. C. §36B(b)(1). An individual has a coverage month only when he is covered by an insurance plan "that was enrolled in through an Exchange established by the State under [§18031]." §36B(c)(2)(A). And the law ties the size of the premium assistance amount to the premiums for health plans which cover the individual "and which were enrolled in through an Exchange established by the State under [§18031]." §36B(b)(2)(A). The premium assistance amount further depends on the cost of certain other insurance plans "offered through the same Exchange." §36B(b)(3)(B)(i).

This case requires us to decide whether someone who buys insurance on an Exchange established by the Secretary gets tax credits. You would think the answer would be obvious—so obvious there would hardly be a need for the Supreme Court to hear a case about it. In order to receive any money under §36B, an individual must enroll in an insurance plan through an "Exchange established by the State." The Secretary of Health and Human Services is not a State. So an Exchange established by the Secretary is not an Exchange established by the State—which means people who buy health insurance through such an Exchange get no money under §36B.

Words no longer have meaning if an Exchange that is *\*not\** established by a State is "established by the State." It is hard to come up with a clearer way to limit tax credits to state Exchanges than to use the words "established by the State." And it is hard to come up with a reason to include the words "by

the State" other than the purpose of limiting credits to state Exchanges. "[T]he plain, obvious, and rational meaning of a statute is always to be preferred to any curious, narrow, hidden sense that nothing but the exigency of a hard case and the ingenuity and study of an acute and powerful intellect would discover." \*Lynch v. Alworth-Stephens Co.\*, 267 U. S. 364, 370 (1925) (internal quotation marks omitted). Under all the usual rules of interpretation, in short, the Government should lose this case. But normal rules of interpretation seem always to yield to the overriding principle of the present Court: The Affordable Care Act must be saved.

## II

The Court interprets §36B to award tax credits on both federal and state Exchanges. It accepts that the "most natural sense" of the phrase "Exchange established by the State" is an Exchange established by a State. \*Ante\*, at 11. (Understatement, thy name is an opinion on the Affordable Care Act!) Yet the opinion continues, with no semblance of shame, that "it is also possible that the phrase refers to **\*\*all\*\*** Exchanges—both State and Federal." \*Ante\*, at 13. (Impossible possibility, thy name is an opinion on the Affordable Care Act!) The Court claims that "the context and structure of the Act compel [it] to depart from what would otherwise be the most natural reading of the pertinent statutory phrase." \*Ante\*, at 21.

I wholeheartedly agree with the Court that sound interpretation requires paying attention to the whole law, not homing in on isolated words or even isolated sections. Context always matters. Let us not forget, however, why context matters: It is a tool for understanding the terms of the law, not an excuse for rewriting them.

Any effort to understand rather than to rewrite a law must accept and apply the presumption that lawmakers use words in "their natural and ordinary signification." \*Pensacola Telegraph Co. v. Western Union Telegraph Co.\*, 96 U. S. 1, 12 (1878). Ordinary connotation does not always prevail, but the more unnatural the proposed interpretation of a law, the more compelling the contextual evidence must be to show that it is correct. Today's interpretation is not merely unnatural; it is unheard of. Who would ever have dreamt [sic] that "Exchange established by the State" means "Exchange established by the State or the Federal Government"? Little short of an express statutory definition could justify adopting this singular reading. Yet the only pertinent definition here provides that "State" means "each of the 50 States and the District of Columbia." 42 U. S. C. §18024(d). Because the Secretary is neither one of the 50 States nor the District of Columbia, that definition positively contradicts the eccentric theory that an Exchange established by the Secretary has been established by the State.

Far from offering the overwhelming evidence of meaning needed to justify the Court's interpretation, other contextual clues undermine it at every turn. To begin with, other parts of the Act sharply distinguish between the establishment of an Exchange by a State and the establishment of an Exchange by the Federal Government. The States' authority to set up Exchanges comes from one provision, §18031(b); the Secretary's authority comes from an entirely different provision, §18041(c). Funding for States to establish Exchanges comes from one part of the law, §18031(a); funding for the Secretary to establish Exchanges comes from an entirely different part of the law, §18121. States generally run state-created Exchanges; the Secretary generally runs federally created Exchanges. §18041(b)–(c). And the Secretary's authority to set up an Exchange in a State depends upon the State's "[f]ailure to establish [an] Exchange."

§18041(c) (emphasis added). Provisions such as these destroy any pretense that a federal Exchange is in some sense also established by a State.

Reading the rest of the Act also confirms that, as relevant here, there are \*only\* two ways to set up an Exchange in a State: establishment by a State and establishment by the Secretary. §§18031(b), 18041(c). So saying that an Exchange established by the Federal Government is "established by the State" goes beyond giving words bizarre meanings; it leaves the limiting phrase "by the State" with no operative effect at all. That is a stark violation of the elementary principle that requires an interpreter "to give effect, if possible, to every clause and word of a statute." \*Montclair v. Ramsdell\*, 107 U. S. 147, 152 (1883). In weighing this argument, it is well to remember the difference between giving a term a meaning that duplicates another part of the law, and giving a term no meaning at all. Lawmakers sometimes repeat themselves—whether out of a desire to add emphasis, a sense of belt-and suspenders caution, or a lawyerly penchant for doublets (aid and abet, cease and desist, null and void). Lawmakers do not, however, tend to use terms that "have no operation at all." \*Marbury v. Madison\*, 1 Cranch 137, 174 (1803). So while the rule against treating a term as a redundancy is far from categorical, the rule against treating it as a nullity is as close to absolute as interpretive principles get. The Court's reading does not merely give "by the State" a duplicative effect; it causes the phrase to have no effect whatever.

Making matters worse, the reader of the whole Act will come across a number of provisions beyond §36B that refer to the establishment of Exchanges by States. Adopting the Court's interpretation means nullifying the term "by the State" not just once, but again and again throughout the Act. Consider for the moment only those parts of the Act that mention an "Exchange established by the State" in connection with tax credits:

- o The formula for calculating the amount of the tax credit, as already explained, twice mentions "an Exchange established by the State." 26 U. S. C. §36B(b) (2) (A), (c) (2) (A) (i).
- o The Act directs States to screen children for eligibility for "[tax credits] under section 36B" and for "any other assistance or subsidies available for coverage obtained through" an "Exchange established by the State." 42 U. S. C. §1396w-3(b) (1) (B)-(C).
- o The Act requires "an Exchange established by the State" to use a "secure electronic interface" to determine eligibility for (among other things) tax credits. §1396w-3(b) (1) (D).
- o The Act authorizes "an Exchange established by the State" to make arrangements under which other state agencies "determine whether a State resident is eligible for [tax credits] under section 36B." §1396w-3(b) (2).
- o The Act directs States to operate Web sites that allow anyone "who is eligible to receive [tax credits] under section 36B" to compare insurance plans offered through "an Exchange established by the State." §1396w-3(b) (4).
- o One of the Act's provisions addresses the enrollment of certain children in health plans "offered through an Exchange established by the State" and then discusses the eligibility of these children for tax credits. §1397ee(d) (3) (B).

It is bad enough for a court to cross out "by the State" once. But seven times?

Congress did not, by the way, repeat "Exchange established by the State under [§18031]" by rote throughout the Act. Quite the contrary, clause after clause

of the law uses a more general term such as "Exchange" or "Exchange established under [§18031]." See, \*e.g.\*, 42 U. S. C. §§18031(k), 18033; 26 U. S. C. §6055. It is common sense that any speaker who says "Exchange" some of the time, but "Exchange established by the State" the rest of the time, probably means something by the contrast.

Equating establishment "by the State" with establishment by the Federal Government makes nonsense of other parts of the Act. The Act requires States to ensure (on pain of losing Medicaid funding) that any "Exchange established by the State" uses a "secure electronic interface" to determine an individual's eligibility for various benefits (including tax credits). 42 U. S. C. §1396w- 3 (b) (1) (D). How could a State control the type of electronic interface used by a federal Exchange? The Act allows a State to control contracting decisions made by "an Exchange established by the State." §18031(f) (3). Why would a State get to control the contracting decisions of a federal Exchange? The Act also provides "Assistance to States to establish American Health Benefit Exchanges" and directs the Secretary to renew this funding "if the State . . . is making progress . . . toward . . . establishing an Exchange." §18031(a). Does a State that refuses to set up an Exchange still receive this funding, on the premise that Exchanges established by the Federal Government are really established by States? It is presumably in order to avoid these questions that the Court concludes that federal Exchanges count as state Exchanges only "for purposes of the tax credits." \*Ante\*, at 13. (Contrivance, thy name is an opinion on the Affordable Care Act!)

It is probably piling on to add that the Congress that wrote the Affordable Care Act knew how to equate two different types of Exchanges when it wanted to do so. The Act includes a clause providing that "[a] \*territory\* that . . . establishes . . . an Exchange . . . shall be treated as a State" for certain purposes. §18043 (a) (emphasis added). Tellingly, it does not include a comparable clause providing that the \*Secretary\* shall be treated as a State for purposes of §36B when \*she\* establishes an Exchange.

Faced with overwhelming confirmation that "Exchange established by the State" means what it looks like it means, the Court comes up with argument after feeble argument to support its contrary interpretation. None of its tries comes close to establishing the implausible conclusion that Congress used "by the State" to mean "by the State or not by the State."

The Court emphasizes that if a State does not set up an Exchange, the Secretary must establish "such Exchange." §18041(c). It claims that the word "such" implies that federal and state Exchanges are "the same." \*Ante\*, at 13. To see the error in this reasoning, one need only consider a parallel provision from our Constitution: "The Times, Places and Manner of holding Elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each State by the Legislature thereof; but the Congress may at any time by Law make or alter \*such Regulations\*." Art. I, §4, cl. 1 (emphasis added). Just as the Affordable Care Act directs States to establish Exchanges while allowing the Secretary to establish "such Exchange" as a fallback, the Elections Clause directs state legislatures to prescribe election regulations while allowing Congress to make "such Regulations" as a fallback. Would anybody refer to an election regulation made by Congress as a "regulation prescribed by the state legislature"? Would anybody say that a federal election law and a state election law are in all respects equivalent? Of course not. The word "such" does not help the Court one whit. The Court's argument also overlooks the rudimentary principle that a specific provision governs a general one. Even if it were true that the term "such Exchange" in §18041(c) implies that federal and state Exchanges are the

same in general, the term "established by the State" in §36B makes plain that they differ when it comes to tax credits in particular.

The Court's next bit of interpretive jiggery-pokery involves other parts of the Act that purportedly presuppose the availability of tax credits on both federal and state Exchanges. \*Ante\*, at 13-14. It is curious that the Court is willing to subordinate the express words of the section that grants tax credits to the mere implications of other provisions with only tangential connections to tax credits. One would think that interpretation would work the other way around. In any event, each of the provisions mentioned by the Court is perfectly consistent with limiting tax credits to state Exchanges. One of them says that the minimum functions of an Exchange include (alongside several tasks that have nothing to do with tax credits) setting up an electronic calculator that shows "the actual cost of coverage after the application of any premium tax credit." 42 U. S. C. §18031(d)(4)(G). What stops a federal Exchange's electronic calculator from telling a customer that his tax credit is zero? Another provision requires an Exchange's outreach program to educate the public about health plans, to facilitate enrollment, and to "distribute fair and impartial information" about enrollment and "the availability of premium tax credits." §18031(i)(3)(B). What stops a federal Exchange's outreach program from fairly and impartially telling customers that no tax credits are available? A third provision requires an Exchange to report information about each insurance plan sold—including level of coverage, premium, name of the insured, and "amount of any advance payment" of the tax credit. 26 U. S. C. §36B(f)(3). What stops a federal Exchange's report from confirming that no tax credits have been paid out?

The Court persists that these provisions "would make little sense" if no tax credits were available on federal Exchanges. \*Ante\*, at 14. Even if that observation were true, it would show only oddity, not ambiguity. Laws often include unusual or mismatched provisions. The Affordable Care Act spans 900 pages; it would be amazing if its provisions all lined up perfectly with each other. This Court "does not revise legislation . . . just because the text as written creates an apparent anomaly." \*Michigan v. Bay Mills Indian Community\*, 572 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ (2014) (slip op., at 10). At any rate, the provisions cited by the Court are not particularly unusual. Each requires an Exchange to perform a standardized series of tasks, some aspects of which relate in some way to tax credits. It is entirely natural for slight mismatches to occur when, as here, lawmakers draft "a single statutory provision" to cover "different kinds" of situations. \*Roberts v. United States\*, 572 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ (2014) (slip op., at 4). Lawmakers need not, and often do not, "write extra language specifically exempting, phrase by phrase, applications in respect to which a portion of a phrase is not needed." \*Ibid\*.

Roaming even farther afield from §36B, the Court turns to the Act's provisions about "qualified individuals." *Ante*, at 10-11. Qualified individuals receive favored treatment on Exchanges, although customers who are not qualified individuals may also shop there. See \*Halbig v. Burwell\*, 758 F. 3d 390, 404-405 (CA DC 2014). The Court claims that the Act must equate federal and state establishment of Exchanges when it defines a qualified individual as someone who (among other things) lives in the "State that established the Exchange," 42 U. S. C. §18032(f)(1)(A). Otherwise, the Court says, there would be no qualified individuals on federal Exchanges, contradicting (for example) the provision requiring every Exchange to take the "'interests of qualified individuals'" into account when selecting health plans. \*Ante\*, at 11 (quoting §18031(e)(1)(b)). Pure applesauce. Imagine that a university sends around a bulletin reminding every professor to take the "interests of graduate

students" into account when setting office hours, but that some professors teach only undergraduates. Would anybody reason that the bulletin implicitly presupposes that every professor has "graduate students," so that "graduate students" must really mean "graduate or undergraduate students"? Surely not. Just as one naturally reads instructions about graduate students to be inapplicable to the extent a particular professor has no such students, so too would one naturally read instructions about qualified individuals to be inapplicable to the extent a particular Exchange has no such individuals. There is no need to rewrite the term "State that established the Exchange" in the definition of "qualified individual," much less a need to rewrite the separate term "Exchange established by the State" in a separate part of the Act.

Least convincing of all, however, is the Court's attempt to uncover support for its interpretation in "the structure of Section 36B itself." Ante, at 19. The Court finds it strange that Congress limited the tax credit to state Exchanges in the formula for calculating the \*amount\* of the credit, rather than in the provision defining the range of taxpayers \*eligible\* for the credit. Had the Court bothered to look at the rest of the Tax Code, it would have seen that the structure it finds strange is in fact quite common. Consider, for example, the many provisions that initially make taxpayers of all incomes eligible for a tax credit, only to provide later that the amount of the credit is zero if the taxpayer's income exceeds a specified threshold. See, \*e.g.\* , 26 U. S. C. §24 (child tax credit); §32 (earned-income tax credit); §36 (first-time-home buyer tax credit). Or consider, for an even closer parallel, a neighboring provision that initially makes taxpayers of all States eligible for a credit, only to provide later that the amount of the credit may be zero if the taxpayer's State does not satisfy certain requirements. See §35 (health-insurance-costs tax credit). One begins to get the sense that the Court's insistence on reading things in context applies to "established by the State," but to nothing else. For what it is worth, lawmakers usually draft tax-credit provisions the way they do—i.e., the way they drafted §36B—because the mechanics of the credit require it. Many Americans move to new States in the middle of the year. Mentioning state Exchanges in the definition of "coverage month"—rather than (as the Court proposes) in the provisions concerning taxpayers' eligibility for the credit—accounts for taxpayers who live in a State with a state Exchange for a part of the year, but a State with a federal Exchange for the rest of the year. In addition, §36B awards a credit with respect to insurance plans "which cover the taxpayer, the taxpayer's spouse, or any dependent . . . of the taxpayer and which were enrolled in through an Exchange established by the State." §36B(b) (2) (A) (emphasis added). If Congress had mentioned state Exchanges in the provisions discussing taxpayers' eligibility for the credit, a taxpayer who buys insurance from a federal Exchange would get no money, even if he has a spouse or dependent who buys insurance from a state Exchange—say a child attending college in a different State. It thus makes perfect sense for "Exchange established by the State" to appear where it does, rather than where the Court suggests. Even if that were not so, of course, its location would not make it any less clear.

The Court has not come close to presenting the compelling contextual case necessary to justify departing from the ordinary meaning of the terms of the law. Quite the contrary, context only underscores the outlandishness of the Court's interpretation. Reading the Act as a whole leaves no doubt about the matter: "Exchange established by the State" means what it looks like it means.

### III

For its next defense of the indefensible, the Court turns to the Affordable

Care Act's design and purposes. As relevant here, the Act makes three major reforms. The guaranteed-issue and community-rating requirements prohibit insurers from considering a customer's health when deciding whether to sell insurance and how much to charge, 42 U. S. C. §§300gg, 300gg-1; its famous individual mandate requires everyone to maintain insurance coverage or to pay what the Act calls a "penalty," 26 U. S. C. §5000A(b)(1), and what we have nonetheless called a tax, see *National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius*\*, 567 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_ (2012) (slip op., at 39); and its tax credits help make insurance more affordable. The Court reasons that Congress intended these three reforms to "work together to expand insurance coverage"; and because the first two apply in every State, so must the third. *Ante*, at 16.

This reasoning suffers from no shortage of flaws. To begin with, "even the most formidable argument concerning the statute's purposes could not overcome the clarity[of] the statute's text." *Kloeckner v. Solis*\*, 568 U. S. \_\_\_, \_\_\_, n. 4 (2012) (slip op., at 14, n. 4). Statutory design and purpose matter only to the extent they help clarify another wise ambiguous provision. Could anyone maintain with a straight face that §36B is unclear? To mention just the highlights, the Court's interpretation clashes with a statutory definition, renders words inoperative in at least seven separate provisions of the Act, overlooks the contrast between provisions that say "Exchange" and those that say "Exchange established by the State," gives the same phrase one meaning for purposes of tax credits but an entirely different meaning for other purposes, and (let us not forget) contradicts the ordinary meaning of the words Congress used. On the other side of the ledger, the Court has come up with nothing more than a general provision that turns out to be controlled by a specific one, a handful of clauses that are consistent with either understanding of establishment by the State, and a resemblance between the tax-credit provision and the rest of the Tax Code. If that is all it takes to make something ambiguous, everything is ambiguous.

Having gone wrong in consulting statutory purpose at all, the Court goes wrong again in analyzing it. The purposes of a law must be "collected chiefly from its words," not "from extrinsic circumstances." *Sturges v. Crowninshield*\*, 4 Wheat. 122, 202 (1819) (Marshall, C. J.). Only by concentrating on the law's terms can a judge hope to uncover the scheme *of the statute*\*, rather than some other scheme that the judge thinks desirable. Like it or not, the express terms of the Affordable Care Act make only two of the three reforms mentioned by the Court applicable in States that do not establish Exchanges. It is perfectly possible for them to operate independently of tax credits. The guaranteed-issue and community-rating requirements continue to ensure that insurance companies treat all customers the same no matter their health, and the individual mandate continues to encourage people to maintain coverage, lest they be "taxed."

The Court protests that without the tax credits, the number of people covered by the individual mandate shrinks, and without a broadly applicable individual mandate the guaranteed-issue and community-rating requirements "would destabilize the individual insurance market." *Ante*, at 15. If true, these projections would show only that the statutory scheme contains a flaw; they would not show that the statute means the opposite of what it says. Moreover, it is a flaw that appeared as well in other parts of the Act. A different title established a long-term-care insurance program with guaranteed-issue and community-rating requirements, but without an individual mandate or subsidies. §§8001-8002, 124 Stat. 828-847 (2010). This program never came into effect "only because Congress, in response to actuarial analyses predicting that the [program] would be fiscally unsustainable, repealed the provision in 2013." *Halbig*\*, 758 F. 3d, at 410. How could the Court say that Congress would never



dream of combining guaranteed-issue and community-rating requirements with a narrow individual mandate, when it combined those requirements with no individual mandate in the context of long-term-care insurance?

Similarly, the Department of Health and Human Services originally interpreted the Act to impose guaranteed-issue and community-rating requirements in the Federal Territories, even though the Act plainly does not make the individual mandate applicable there. \*Ibid.\*; see 26 U. S. C. §5000A(f)(4); 42 U. S. C. §201(f). "This combination, predictably, [threw] individual insurance markets in the territories into turmoil." \*Halbig, supra\*, at 410. Responding to complaints from the Territories, the Department at first insisted that it had "no statutory authority" to address the problem and suggested that the Territories "seek legislative relief from Congress" instead. Letter from G. Cohen, Director of the Center for Consumer Information and Insurance Oversight, to S. Igisomar, Secretary of Commerce of the Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands (July 12, 2013). The Department changed its mind a year later, after what it described as "a careful review of [the] situation and the relevant statutory language." Letter from M. Tavenner, Administrator of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, to G. Francis, Insurance Commissioner of the Virgin Islands (July 16, 2014). How could the Court pronounce it "implausible" for Congress to have tolerated instability in insurance markets in States with federal Exchanges, \*ante\*, at 17, when even the Government maintained until recently that Congress did exactly that in American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands?

Compounding its errors, the Court forgets that it is no more appropriate to consider one of a statute's purposes in isolation than it is to consider one of its words that way. No law pursues just one purpose at all costs, and no statutory scheme encompasses just one element. Most relevant here, the Affordable Care Act displays a congressional preference for state participation in the establishment of Exchanges: Each State gets the first opportunity to set up its Exchange, 42 U. S. C. §18031(b); States that take up the opportunity receive federal funding for "activities . . . related to establishing" an Exchange, §18031(a)(3); and the Secretary may establish an Exchange in a State only as a fallback, §18041(c). But setting up and running an Exchange involve significant burdens—meeting strict deadlines, §18041(b), implementing requirements related to the offering of insurance plans, §18031(d)(4), setting up outreach programs, §18031(i), and ensuring that the Exchange is self-sustaining by 2015, §18031(d)(5)(A). A State would have much less reason to take on these burdens if its citizens could receive tax credits no matter who establishes its Exchange. (Now that the Internal Revenue Service has interpreted §36B to authorize tax credits everywhere, by the way, 34 States have failed to set up their own Exchanges. \*Ante\*, at 6.) So even if making credits available on all Exchanges advances the goal of improving healthcare markets, it frustrates the goal of encouraging state involvement in the implementation of the Act. \*\*This\*\* is what justifies going out of our way to read "established by the State" to mean "established by the State or not established by the State"?

Worst of all for the reputé of today's decision, the Court's reasoning is largely self-defeating. The Court predicts that making tax credits unavailable in States that do not set up their own Exchanges would cause disastrous economic consequences there. If that is so, however, wouldn't one expect States to react by setting up their own Exchanges? And wouldn't that outcome satisfy two of the Act's goals rather than just one: enabling the Act's reforms to work and promoting state involvement in the Act's implementation? The Court protests that the very existence of a federal fallback shows that Congress expected that

some States might fail to set up their own Exchanges. \*Ante\*, at 19. So it does. It does not show, however, that Congress expected the number of recalcitrant States to be particularly large. The more accurate the Court's dire economic predictions, the smaller that number is likely to be. That reality destroys the Court's pretense that applying the law as written would imperil "the viability of the entire Affordable Care Act." \*Ante\*, at 20. All in all, the Court's arguments about the law's purpose and design are no more convincing than its arguments about context.

#### IV

Perhaps sensing the dismal failure of its efforts to show that "established by the State" means "established by the State or the Federal Government," the Court tries to palm off the pertinent statutory phrase as "inartful drafting." \*Ante\*, at 14. This Court, however, has no free-floating power "to rescue Congress from its drafting errors." \*Lamie v. United States Trustee\*, 540 U. S. 526, 542 (2004) (internal quotation marks omitted). Only when it is patently obvious to a reasonable reader that a drafting mistake has occurred may a court correct the mistake. The occurrence of a misprint may be apparent from the face of the law, as it is where the Affordable Care Act "creates three separate Section 1563s." \*Ante\*, at 14. But the Court does not pretend that there is any such indication of a drafting error on the face of §36B. The occurrence of a misprint may also be apparent because a provision decrees an absurd result—a consequence "so monstrous, that all mankind would, without hesitation, unite in rejecting the application." \*Sturges\*, 4 Wheat., at 203. But §36B does not come remotely close to satisfying that demanding standard. It is entirely plausible that tax credits were restricted to state Exchanges deliberately—for example, in order to encourage States to establish their own Exchanges. We therefore have no authority to dismiss the terms of the law as a drafting fumble. Let us not forget that the term "Exchange established by the State" appears twice in §36B and five more times in other parts of the Act that mention tax credits. What are the odds, do you think, that the same slip of the pen occurred in seven separate places? No provision of the Act—none at all—contradicts the limitation of tax credits to state Exchanges. And as I have already explained, uses of the term "Exchange established by the State" beyond the context of tax credits look anything but accidental. \*Supra\*, at 6. If there was a mistake here, context suggests it was a substantive mistake in designing this part of the law, not a technical mistake in transcribing it.

#### V

The Court's decision reflects the philosophy that judges should endure whatever interpretive distortions it takes in order to correct a supposed flaw in the statutory machinery. That philosophy ignores the American people's decision to give \*Congress\* "[a]ll legislative Powers" enumerated in the Constitution. Art. I, §1. They made Congress, not this Court, responsible for both making laws and mending them. This Court holds only the judicial power—the power to pronounce the law as Congress has enacted it. We lack the prerogative to repair laws that do not work out in practice, just as the people lack the ability to throw us out of office if they dislike the solutions we concoct. We must always remember, therefore, that "[o]ur task is to apply the text, not to improve upon it." \*Pavelic & LeFlore v. Marvel Entertainment Group, Div. of Cadence Industries Corp.\*, 493 U. S. 120, 126 (1989).

Trying to make its judge-empowering approach seem respectful of congressional authority, the Court asserts that its decision merely ensures that the Affordable Care Act operates the way Congress "meant [it] to operate." *Ante*, at

17. First of all, what makes the Court so sure that Congress "meant" tax credits to be available everywhere? Our only evidence of what Congress meant comes from the terms of the law, and those terms show beyond all question that tax credits are available only on state Exchanges. More importantly, the Court forgets that ours is a government of laws and not of men. That means we are governed by the terms of our laws, not by the unenacted will of our lawmakers. "If Congress enacted into law something different from what it intended, then it should amend the statute to conform to its intent." *Lamie*, supra, at 542. In the meantime, this Court "has no roving license. . . to disregard clear language simply on the view that . . . Congress 'must have intended' something broader." *\*Bay Mills*, 572 U. S.\*, at \_\_\_\_ (slip op., at 11).

Even less defensible, if possible, is the Court's claim that its interpretive approach is justified because this Act "does not reflect the type of care and deliberation that one might expect of such significant legislation." Ante, at 14-15. It is not our place to judge the quality of the care and deliberation that went into this or any other law. A law enacted by voice vote with no deliberation whatever is fully as binding upon us as one enacted after years of study, months of committee hearings, and weeks of debate. Much less is it our place to make everything come out right when Congress does not do its job properly. It is up to Congress to design its laws with care, and it is up to the people to hold them to account if they fail to carry out that responsibility.

Rather than rewriting the law under the pretense of interpreting it, the Court should have left it to Congress to decide what to do about the Act's limitation of tax credits to state Exchanges. If Congress values above everything else the Act's applicability across the country, it could make tax credits available in every Exchange. If it prizes state involvement in the Act's implementation, it could continue to limit tax credits to state Exchanges while taking other steps to mitigate the economic consequences predicted by the Court. If Congress wants to accommodate both goals, it could make tax credits available everywhere while offering new incentives for States to set up their own Exchanges. And if Congress thinks that the present design of the Act works well enough, it could do nothing. Congress could also do something else altogether, entirely abandoning the structure of the Affordable Care Act. The Court's insistence on making a choice that should be made by Congress both aggrandizes judicial power and encourages congressional lassitude.

Just ponder the significance of the Court's decision to take matters into its own hands. The Court's revision of the law authorizes the Internal Revenue Service to spend tens of billions of dollars every year in tax credits on federal Exchanges. It affects the price of insurance for millions of Americans. It diminishes the participation of the States in the implementation of the Act. It vastly expands the reach of the Act's individual mandate, whose scope depends in part on the availability of credits. What a parody today's decision makes of Hamilton's assurances to the people of New York: "The legislature not only commands the purse but prescribes the rules by which the duties and rights of every citizen are to be regulated. The judiciary, on the contrary, has no influence over . . . the purse; no direction . . . of the wealth of society, and can take no active resolution whatever. It may truly be said to have neither FORCE nor WILL but merely judgment." *The Federalist* No. 78, p. 465 (C. Rossiter ed. 1961).

\* \* \*

Today's opinion changes the usual rules of statutory interpretation for the sake of the Affordable Care Act. That, alas, is not a novelty. In \*National Federation of Independent Business v. Sebelius\*, 567 U. S. \_\_\_, this Court revised major components of the statute in order to save them from unconstitutionality. The Act that Congress passed provides that every individual "shall" maintain insurance or else pay a "penalty." 26 U. S. C. §5000A. This Court, however, saw that the Commerce Clause does not authorize a federal mandate to buy health insurance. So it rewrote the mandate-cum-penalty as a tax. 567 U. S., at \_\_\_-\_\_\_ (principal opinion) (slip op., at 15-45). The Act that Congress passed also requires every State accept an expansion of its Medicaid program, or else risk losing all Medicaid funding. 42 U. S. C. §1396c. This Court, however, saw that the Spending Clause does not authorize this coercive condition. So it rewrote the law to withhold only the \*incremental\* funds associated with the Medicaid expansion. 567 U. S., at \_\_\_-\_\_\_ (principal opinion) (slip op., at 45-58). Having transformed two major parts of the law, the Court today has turned its attention to a third. The Act that Congress passed makes tax credits available only on an "Exchange established by the State." This Court, however, concludes that this limitation would prevent the rest of the Act from working as well as hoped. So it rewrites the law to make tax credits available everywhere. We should start calling this law SCOTUS care.

Perhaps the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act will attain the enduring status of the Social Security Act or the Taft-Hartley Act; perhaps not. But this Court's two decisions on the Act will surely be remembered through the years. The somersaults of statutory interpretation they have performed ("penalty" means tax, "further [Medicaid] payments to the State" means only incremental Medicaid payments to the State, "established by the State" means not established by the State) will be cited by litigants endlessly, to the confusion of honest jurisprudence. And the cases will publish forever the discouraging truth that the Supreme Court of the United States favors some laws over others, and is prepared to do whatever it takes to uphold and assist its favorites.

I dissent.

%%

D. N. S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

- By Synonymous 1 -

%%

Well, that was quite a bit of heavy reading, but expect yet another FATT issue of LiberTORian next time! You see, next issue we'll be talking all about human rights and fat! Trans-Fat, in particular. The U.S. FDA, under our esteemed leader, has made trans-fat illegal as of zero hour: June 17th, 2018. Until then, expect Barack and Michelle to hoard a stock pile for themselves so they'd still

folks at BIG BROTHER know what is best for us much better than we do! Or it just part of what needs to be done now that they've taken over, and now have to ration, health care? As a libertarian, I'll leave it to you to decide. Until then, continue to fight for your rights, be it economic, social, or whatever. And since the forces of tyranny are striking at freedoms from all sides,

be able to enjoy it, while the rest of us suffer, since it's obvious the

we must be ever-vigilant!

--- E N D        O F        F I L E ---



%%

T A B L E     O F     C O N T E N T S:

%%

ACQUIRING RELAY.....3  
Guns and Fat:  
Cornerstones of Freedom

Article.....3  
CONTROLLING BEHAVIOR THROUGH GAMES

DEEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY.....4  
Nerdageddon

RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART.....5  
Morse Code

QUOTABLE QUOTES.....6

BLURB.....6

FEATURE.....7  
The Skinny on Trans-Fats

FEATURE.....10  
Defense Distributed Democratizes  
Second Amendment

ARTICLE.....12  
A Bruce By Any Other Name  
is a Bruce

ARTICLE.....13  
Constitutional Amendment  
of the day: The First Amendment

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY.....13  
producin.9x

ARTICLE.....16  
David Nolan's Quagmire, Part 2

WHO THE HECK IS MILTON FRIEDMAN?..18

ALL POINTS BULLETIN.....20

FEATURE.....21  
The Ashley Madison Hack

GUEST ARTICLE.....22  
Is Our Economy  
Too Service-Oriented? By Vox Populi

D.N.S. LOOKUP FAILED.....24

LibertORian Volume 1, Issue 6

A Publication of Synonymous 1.  
Published August, 2015.

--

LibertORian is published on an  
irregular basis.

N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,  
actually encouraged, to copy and  
distribute this text by any means  
possible, preferable by photocopy-  
ing.

LIBERTORIAN HAS A DEEP WEB SITE:  
<http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion/>

(Thanks to an Onionland user.)  
The views expressed in LibertORian  
aren't necessarily those of the  
webmaster. That's fine with us  
libertarians.

CORRESPONDENCE:  
[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

Send submissions & letters to  
LibertORian at the above address.

DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:  
[12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s](https://blockchain.info/address/12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s)  
Even a millibitcoin! (.001 BTC)

```

                                     n      n
                                >--||---/      \
                                /      \
*  EXTERMINATE!                .|  |  |  |  |  |
  EXTERMINATE! *              .|  |  |  |  |  |
                                |  |  |  |  |  |
                                /-----\
                                /||  ||  ||  ||  |
                                >-----+++++++8||  ||  ||  ||  |
                                =====
                                |  |  |  |  |  |
                                (|  o  |  o  |  o  |  o  |)
                                |  |  |  |  |  |
                                (|  o  |  o  |  o  |  o  |)
                                |  |  |  |  |  |
                                (|  o  |  o  |  o  |  o  |)
                                |  |  |  |  |  |
                                (|  o  |  o  |  o  |  o  |)
                                |  |  |  |  |  |
                                (|  o  |  o  |  o  |  o  |)
                                =====
                                Dalek
                                courtesy
                                textfiles.com

```

%%

## ACQUIRING RELAY

%%

The Constitution may be technically murdered by Obama, SCOTUS, and other freedom-hating statist, but until it is resurrected, its spirit will remain alive in the hearts of freedom loving libertarians across the fruited plain. In no place does it live on more defiantly than with the pioneers of individual liberty, Defense Distributed, or DefDist.

Thanks to peer-to-peer file sharing, the deep web, and a love of freedom that the administration can't stop, DefDist has resisted attempts to stop the democratization of the 2nd Amendment.

This issue will cover their story, and that of trans-fat. Here is yet another area where statist in the federal government overstepped and are taking our freedom to choose from us. Ironic since these hypocrites are only "pro-choice" when it comes to killing babies, and vehemently anti-choice regarding absolutely everything else in life!

This story isn't over yet. We here at LibeTORian need the help of resourceful readers like you (if this describes you.) We're looking for people who can teach us how to make your own trans-fat, just as the way people learned to make their own alcohol during prohibition. If BBS users in the 1980s can teach people how to use chemistry to make their own explosives, and devices with an ability to bypass the FONE company, I know someone out there can help us make fat! Please help LibeTORian's mission to launch the fat revolution. We aim to do the same thing for fat that DefDist is doing for firearms. I'm dead serious on this. For it's not about fat. It is about freedom. In this case, freedom's in the form of fat! ((L))

%%

## CONTROLLING BEHAVIOR THROUGH GAMES

%%

Do you remember the game Quiet Ball?

It also goes by the name "Silent Ball".

Yeah, that was a racket, and I don't mean tennis.

Most adults forgot about this game unless you have children who came home from school and say that they played it.

So, for those of you who never ever played this in school, here's how it works: children all sit on their desks. Someone gets a dodge ball that's about 6 inches in diameter, or a koosh ball or something. The kid with the ball points at, then throws it at a selected child, who must catch it. If he misses, he is out. Game continues until only one kid remains.

And the most important rule, ANY KID WHO MAKES A SOUND IS OUT.

I hated this game. I couldn't catch a cold with a net. So I would make goofy noises and sit right down.

I didn't realize at the time that the only purpose of the game was to shut us all up and give the teacher some peace and quiet, instead of teach us something.

That's one of those dirty tricks which I guess teaches one life lesson: help the kids get in line and accept authority just for the sake of controlling behavior as adults.

So, looking back, considering that I never played by the rule, early on in life I learned how to break the system of control! ((L))

%%

## DEEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY

> > > [freenovfka2ploir.onion](http://freenovfka2ploir.onion) < < <

%%

### NERDAGEDDON

[freenovfka2ploir.onion](http://freenovfka2ploir.onion)

They say that the deep web is 500 times the size of the surface web. I don't know how true that is or if descriptions such as this only refer to onionland or all that is not cataloged in search engines. But Nerdageddon seems to be at least the size of clearnet, leaving the other 499 times its site to all the rest of the deep web.

If you began reading LibertORian as a n00b to the deep web, just as I was when I began writing, you can see how lost I was myself. So this magazine was designed in part to guide you through the deep web with me as I found my way through. Over time, I was able to find my way around it better myself. Hopefully you are now proficient enough that I can show you some less introductory sites that offer a wealth of information. Nerdageddon is one of those sites.

Nerdageddon bills itself as, "infos for political nerds". I'm not sure exactly how it works. The site has hundreds, probably over a thousand links. I haven't counted yet but I'm sure my OCD will kick in sometime and I'll know the answer. But anyway, you click a link, and a web site that whoever is behind Nerdageddon likes appears, but it still contains the original base URL of Nerdageddon's site. So I don't know if they have a way to make the URL of the linked site appear inside a web page on their side, or what.

The site's goal is to list ALL free sites that are new or interesting to political nerds. The links cite "freenet" a lot, which is another

type of "hidden" internet. People who read this and think I'm a joke because I'm so tech-unsavvy, please correct me if that's wrong. Either way, this site is filled with lots of interesting gems. Some are of interest to LibertORian readers. You'll find insteresting, thought-provoking, researched sites such as, "10 Signs Russia is Preparing a Pre-emptive Strike against the USA, and 10 signs that Obama Collaborates toward that aim". Maybe the drawn conclusion is exaggerated, but the facts that draw them are sound. You also will find some of the worn-out, tired, long-laughed to the side kook theories like, "Ebola, AIDS Manufactured by Western Pharmaceuticals, U.S. DoD?" There will always be the "never landed on the moon" people among them.

Then there are diversionary links for nerds everywhere: "irrational numbers" is a site dedicated to the love of just that. There's also, "Index- Fun With Graphics", complete with fractal art. "Digital: A Love Story" takes a user back to the late 1980s in a game where you play the role of a student who receives a new "AMIE" computer and uses it to become a BBS junkie.

Many sites refer to "flogs", which I assume means "freenet weblogs." One Libertarian site, "Thoughts of an Internet Libertarian", contains too much anti-Semitic propaganda and untrustworthy sources (like the U.N. among others) for my tastes. Still, this site offers more than enough links for everyone, not counting ones to sites that offer mp3s, and videos to TV shows. Most of these links don't connect to the file. But there is enough at Nerdageddon to keep even the most hardcore political nerds satisfied. ((L))



%%

## RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART, PART 1

%%

### MORSE CODE

-- --- .-. ... . -.-. --- -... .

With the increased power capacity of radio devices, the number of people who are experienced in Morse Code is dwindling. Decades ago, Amateur radio (ham) operators are able to get FCC licensing without knowledge of Morse Code. It's pretty sad, in my opinion, because it's still a very effective means of communication. But for today, its obscurity means that it's an instantly recognized method of covert communication.

Of course, Morse Code is a totally ineffective means of encrypting your communications, as it is understood by law enforcement. But it is a vital skill everyone should learn. You never know when fluency in Morse Code may come in handy. People who were held hostage by dictators or terrorists have blinked messages in Morse Code on hostage tapes.

Devised by Samuel Morse around 1844, Morse Code was originally used in days before telephones to communicate over radio waves. Each letter of the alphabet was given a pattern of dots and dashes. A "dot" is made by pressing a telegraph key down for a short time to complete a connection, producing a short tone. A "dash" is made by pressing 3 times as long to produce a prolonged tone.

A	.-	J	.-.-	S	...
B	-. . .	K	-. -.	T	-
C	-. -.	L	-. . .	U	.. -
D	- . .	M	--	V	... -
E	.	N	- .	W	.. --
F	.. -. .	O	---	X	-. -. .
G	-- .	P	.. -. .	Y	- . -. .
H	....	Q	-. -. -	Z	-. -. .
I	..	R	-. .		

There are also Morse Code symbols for numerical digits:

- By Synonymous 1 -

0	-----	5	.....
1	.-----	6	-....
2	..----	7	--...
3	...--	8	---..
4	....-	9	----.

The numbers were the original code. The idea to add letters to the numbers was conceived by Alfred Viel.

All the radio frequencies that the beloved government allows citizens to use for transmissions allow the use of Morse Code (referred to as Continuous Wave or CW by ham radio operators). In some countries, CW is the ONLY form of communications that is allowed for its citizens! Here already is a good reason to learn it.

The relatively low-tech technology required to transmit and receive CW is precisely why it is so useful. It's much the same way that, for instance, a magazine available in TXT format is more versatile than other, more modern formats. In the event of a crisis, CW can penetrate a range that standard radio communications can not. Suppose that China creates a virus that affects the internet and it's not available. Or Obama uses real law congress passed to turn the internet "dark". There have been recent weather-related power problems that led to the loss of Americans' cell phones in some regions. Those dependent on technology panicked! Others were inconvenienced. Imagine their reaction during a REAL emergency! CW could once again become a vital form of communication, for the immediate time, at least. When the other forms of electronic communications fail us, Morse Code will be the one that comes through for us... or at least, for those who know it! ((L))



**O N**

/ \					\		\				(		)	(		)	(		)	(		)	(		)		
/ \					\		\				(			)	(			)	(			)	(			)	
											(		)	(	) \	)	(		)	(	)	(	)	(	)		
		/	/								(		)	(	) \	)	(	)	(	)	(	)	(	)	(		
\ \		<						\		'																	
			\	\						'																	
											(			)	(			)	(			)	(			)	
\		/				\		\			(			)	(	)	(		)	(			)	(			)
\											(			)	(			)	(			)	(			)	

(  
(                    - B y       S y n o n y m o u s       1 -       )  
( )


"fully hydrogenated fatty acid". This type of fatty acid doesn't occur in nature, is inedible, and dangerous. This type of fat is what has been coined "frankenfats". Now, Monica Reinage pretended to be an expert on the topic by misusing the term "franken-fats" to describe ALL trans fats. Just a small shot in the war on trans fat.

I'm joking. But this may as well be the truth. Trans fats, put simply, make food taste better.

Let's take a look at the chemical bond in trans fats contrasted with cis fats. We begin with an example of a poly unsaturated fat molecule:

The diagram shows a branched polyethylene molecule. It consists of a main chain and three side chains, all represented by zigzag lines. The main chain is a long zigzag line. Three side chains branch off from it at different points. Each side chain is also a zigzag line. The label 'H C 3' is placed near the main chain, indicating the chemical structure of the repeating unit.

Now, let's isolate one of the H3C chains as it appears before the hydrogenation process, and see what it looks like after the double bonds are broken:



The bond after hydrogenation marked with a \* is the trans bond. Notice how the double bonds at the top of the chain are now broken down in the trans fat.

The war on trans fats began around 2007 when government liberals began complaining about it in Oreo cookies and other snack foods. For many, this is the first time the term was heard of. Soon, it became FDA law to include trans fat content on food packaging. Some foods removed trans fat from their food around this time and the difference was remarkable. Frito-Lay removed trans fat from Doritos (tm) products in 2007... and they never tasted the same since. The flavor is gone. The best way to remember how Doritos taste is to eat their Flavor Blasted (tm) Doritos; they are much more like the regular Doritos used to taste. Now you know why Doritos taste like poop anymore.

Another food that became virtually tasteless after the trans fat was removed was Reese's peanut butter cup cookies. They taste like they contain no peanut butter whatsoever.

But as with anything good, leftists and statisticians in the government wish to ban it. The problem they have with trans fats is that they make you FAT. Sorry to spoil it for you. While I'm at it, Rosebud was the sled and Darth Vader is Luke Skywalker's father. Sorry, but the entire world KNOWS that trans fats are FATS. It's got FAT in the name! Of course, the federal government needs to clarify, since they give laws names OPPOSITE of what they really are! Take "Affordable Care Act", for instance, which has made health insurance unaffordable, and mandatory, for every American! And then there's "Planned Parenthood", which makes people "un-parents" for profit.

The real problem comes from the idea that Americans shouldn't be allowed to have them. Why not? Are we too stupid to know that they are fat? Or are we too stupid because we know they are unhealthy, but we still want to eat it anyway? Everyone who puts trans fat into their system understands that what they are consuming is unhealthy, and when they are coupled with lack of exercise, will make us fat. So what? As a libertarian, if I want to enjoy a food with trans fat on occasion, I should. And if I want to neglect my body by eating a steady diet of it, it's my decision. I am the steward of my own body, as is everyone for his/her own. Are you to tell me how to care for my own body?

Well, statisticians have the opposite thinking. WARNING: Hitler comparison ahead. Statisticians' philosophy stems from social Darwinism, and the idea that you are NOT the steward of your body- government is. Statisticians believe that they own the population like livestock, and the more control they have, the more they are free to treat people that way. This is why socialized health care is so crucial to them. It is the cornerstone of controlling every aspect of people's lives. When Hitler became Germany's chancellor, his first actions were

to: 1) take over the health system, 2) ban guns to prevent rebellion, and 3) enforce strict smoking bans. If people are the government's cattle, the government wants them to be in good condition, as farmers maintain the health of their cows and pigs and such.

For statisticians, the motives aren't as noble as even for farmers. It's all about money. Put simply, unhealthy people put a burden on the system. A person's health is now paid for by the collective. If you're dragging down the system, statisticians consider you a drain of their resources. The result is health care rationing. It is the end result in Netherlands, in England, in Canada, and all around the socialized world. If the state deems you too burdensome to care for then you're left behind, and since the state controls all health care, you have no alternative.

The stakes are high to ban trans fat because, to them, you are your own worst enemy. Moreover, they can't afford to have you enjoy foods that are unhealthy. But the benefits of trans fat are neglected by the media and government alike. Trans fats are

- o easier than cis fats to manage as a solid in recipes
- o able to last a long time without spoiling
- o chemically stable
- o suitable for extended cooking use
- o very tasty!

The FDA decreed a trans fat prohibition which begins on June 17, 2018, three years from the date the order was issued. Companies like Crisco, which makes Crisco(tm) shortening, preemptively removed trans fat from their products in 2003, in anticipation of government intrusion. Crisco was one of the wise ones; the estimated cost to the food industry is estimated to be \$1 trillion dollars! So long as the food providers and consumers are the ones stuck with the bill, it's no skin of the government's back!

There are certain tastes that will be gone forever. Doughnuts, for instance, are only as good as they are because of the existence of trans fats. I personally eat one doughnut a year, as they are the worst food product one can consume. But most red-blooded Americans eat doughnuts regularly without harming anyone. There is no right more simple than your decision to eat the foods you enjoy.

Prohibition doesn't work. The 18th Amendment led to organized crime, until the 21st Amendment made alcohol legal once again. I abhor drugs but think that if they were made legal, the rug would be pulled out from under all those scuzzy dealers and they'd need to find a new vice to peddle. So, will trans fat be the new bathtub gin? I certainly hope so. Libertorian is undergoing research on nations where trans fat is still legal and produced; dear reader, please send us your leads.

Then there's homemade trans fat. It isn't easy to do at home. Heating oils produce little to no trans fats when cooked. But people are resourceful. I read enough Anarchist Cookbook type entries to know that someone out there knows enough about chemistry to give us a way to make our own trans fat in a lab or the kitchen. Again, please share your talents with Libertorian!

I conclude with a new scientific discovery. Like the defunct Big Bang theory, another 40-year study turned out to be paradigm shifting: scientists now conclude there is no correlation between cholesterol and circulatory clogging! HCL may now be just as healthy as LCL! All this government control over our food intake may be for something as archaic, scientifically, as man-made global warming!

So while we still have them, sit back, enjoy Libertorian, and shove as many doughnuts down as you can!

((L))

```

DEFENSE DISTRIBUTED      /-|
DEFIES THE GOVERNMENT    ----+
AFTER IT CLOSED DOWN      \-|
THEIR WEBSITE OFFERING
1 3D PRINTER GUN SCHEMATICS

```

\* By Synonymous 1 \*

gun to be made, and the printer itself. And of course, D.I.Y. files are meant to be shared. That is where Defense Distributed comes in.


Founded in 2012 by entrepreneur Cody Wilson, Defense Distributed began as a crowdfunding campaign that was given the boot by IndieGoGo. But censorship rarely works. Williams was able to raise more than his goal on his own, thanks to the publicity garnered from IndieGoGo dropping his project.

For over a year, Defense Distributed hosted 3D printer files for various firearms, including their early designs for AR-15 and AK-47 magazines, and their now-famous design for their Liberator .380. In late 2013, the State Department moved in, and told them to shut down their web site. They complied. In the State Department's eyes, Defense Distributed, or DefDist, violated international arms regulations, although no weapons change hands, only data. The department knows of breaking the law: many high ranking members of that department under the Obama administration have, or are aware of their co-workers using government resources to view pornographic content featuring minors. But since they are part of the kakistocracy, it's okay for THEM to break the law!

Undeterred by the move by the U.S.

Such is one miracle of 3D printing. This D.I.Y. tool puts the Second Amendment directly back into the hands of the average Joe. All that a person needs is a schematic of the

government, DefDist opened a second web site, DefCad.org, which hosted the weapons that led to the shutdown as well as new additions to their growing open-source file base.



Defense Distributed's philosophy is derived from the Second Amendment. In their own words, the service that they provide is to "defend the civil liberty to public access to firearms, as guaranteed by the Constitution..." It's not about the weapons, themselves, but what their gun schematics represent: using technology to maintain the human rights advantage over a government's attempt to deny those rights. Gun control advocates can ban the sales of guns or have all of them registered when they are manufactured by a business that regulates them. It's a whole lot harder to try to stop an average Joe from producing their own using tomorrow's everyday household tools. Providing free open sourced gun archives is, to them, a renaissance of sorts, empowering people to manufacture freedom.

Of course, DefCAD also didn't last long, and the anti-Second Amendment Obama Administration had the web site scrubbed from the internet. That is, when it comes to the hosted files on the clearnet. Their body of material is still accessible to those savvy enough to know where to look. The DefCAD collection is still available to the public, and is still updated as needed. One way to acquire these files is through peer to peer file sharing. One can find torrent files for Defense Distributed by searching torrent sites for this name:

- o DefDist DefCAD Mega Pack

A recent edition that has plenty of seeders is version 4.2, code named Saito. At the time of this issue's release, 4.5 is also available.

The files are deemed dangerous by the U.S. government. In reality, the files are a measure to keep liberty secure. People have noted that the government's attempt to censor Defense Distributed is an attack on both the First and Second Amendments. The NRA has yet to rule in on the enterprise, but TV host Glenn Beck, using one of the plans, was able to produce a gun in an hour with a 3D printer. Like Mr. Beck, DefDist hails from Texas. One gun proudly displays the Texas state's outline on one of their AR-15 magazines. A state that was once its own nation, the Texans value their sovereignty and their concealed carry rights. Leave it to Texas to bring the Constitution into the 21st century.

There is also a website on freenet where DefDist operates in the deep web. It is available in the freenet and through a Nerdageddon link:

<http://freenovfka2ploir.onion/USK@7BHsVFc4oEhOpnlzjqWpnKi5psdeJsYjsxujL58JAmY,f5deQR4y~9b2uXz7Wz6UNnMQ5Ro1hh4enBKTgFp-M9U,AQACAAE/site/4/>

The censorship-resistant nature of the deep web makes it an ideal place to host the 3D schematic mega packs.

Everyone should download this, not just gun owners or 3D printer users. Why? Because you're making a repository for freedom itself. The Obama administration is notorious for "scrubbing" information that is either embarrassing to them or expressing dangerous ideas such as liberty - the digital equivalent of Nazi book burning. I've archived a library of information that's been scrubbed; perhaps LibertORian could be a good place to share it. But by saving the files, you are preserving history, exactly as it was, for the future's generations, especially if all the hard work by Cody Wilson and his allies is crushed by the statist government Goliath. ((L))

%%

A BRUCE BY ANY OTHER NAME IS A BRUCE

- By G.P.S. -

%%

People are calling Bruce Jenner a hero. I don't know why. Someone tell me, please: what's heroic about making an announcement that, anyone would know beforehand, would bring nothing but accolades from the mainstream media? Hollywood? The far left wing fringe? The far left wing fringe in the White House and the federal government? Virtually anyone who isn't one of those evil, extremist people who believe in God? But all we haer is hero and courage.

I don't know, but a man who remains a man but changes his name to that which is typically a girls' name- Caitlin- isn't exactly the image I think of when I hear the word. And especially in this political climate. When I think of courage, I think of Edward Snowden, who gave up his livelihood and country to expose to the American people the NSA's gross violation of their basic privacy. I think of people like the group of Christians who were victims of ISIS' recent mass beheading: how they refused to denounce their belief in Jesus, even at the point of death. On a lesser scale, I think of Americans who are being persecuted for daring to run a business in a way that is in line with their religious convictions.

And yet, it is Caitlin, I mean to say Bruce, Jenner, who is the recipient of Arthur Ashe's "courage" award. It's really a slap in the face to those who show courage.

But to put things in perspective, the Arthur Ashe award only goes to people for their lifestyle. Also, it helps to get their "courage" award when you're showered with accolades from everyone and are celebrated on the front covers of glossy magazines with interviews

that contain full pictorials.

Okay, I spent a whole column of text just on blowing the absurd notion of Bruce Jenner's award-winning courage so it's on to the real substance: gender identity.

Just as in the story of Rachel Dolezal, (written by Synonymous 1 in LibertORian Issue 4,) Libertarians don't have any qualms about someone who personally wishes to identify with the gender that they're not. You are free to believe you are whatever you are not. But just as the libertarian saying goes, "your rights end where my nose begins." In the same way, you are free to call yourself what you want, but the rest of society should not be made to accept a lie. If Bruce Jenner wants to pretend he's a woman, go ahead. But I do not have to go along with a societal delusion that he is anything but a man.

Let's cut to the chase: if a person has operations to change their genitals, that person's DNA still is that of their original, given sex. A man by any alterations is still a man and vice versa.

Recently, a woman was in a Planet Fitness locker room when a man was wandering around, who startled her and made her feel uncomfortable. She reported the man in the locker room to the front office. They told the woman, "Oh, this guy identifies as a female, so he we let him use the women's locker room. It's our policy." Both dumbstruck and incredulous, she complained that it's a women's locker room, and she shouldn't have to share it with a man, because it makes her feel intimidated. For her reliance on reality, Planet Fitness banned the



woman from their gym. Needless to say, this happened in California. So this is what it comes to? Women now have to feel violated because we as a society have to accept false premises that give special rights to an extremely small minority. Jerry Brown, California's governor, passed a law that states that a person may use whatever bathroom he or she identifies with on that day. The bathrooms are segregated to protect privacy rights. I'm sure everyone has thought of the instant ramification of this legal insanity: male pedophiles will begin "identifying" as a women to get into the girls' bathroom in places where young girls congregate. Men who stalk women can now enter their most private places. All this is obvious, and those who passed this legal insanity KNOW of this certainty. They don't care; it is all of part of an agenda to force people to deny basic biology.

So, you are free to go ahead and pretend to be something you are not. And I am free to live in reality and not have to be shunned by society for doing it. So, "Caitlin", may God bless you, and may I continue to call you Bruce. ((L))

%%

## CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT OF THE DAY

%%

### THE FIRST AMENDMENT

A reminder to the Supreme Court of the United States what they were supposed to be defending, and what a majority of its justices refuse to.

"Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."

Notice that the words "separation of church and state" are nowhere in the First Amendment, or any part of the Constitution. An "establishment of religion" means that the government shall not establish an official religion. It is written as a shield from government tyranny, not a sword to attack free religious expression.

```
*****
                                * *
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% * *   %%%%%%%%%%
                                * *   %                               %
                                * *   %   >> producin.9x <<   %
                                * *   %                               %
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%% * *   %%%%%%%%%%
                                * *
```

This guide is part of the series from somewhere around the mid 1980s, "Pirate Radio Survival Guide." This circulated the BBS scene, advising people on the ins and outs of operating a pirate radio station. This chapter, producin.9x, explains how to produce your own radio show. It

explains that pictures are unavailable for their file, since they're not possible, but LiberTORian seems to manage. The file was modified from its original 100 column text to fit LiberTORian's 80 column format. Other than that, it is unedited, spelling errors and all. Enjoy the nostalgia!

}880o~-----~ooO000088{{{}}880000oooo~-----~o088{

## PIRATE RADIO SURVIVAL GUIDE

Note: this chapter is from the book "Pirate Radio Survival Guide" written by; Nemesis of Radio Doomsday, and Captain Eddy of The Radio Airplane. If you like this book and would like to support their efforts, you may send a donation of your choice to either Nemesis or Capt. Eddy at PO Box 452, Wellsville NY 14895.

Please note that some chapters refer to illustrations or drawings, these could not be included in this BBS version of the book. If you would like the illustrations or have other questions you may inquire at the above address.

## PRODUCING A SHOW

What you decide to put on the air is only limited to your imagination, every day someone is thinking of an idea that has not yet been tried on pirate radio. This is what is so appealing about pirate radio: listeners are treated to unique and interesting material. Even if the material is not what each listener agrees with or likes, most appreciate the fact that it is genuine, without bias from commercial sponsors, or governmental influences. With that in mind, it is my wish that this book does not influence the content of your programming, I will offer suggestions on technique and ideas, but the final outcome of your work should reflect what you enjoy, believe, and feel; your show should reflect the reason you wanted to be a pirate in the first place.

In order to write this chapter, I must make a few assumptions. First I will assume that you will want to be popular with your listeners, and second I will assume that you will want to develop some sort of a following of regular listeners. If you do not fit this category, don't feel that you are wrong; many pirates broadcast without concern for who listens or what they think and desire no kind of recognition what so ever. If this is what you want, that's great, that's what it is all about: individual choices.

Once you have set up your studio and decided it is time to produce a show, you will likely have a certain subject matter or format in mind. If you don't, then take some time and review why you wanted to be a pirate in the first place, was it to make a certain point or political view, do you want to entertain or inform, do you just want to play your favorite music, or do you want to do all of the above? In most cases you will be successful at anything that you enjoy doing. Concentrate on what you would like to hear from a station; chances are that if you like the show others will also. The important thing is to jump right in and have fun. Don't spend too much time trying to sound like a professional DJ, and you don't necessarily have to make a point, the content of your show will stand alone. Pirate radio listeners are not like the average FM broadcast station listener. Pirate radio listeners aren't hypnotized by a slick sound, they listen to content, they appreciate what you are doing, in fact most pirate listeners report that their favorite stations are the ones who use homemade productions as opposed to commercially made material. They also report that they like the stations who do more than just play music, after all you can hear music anywhere. Whatever you decide to do, quality production skills, and quality technical skills will certainly put your station on the top of any DXers list.

Quality production skills are not difficult to learn. Quality does not mean elaborate or complicated, it simply means clean, understandable audio, proper use of equipment, and attention to detail. If you throw a show together with little concern for quality, it will certainly be noticed by your listeners; don't insult them by broadcasting a bunch of junk just to fill up time. There can be a whole lot more to being a radio pirate than just being on the air.

Here are a few tips that will help you produce a better program:

1. Go easy with the special effects, Reverb sounds great, but after it is bombarded by noise and weakened by distance, it often can't be understood and is quite annoying. If you speak in a normal voice, with no echo or overpowering background effects, you will be more likely to get your message to your listeners. Always be aware that long distant shortwave listening is often difficult, so your programming should contain material that is easily understood, a good production can be overdone with too many effects and background noise. Sometimes things are better if kept simple.
2. ID your station often, speak clearly and repeat your ID. It also helps to have different people give your station ID; some voices are easier to understand through the noise than others. Some stations give their ID phonetically, others have used Morse code.
3. It is a good idea to have a few identifiable traits about your show, this will help regular listeners recognize your station. In the past stations have used traits such as Seal barks, Dogs barking, a few bars of music or chimes, musical IDs. Some always play the same song at the beginning or end of their broadcast.
4. When editing a tape, make the edits clean and tight. Avoid long pauses. If you are recording to a cassette tape, and you have a good recorder, you can use the pause control to make fair edits but be sure to go back and check the edits often, most decks are designed with the erase head placed up to an inch away from the playback head, this can cause you to either clip what you have just recorded, or create a longer than desirable pause. Know how your recorder works, make a few test edits to learn the characteristics of it, with practice, you can make very clean and tight edits with a cassette deck.
5. Use a mixer to soften changes from one audio source to another. A cheap mixer can add a lot of professionalism to a show. It also will make your production time much easier and more enjoyable.
6. If your recorder has an audio level meter try to make sure all of your audio is at the same level, and make sure the overall level is correct; if it is too high you may get distortion on the audio peaks, if it is too low you will have increased noise on the tape. Nothing is more annoying than hearing a pirate station with music coming in at a good level but the announcers voice is so low you can hardly hear him. Or worse yet, the station is coming in very well, but it is so distorted that you can't understand a thing that is being said. If you don't have an audio level meter, you will have to trust your ears. With practice it can be done.
7. Because you can't announce a schedule, most of your listeners are forced to try to find you while you are on the air; this usually means that very few people ever hear the first few minutes of your show. When you put your show together, it is better to put the most important parts at the end of the show. Many pirates play a few minutes of music at the beginning of each show to allow the listeners time to find them, others play an interval signal much like what is heard before licensed short wave broadcasters go on the air. This lets the listener know that someone is getting ready to broadcast. The interval signal has a few drawbacks, first it requires you to be transmitting longer, thus giving the authorities more time to find you, and second your interval signal may not be heard by someone who is just scanning the bands.

8. In most cases it is wise to avoid live broadcasting . To broadcast live and sound good is a VERY difficult thing to do. This is not to say it cant be done, many stations have the talent and experience to do it well. However, others do it and it is disasterous. Live broadcasts can result in lots of dead air; if you encounter a problem you will have to be prepared to solve it while maintaining a live show in the air. If you tape your shows you will have time to review what you have done and redo it if needed, you can take as long as you like to think of what to say next, and you will almost always sound better. And on the technical side, it is much easier to connect a single tape deck to the transmitter and eliminate the RF noise and feed back, than to connect a whole studio to the transmitter. If your show is on tape you will have the freedom to perform other important tasks while on the air, like maintaining a look out.

9. Ground your studio equipment and use shielded cables to prevent audio "hiss" and equipment "hum". Use quality recording tape (you get what you pay for).

10. Use quality audio input, avoid scratchy records and bad tapes, If you use a poor quality microphone your audio could sound "muddy" or "tinny" good mikes arent always expensive.

}880o~-----~o00oO00088{{{}}880000o00o~-----~o088{

#####

DAVID NOLAN'S QUAGMIRE, PART 2

- By Synonymous 1 -

#####

In LiberTORian Issue 4, we discussed applying the Non-Aggression principle to all human beings, including the preborn, and how David Nolan and founding libertarian party members place individual liberty over NAP in this case. Over the years the party softened it stance somewhat, because so many libertarians believe that "don't hurt other people" applies to everyone, regardless of their location.

Often, people have compared abortion to slavery, in the respect that both issues have deemed a certain class of people not to be people. Here in part 2, LiberTORian will examine Nolan's idea that pregnancy itself is a form of slavery.

David Nolan's arguments in favor of the abortion choice were without merit. After entering in a debate by mail with Doris Gordon, the founding member of Libertarians for

Life, David Nolan presented a new argument. In that argument, he asserts that a pregnant woman who does not want to be is a slave to the child, and her right to abort her baby supersedes the NAP:

"'I cannot... accept the notion that a newly-impregnated woman must be consigned to nine months of service... to a small group of cells... lacking consciousness, will, or the ability to exist independently.' To me, these attributes define humanity far more than simple genetic coding...

And even if a newly-joined sperm do constitute a human being, [and science refutes Nolan's personal definition,] the State cannot morally compel you to sacrifice your life and resources for its benefit. As I wrote in my 'Essence' article, 'no external power has the right to force you into

the service of society or mankind or any other individual or group, for any purpose, however noble. Slavery is wrong, period."

First of all, if you are the kind of person, who, like David Nolan, wants to deny the basic biological fact that a preborn baby is a living human being, regardless of its size, its awareness of self, or any other attribute. I'm about as interested in arguing the obvious any more than I'm interested in debating with some person who thinks blacks are property. No time for that.

Now, let's examine "slavery". This "slavery" is a biological function whose purpose is the propagation of the human species. The same applies for all mammals. It's nature. Now, you are free to argue that pregnancy is a form of slavery. Maybe you even have a point. But then I conclude that slavery is a part of nature. At any moment, millions of women in America are slaves. If slavery is just a matter of basic biology, maybe it's not such a taboo in one sense; not all "slavery" is evil. I think the answer lies somewhere else.

Meanwhile, let's look at it from the other perspective- that of the baby. For nine months, an unborn baby is trapped inside the mother. During the whole gestation, the mother decides what nutrition to put in her body, and therefore, the baby's. If the mother smokes, the baby also has to take in the same toxins- the same with drinking. The baby is forced to go wherever the mother goes, hear whatever she says or whatever music she plays, and has no choice in any of these matters. So, who is really the slave here?

Let's put this issue to rest. This biological function isn't exactly slavery. It's understandable this is a difficult issue. But libertarians should examine and debate it. It's the only way for the party to move forward. David Nolan thinks

that the solution is to tell people that they should conform to his admittedly arbitrary view, and sweep the issue under the rug, because it makes him uncomfortable to discuss it, and discussing it takes his time from other issues more important to him.

What we have in a pregnancy is not one life with absolute license. We have two lives that are intertwined, where one is dependent on the other. The question is: where does one's rights end and another's begin? The ideal solution is that both the mother and child should have as much liberty to do what they want as possible. The only restrictions on their freedom would be when they interfere with another person's. The paramount freedom is the right to life. In David Nolan's own manifesto, "The Essence of Liberty", he writes about the essence of libertarianism, and exactly where those rights are limited:

"The right to own and use anything [is only under the condition: ] so long as [we] do not harm others through force or threat of force."

Consider the famous Siamese twins, Jan and Eng Chen. Joined at the hip, literally, their entire lives are intertwined. Does one have the right to kill the other? Ignore the fact that doing so would kill both. Libertarian asserts that harming oneself is one's own decision. So, is one twin a person and the other not? Or do both need to respect the other, even though they are one body?

I assert that the right to life is paramount, and that the right of a human person, regardless of size, is more fundamental than the right of one person to kill another just because the other person resides within them.

In part 3, LibertORian will examine this in libertarian terms and we'll meet Libertarians for life. ((L))

%%

## WHO THE HECK IS MILTON FRIEDMAN?

%%

- o HE IS DEAD. Born in 1912, Milton Friedman lived to the ripe old age of 94.
- o HE WS A NEW YORKER. Born in Brooklyn, he attended college at Rutgers University, in nearby New Jersey.
- o HE WAS A STATISTICIAN. He opposed Kenseyan economics, popular in his era of the 1960s. He wrote a paper that, utilizing Kenseyan terms, thoroughly refuted Kenseyan theory. He was an advocate of a limited role of the Federal Reserve.
- o HE WAS AN ADVISOR to such figures as Margaret Thatcher and Ronald Reagan.
- o HE WAS A PROFESSOR at University of Chicago. He taught for three decades there. It's been all downhill from there.
- o HE IDENTIFIED AND PREDICTED STAGFLATION before Jimmy Carter created it in the 1970s.
- o HE WAS A FOUNDER of his own advocacy group: Friedman Foundation for Educational Choice, a group that promotes parental control to select the school of their choice for their children.
- o HE WAS AN INFLUENCE. He taught and worked alongside Leonard Jimmie Savage, and they developed statistic theories together. He taught many people who went on to be standout economists in their own right.
- o HE WAS AN AUTHOR. He wrote the book Capitalism and Freedom in the early 1960s. The book promotes such concepts as school choice and a minus income tax!

- By Synonymous Xi -

- o HE WAS AN AWARD-WINNING ECONOMIST. Milton Friedman won a Nobel Prize for economics in 1976. Not that such an award means anything.
- o HE MADE TV SHOWS. He helped to develop a 10-part TV show for PBS espousing libertarian economic theory, called \*Free To Choose\*. He wrote a book of the same title, and it became the bestselling work of nonfiction of 1980. (In 1981, it was Mastering Pac-Man.)
- o HE WAS A MULTI-AWARD WINNER. He also won the Presidential medal of Freedom in 1988, issued by President Reagan.
- o HIS LEGACY LIVES ON. While Kensey and his theories are being applied today, to the detriment of the economic system (proving Friedman right), Friedman and his ideas are more widely recognized than Kensey and his theories, which, hopefully will fade into obscurity in favor of Friedman's ideas.

## --> \*BELIEFS FROM MILTON FRIEDMAN'S MIND

- o School Choice
- o Negative Income Tax: A tax rebate was supposed to REPLACE welfare, not SUPPLEMENT it
- o Economic Libertarianism
- o Limited role of federal reserve. Like the negative income tax, he formed plans for the federal reserve that restrained it if it had to exist.
- o Legalization of narcotics
- o Homosexual marriage, but only if done legally. He opposed its enactment through tyranny. ((L))

-----  
-----  
...',,,:;:;:;,'...  
..,clllcccclllllloooooddl:'.  
.,:lcccc:::ccccloooooddxxxdxdl;'.  
'cllcccllc:::cllcclllllloodxxxkkkkkkx1:'.  
,colc::cllc::ccccclllclllooooodxxkOOOkOkkxdllc;.  
,odolcc::c:::c:::c:cllcccllooooldxkkO0000Oxxddodx1.  
.loollcc:::c:::c:ccccclllloooooxxxkO0000Oxxdddk0o.  
'oooollccc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ccccclloooddxxxkO0000OxxddodkO00,  
;dddollc:c::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clclloodxxkO000kOkkdddxO00x.  
.cddoolcc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ccllloddxxkO00kOkkddxxOKK0c  
'oxdoolc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ccclloodkOkkxxxxkOKX0.  
.lxdoolcc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:c:coodkxxxxkO0000kkkOKX,  
.dxdolcc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clodxxxxkO0000kkKNO'  
.ckkxdlc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cllodxxxxkO0KK00OXWWx.,;.  
;dOOkocc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cclooodxxxxkO0K00XMMWkolokx  
;xOkkdlcc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cccllllodxxxxkO00KWMWNdOd0X0.  
;xOkxxolc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clllloododdkkkkOKWMWNKOxKNX0.  
.oOOkd1lc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clllloodxxxkxdxxxKNMWWWWWXOONXN0.  
.dOxxdodccc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cdxdddxxOKXNNX0kOKNMWXXWWWWXOKKOOK  
.cOOkddoocc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cdO000kkOKNNNNXXXWMMMN0KNNNWWXKNXkoll  
o0xddool:cloool::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cxOKO0000NKWWXKNX0OONMKxO0KXNNWWKOKKlcc  
.:xXOxxxxkxxxk0kxdoloxkx1:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cdk0X0000xO0000KKOkxdkNxxO0XXXXNWN0kOdo,  
.:oXXkxOKXNNXK0kxxO0KK0Ok1,.'odOK0kxxkkkkO0000kkkkkkKK0KXNNXKNWWXOKood  
'xNKKOOOKXNNKOXKXN0K0kx::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:lxOxoooddddddxxddxxxk0NKXNNNNXXXNWWXxdxd  
'XWK,kxx0K00000kkOkkxx0o'.:xOox::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ccllodxxk0K0XNXXXXXXNWXO00:  
cNK.oxodxxxkO0kkkkdocoOo;..ldxkxc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ccclloodxxkO000KXXXXKXNWXO0;  
.d ,Oxdxxxddol::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cllclcccc:ccccldkO000kkO0KXXXXN11.  
;: o0Oxdxdolcc::cdol:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cccol:coc:::cclldk00OxdxOKKXXWN.  
:c'kKkdxollccodol::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ode:ol:cc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:coxolox0XXNWN.  
lOkOkkkkdooolccccc;..:ldocoOx::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:codocld0XNWWN.  
cKOkOkxol::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:okO0XXkl::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:llccclodoldOXWWMO  
d0kOko;..;lo;lddc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cxKXXX0kd1cc1::lo::coxddOXWWMO.  
.okxdc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:okO000kO0KX0kd1llldkxdoll:codxxdkNMMMK:  
cxdlccldl,;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:lolcxO0000xolccokO0KOkx1:,;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:lodood0WMMWMMXdx,  
.oddolodlccoxdolodddxxxxkO0kxxdddlc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ldoodkXMMWMMWMMMXkx  
.ddolc:cdO0Ok0K000kxxdl::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:loxdc:c:::cldkkOKWMMWNNWMMWMMW  
,kxdl::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:lolc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clloodxxocc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clox0KKNNMMMNXXWMMWMMW  
,kxoc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ldxxxxxxddddxdoc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:clodOXNNMMMNKXWMMWMMW  
.lkxxoc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:codxxddolc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:cox0XWMMWMMW0KXMMWMMWNN  
'cx0X0kkkdlc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:codkXWMMWMMMN0000NMMWMMWNN  
.cx00KKXNXOkkdlc::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:ldkKNWMMWMMW0kO000WMMWMMWNNX  
'cxkO00000XNNWNNXX0kdoll::;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:;:codkKNWMMWMMMN0kkkkkkKWWWWNNXX  
.,lxkO0000000KXXNNNNW0NWWXK0kxolloddxxO0XNWWWWMMWXXOdxxxdxONWWNNNNXXK  
.:okkkO00000000000KXXNNNNW0x0XWWWWNNNNXXNWWWWWWWWNNKkxddddood0NNNNXXKKK0  
.,lxkkkOkO000000000000000KXXNkdoldkKNWWWWWWWWWWMMWNNKkxddoooo1lo1oKXXXXK00000  
.okkkkO000kO000000000000000KXXNkc:::cd0XNNNNNNWWMMNOxxddoollelllo0KKKKKK0000

```

      ÜÜÜÜÜ
      ÜÜÜÜÜ²²²±±±°°
      ÜÜÜÜ²²²±±±°°°
      ÜÜ²²±±°°
ÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜ²±°      ÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜ
±±±±±±ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ      Ü²±°      ÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ±±±±±
°°°°°±±±±±±±±±±±±ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜ      Ü±°      ÜÜ±±±±±±±±±±°°°°°
      °°°°°°°°°°      ÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜ      °      ±±°°°°°°°°°°°°
      °PÜ      ÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜ      °      °°
      ÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ²ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ      Ü²±°
PÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ²²²±±°°°PÝÜÜÜP²±°ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ²±°
PÜÜÜÜ²²²±±°      ÜÜÜÜÜÜ²±ÜÜ²±°      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ²±°
      Ü²±°      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ²±ÜÜ²²±°      °      ÜÜ²±°
      Ü      °°      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜ²±Ü      Ü²²±°      °°°
      ÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜÜPÜÜÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜ      ÜÜÜ      °      °
      ÜÜÜÜ      ÜÜP±      Ü      P      ÜÜ      ÜÜ
      P±      Ü      Ü      Ü      Ü      Ü
      Ü      Ü      Ü

```

```

      db      8 8      888b.      w      w      << << << << << <<
      dPYb      8 8      8 .8 .d8b. w 8d8b. w8ww d88b      << << << << << << <<
      dP..Yb      8 8      8wwP' 8' .8 8 8' '8 8 'Yb.      << << << << << << << <<
      dP      Yb 8 8      8      `Y8P' 8 8      8 y8P Y88P      << << << << << << << <<

>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>      888b.      8 8      w      w
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>      8...P 8      8 8 8 .d88b w8ww w 8d8b.
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>      8'''b 8. .8 8 8 8,,o' 8      8 8' '8
>> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >> >>      888P' 'Y8P8 8 8 'Ywwp y8P 8 8      8

```

Artwork originally from \*The Pits\* BBS

LiberTORian is looking for a deep web user named ZINOV.

In a recent plunge into the deep web, Synonymous 1 stumbled across an onion called, \*Zinov's Gopher Server\*. It contained mostly old-school .txt and ANSI files of his writing that tended to espouse libertarian virtues and defend against the cultural insanity that comes with progressive statism.

All of the files are in the public domain, and future issues of LiberTORian may reprint one or more of the Zinov writings. Like LiberTORian, all Zinov's work's consigned to the public domain. There is no copyright infringement if they are reprinted. However, there is no known way to contact Zinov. No email address appears anywhere on his or her web site.

Zinov, we would like you to contact LiberTORian. We can't contact you, so if you can, please contact Synonymous 1 at:

synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

Or, if any LiberTORian readers out there know how to reach Zinov, please let us know at the above address.

```

/=====\
|-----(      T H A N K      Y O U !      )-----|
\=====/

```



\*gj9%lP2^\gjp~j9vEjw0j9594ujb4q=4D+@

> >> THE ASHLEY MADISON HACK << <

;"Md9#fmj(\Adi9!\♥0i\*vnV6%+`If74\$?yL

If you aren't aware of what Ashley Madison is, it's a cheating web site where people sign up to cheat on their spouse. Allegedly, there are a whopping 37 million members!

Well, some hacker(s) gained access to all its member's account information. The hacker(s) intend to release this information publicly, where those who were cheated on can learn their identities. I don't know much more of this story at the time this is being written, but it's fine, because this is being brought up to examine from a libertarian perspective.

On the one hand, people are free to do what they want. If a person has an affair, their freely are able to do so. But libertarianism only ends freedom when its exercise harms someone else. I contend that having an affair does harm the person being cheated on. The question is if the person being cheated on is harmed only if it is discovered, or in all cases?

Meanwhile, examining the hacker's side, the mantra again is, "don't hurt people and don't take their stuff. I don't know whether or not there is blackmail involved. That would be a violation. But is it the unfaithful person or the hacker who is causing the harm?

If you believe that a person is only harming their spouse by having an affair if the affair is discovered, then you would likely side against the hacker. For it is their leaking the information which makes the affair known and causes the harm.

Otherwise, this situation can be viewed as a hi-tech form of vigilante justice. Sort of a shedding a

- by Synonymous 1 -

light on the Ten Commandments and giving them fangs. I lean toward the concept that an affair does harm a faithful spouse, even if (s)he is unaware of the harm, just as someone who is slowly being poisoned may not be aware of the poisoning, even though the effects are real.

Because of this, in principle, I am siding with the hackers, even though what they are doing does hurt others (and if they are blackmailing Ashley Madison's members, taking their stuff, something I don't condone.) But is it right to hurt people who are hurting other people? Its the reason I oppose the death penalty.

However, when it comes to Ashley Madison herself (or whatever is the name of the woman who owns Ashley Madison) and the people who work for her, I cant imagine a better form of justice. After all, this business makes itself rich creating suffering and misery to innocent people, much like Planned Parenthood. This is nothing like TOR critics who say TOR enables criminal activity. They don't condone such use. Ashley Madison makes their profit arranging trysts between unfaithful people.

So I'm less conflicted when it comes to those who own the site. In fact, I won't shed a tear if they face 50 shades of financial ruin and major trustworthiness issues, a grand irony considering their clientele.

The hacker may have no social interest whatsoever; it just might be a fun prank to whoever was behind it. Nonetheless, it's a thought-provoking incident with no clear answer so we at LiberTORian want to know what you think. Send your thoughts, in plain text only, to:

synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com. ((L))

{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}

Is The Economy Too Service-Oriented?

{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}{0}

We often forget that for the vast majority of written history all civilisations have been driven by agricultural and industrial economies, I will be referring to the two in a group called "Goods" economies.

A goods economy is simple, it dates back to ancient Mesopotamia: people buy stuff that they use, it has a practical and real world application to it. At the dawn of civilisation and indeed almost all the way up until the industrial revolution, goods economies were powered far more by the agricultural sector than the industrial one, for the sake of simplicity when I say industry I am including pottery or metal from the Roman era etc. In fact when I say industrial I refer more so to all goods that are not agricultural.

So for a very long time food production technology was inefficient, it was difficult to generate surpluses and thus very few people could live their lives without being bound to farming the land they own or rent to feed themselves, if there was any surplus stock they would sell this to the small minority of the population which did not depend on agriculture as a means of survival. These groups that were not bound to farming all day were the ones free to pursue other carriers and made up the innovators of society, if you're not farming you have more time to tinker with ship building, painting, architecture and science. The more surplus food in a society the more people can live life off the land and therefor the more people innovating, meaning that technology progresses faster.

Once the industrial revolution occurred in the 1700/1800s this formula exploded, suddenly due to tractors and modernised farming

- By Vox Populi

techniques there was surplus food stock on unprecedented scale. This mean innovation on an unprecedented scale and therefor economies shifted from being purely agriculture to far more dominated by industry. This is when we see the cracks to begin to form in capitalism (and no this article is certainly not advocating communism), suddenly over the course of 50 years - a blink of the eye in human history - we find that a society that is not largely agricultural has not had time to adapt to an economic system that is suited to a more industrial one, and we see ourselves as a society time and time again running into issues because we have modernised so rapidly yet continue to use an economic system that predates 5,000 BCE.

All of a sudden the same methods used to barter corn prices at an ancient Egyptian market stand are being used to negotiate loans between to imperial trading companies in a far off colonized continent, suddenly bits of paper begin to become as valuable as entire cities and complicated networks of debts can that exist only in accounting books can fling millions of people into famine and desolate poverty.

Many of you may think I'm being too narrow minded, even just plain stupid in a sense. Of course it's not JUST numbers on a page, I understand this, after all in a way that logic can be applied to this very article: it's JUST pixels on a screen with varying colours, nothing special - but of course this hold true meaning.

The issue is when we become disillusioned with their true meaning, and this is where we the fate on the entire world is being gambled. By the turn of the 20th century this

new world order and economic systems had taken over and turned much into what we see the like today, this is unsustainable. In the roaring 20s people took loans out, these loans made sense on paper - bankers and accountants were pleased with them, they all fitted nicely and neatly into columns and the calculations said these bankers would get a big pay day: person A borrows x amount of money, person A gives back 1.10x amount of money; nice and easy, fits right into the spreadsheet columns. The issue was that all this only existed on paper, it only made sense in the books and it didn't take into account the fundamental idea that humans are different, they are unique and free thinking. The loans only got more and more complex until almost no one knew what was happening, everyone was just writing down one number and getting a bigger one back. Then, we hit a breaking point. Reality and caught up with the insanity. Everything fell apart, suddenly people remember that all these stocks, loans and timeshares actually did mean something. The entire global economy collapsed, millions upon millions of people were thrown into extreme poverty and indeed a vast majority of historians will argue that the Wall Street crash of 1929 was the single largest prelude to WWII.

But all this was amplified by an even larger fundamental flaw in our economy, the shift from a goods based economy to a service and luxury goods based one. This is all dictated by fundamental laws of supply and demand, when there is economic prosperity there is high demand for luxury goods and for services, as opposed to being employed in factories many people were employed in jobs that were orientated around services, services being any form of paid exchange not centered around the exchange of a physical good but rather a service. Odds are you and probably your parents too work in a service job, rather than producing a physical good you provide a service for a corpor-

ation or an individual.

Again you may be calling me naive and short sighted, after all the world NEEDS people like barbers, hotel receptionists, store managers, chefs etc. That's the thing actually, it doesn't. That is the single largest flaw in the mindset of today's society and economic system, we allow our GDP to be hijacked by the services sector, today 80% of the GDP of the US is in the service sector, 77% in the UK, 70% in Germany. The issue with having so much dependence on these sectors is that when we go into a recession people stop spending because they have less to spend, no longer do they go out to bars, restaurants, movie theaters concerts or hotels. They buy necessities, gas, oil, food, power; notice something, they are all goods.

We need economies reliant on core sectors of the economy and we need to stop allowing the hypothetical and disillusioned value of numbers and words on paper distract us from the fact that all the industrial complexes employ real people and use real resources taken from the planet and shipped out by real transport to real corporations and individuals. We need to remember that when we loan money, whether to a nation or household, there is a real transaction taking place. It seems simple yet time and time again organizations like the IMF, the world bank, the European Union, OPEC, Bank of America all seem to forget this. It's beyond cliché to say that the history book keeps repeating itself but quite frankly it's true. We're seeing it now with Greece, we saw it in 2008, we saw it in 1929 and through several other points in the 20th century. There needs to be a political and economic revolution, a new world order that recognizes the fact that we have made a titanic shift from the economies of ancient Greece and that we must update our economic systems accordingly. I'm not saying Communism, I'm not saying National Socialism, I'm not

saying Capitalism, I'm saying something has got to change real damn , because our fragile geopolitical climate cannot take any more blows. ((L))

%%

D N S      L O O K U P      F A I L E D

%%

It's been a crazy summer with a lot to discuss. There was so much that has been overlooked for the sheer sake of giving my fingers and mine a break every once in a while. Even now I'm lying in my bed with my keyboard, occasionally mispleing a word because it's dark.

What keeps me going? It's because I believe we all have to do our part, with the current state of affairs in which we find ourselves. We are all here on this Earth for something. I don't know what mine is. But I do think we are to put our talents to productive use to secure liberty for our children's generation. And the one thing I know how to do is write. So, for now, that's what I'm doing. I have no idea if this is making any kind of impact on anyone. Maybe all you readers find my cynicism entertaining or funny or think the format is cute. But maybe one of you are motivated to do something more than just read this.

I truly hope one of my readers is inspired by this to do something beyond reading... even if it's to write your own material on the same issues. And maybe that will inspire someone to call their congressman, or to run for local office, or be more charitable, or find serenity in this sick, dark world. I don't know how it's affecting you, dear readers, without your feedback. So, if you can, please take a minute out of your schedule and let LibertORian

\*\*\*\*\* NON-SEQUITIR \*\*\*\*\*

Al Gore is the environmental equivalent of a televangelist who gets rich exploiting Christians... except that he's much richer. And he has much less charisma. ((L))

- By Synonymous 1 -

know what this publication means to you. I hope you don't just read it for the ASCII art.

People do comment on the retro look of LibertORian- all positive, too. sometimes they ask how the graphics are made. the photographic images are produced with a DOS program, JP2A. The LibertORian logo, the LibertORian onion, the light bulb are all hand-drawn. Some of the logos from feature articles are "Figlet Fonts" from the 8-bit era. These fonts are all featured on the clearnet site TEXTFILES.COM. This site is an excellent resource of BBS postings from the 1980s and early 1990s, and where most of the VINTAGE TEXT FILE OF THE DAY feature originates.

Since people enjoy images so much, LibertORian wishes to invite you to submit your artwork AS WELL AS your writings. If you create your own ASCII art, that would be awesome! Please note that we don't publish color ASCII or ANSI art. Since we all are libertarians here, (a naive assumption,) you are just as free to submit actual art to the magazine as well. Just note that bold, high contrast images or bold line art works best, since all files will be converted for this format! (Bitmaps? We don't need no steenking bitmaps!!!) Well, you know the address: synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

- - - E N D      O F      F I L E      - - -

http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion

LIBERTY

MAGAZINE

OSCAR

[illegible]

PLANNED PARENTHOOD: AMERICA'S HOLOCAUST! (ABOVE: Nazi Flag made from PP logo)  
- ALSO: LiberTORian's Presidential Picks - ProxyHam... Scrubbed Technology





```

-----
| -----                ## |
| -- ---                ## |
|
|           Letters
|           to
|       LiberTORian
|
|-----

```

>>> LiberTORian,

Looks great! Thanks for including my article. And congratulations on getting your own .onion service. ... Wherever you're hosting it I hope it works out. Onion land services can be really unreliable :-/.

I wanted to comment on the issue (ever think of having a "letters to the editor" section?):

1) You mention that people have either male or female DNA. I assume you mean the XX and XY chromosomes. That's true, but there are also people who genetically have XXY, XYY, XXYX as well. So genetically there are 5 human genders. Weird! (male, female, hermaphrodite, super-male, and superfemale). And even more if you consider sterile women with only X.

2) When you say that for the majority of world history 95% of people have lived under oppression, what about pre-agricultural societies, such as nomadic groups or pre-bronze-age tribal groups? My understanding is that modern anthropology sees these people as having lived in very anarchic (leaderless, as you know) groups, or perhaps having only a wise "advisor" elder or elders who had no ability to coerce. Have you ever read anything by Hakim Bey (Peter Lamborn Wilson) on ancient anarchism vs. the rise of statism? He sees the rise of statism as an idea that emerged out of ancient, primarily anarchic societies, and he has some odd theories about it but I think the idea makes sense. The "state" could only occur

at a certain level of technological/ societal development and he covers that history from an interesting angle.

You might find his book "Pirate Utopias" to be very interesting- he looks at piracy in North Africa and the Caribbean back in the 16th to 19th centuries, and examines it as libertarians (the pirates) vs. the oppressive statist (the monarchies of Europe) and highlights ways that statist have maligned pirates over the centuries to encourage people to stay complacent in their heavily-policed, heavily-taxed states. He even highlights a few brief periods in modern history where truly anarchic, libertarian communities popped up and managed to live for a while before being crushed by the states and monarchies. A very fun libertarian look at history I thought.

3) I've always heard Spokane pronounced "Spoke Can", and I've been visiting there off and on for many many years. So I don't think it's a conspiracy. People on the east coast also mispronounce Oregon so I think it's not uncommon for other parts of the country to not say the names the same way. No one in eastern WA or Idaho pronounces it "spoke Cane".

By the way your ASCII art is fantastic. Do you do it all by hand? I use the command-line program figlet.  
- Moxygen

DEAR MOXYGEN,

<<<

We do have a letters column! Most of the feedback we receive is short and general so not much of it gets used. But you raise many valid points and I'd like to address them here (and I did to you in email). To respond to your points:

1) Believe it or not, I totally knew that, yet I forgot about it at time of writing! I write music and keep a lyric book. One













-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----

Hash: SHA512

What is GPG and why should I use it?

By Quantum7765

\*\*\*\*\*

It used to be that as an average citizen if you wanted to speak privately with someone it was easy to do. You wouldn't worry about someone reading your letters in the mail, or someone recording your phone calls when you order out for food. You could reasonably count on being able to have a private conversation with another person.

In the digital age, that's no longer true. Many conversations happen online. E-Mails, instant messages, texts, documents are passed around without much thought given to who's really looking. You are given the illusion that when you send an email or other communications that only you and the person you are communicating with are able to see the message.

Sadly, this is not the truth.

There's now a long list of people who have access to everything you do and say online. Internet service providers commonly monitor and carefully record your activities and messages. A few internet service providers have even been caught injecting malicious tracking numbers into requests for websites (Sometimes called Super-Cookies) to collect data on its customers. Marketing companies buy and sell your shopping habits, personal data and search requests on a daily basis. Governments watch and record what you do in order to find if you are a criminal, an activist, or even just if you are someone who holds a view that's contrary to the current political agenda.

For example, the commonly used email provider GMail (and many others like it) searches all messages you

send and receive for keywords. These keywords allow them to learn more about you and to offer ads to you based on what you are sending and receiving. If you're receiving or sending messages about shoes, you may get ads for shoes. If you're sending a message about cars you'll get ads for cars. Over time it will learn and the ads will get more specific as it builds a profile about you. That data is then used to show you more ads that you are likely to click. They also may sell this data to other marketing companies for use in their own ads.

A private conversation is no longer private.

But there is a way to take back your digital privacy.

I recommend a program called GNU Privacy Guard.

GNU Privacy Guard (also called OpenPGP, PGP or GPG) is a data encryption and decryption computer program that provides cryptographic privacy and authentication for data communication. GPG is often used for signing, encrypting, and decrypting texts, e-mails, files, directories, and whole disk partitions and to increase the security of e-mail communications.

You can run the program on Windows, Linux, Mac and Android.

●Windows: GPG4WIN  
(<http://www.gpg4win.org/>)

●Linux: GPG (<https://www.gnupg.org/>)

●Mac: GPG Tools  
(<https://gpgtools.org/>)

●Android: APG (<http://www.thialfihar.org/projects/apg/>)

Why do I keep hearing about PGP/GPG/OpenPGP/etc? What's with all the different names?

PGP was the original commercial program written back in 1991. The source code for it was released to the public in the form of a book when the United States Government tried to shut them down. In the form of a book, it was covered by the First Amendment as free speech. Also with the code released to the public it was no longer possible for the government to "put the genie back in the bottle" so to speak. GPG was based on that source code and is by far the most commonly used version as it was created and distributed as an open source program free to all forever. In order to keep compatibility between the different programs a standard was written called the "OpenPGP Standard" which defines PGP/GPG based programs should function so they can work with each other.

So what can GPG do for me?

## 1. Encryption

Encryption allows you to take messages and/or data that you wish to keep private and modify it in such a way that it is impossible to read without the correct password. But GPG takes it a step further and uses a public/private key pair as well as a password to secure your messages. The public key file can be shared with anyone freely. That's why it's called the public key, it's meant to be public. It can be used to encrypt a message and to verify the authenticity of a message but it cannot be used to decrypt or sign a message. The private key file is the one you keep secret. It allows you to decrypt a message signed with your key and it also allows you to sign a message or file so that others can verify its authenticity and that it has not been modified in any way. Without the private key file AND the password it is not possible to decrypt the message.

## 2. Signature

As mentioned above GPG is able to digitally sign an e-mail, attachments, documents and files so that

anyone who has your public key can verify that it is authentic and has not been tampered with. If even one bit of the message or file has been altered in any way GPG will notify you that the file is NOT authentic. This is very useful if you're concerned about "man in the middle" attacks where messages are intercepted and changed between people exchanging information. You simply distribute your GPG public key and anyone who has it can use it along with the program to authenticate the message.

Common questions:

QUESTION: I heard the government can break any encryption easily.

ANSWER: FALSE. If you had EVERY single computer in the world trying to crack a GPG encrypted file with a strong password it would take well beyond your lifespan to break. Instead agencies like the FBI/NSA/CIA rely on backdoors hidden in the encryption software, poor passwords or malware to spy on you and get the key that way. Encryption WORKS when applied properly. That's why the United States Government has been pushing for more backdoors so hard in the last few years.

QUESTION: What is a backdoor?

ANSWER: A backdoor is a hidden piece of code in a program to allow someone access. In this context, a backdoor would be a hidden piece of code in the encryption program that alters the encrypted data in such a way that the government (or hackers) can bypass the encryption with the right secret code.

QUESTION: How can I check for a backdoor?

ANSWER: For most commercial applications, you can't. In order to really tell for sure you need to look at the source code and typically only the programmers have access to this. The source code is

what programmers write that gets turned into a working program you can use. This is where GPG really shines as its completely open source. Anyone can examine the source code and have your own computer build it into a program if you wish. The source code for GPG is peer reviewed and analyzed for hidden code and security weaknesses regularly and in an open manner.

QUESTION: How common are these backdoors?

ANSWER: Very common. For decades the NSA and other organizations have put a large amount of pressure on various companies to either build a backdoor into encryption software that would allow them access to messages that have been encrypted or to force them to use very weak encryption protocols so that its easy for them to break. Examples include banking software, pharmacy software, popular websites, operating systems, cell phones, etc. We'll never know for sure how many as most companies when pressured to do this are also placed under a secret court order not to reveal that its been done.

QUESTION: This sounds really technical. I'm not really a "tech" guy. Can someone like me use it?

ANSWER: Yes. The process to use it is actually pretty simple and there are many tutorials and youtube videos that show you how. No math or advanced IT knowledge is required to use the program.

QUESTION: Will it work on Tor?

ANSWER: Yes! In fact many places and sites on Tor require a working knowledge of GPG for security.

I hope you find this article useful and take a look into encrypting your data and communications.

If you want to learn more about GPG and how to use it i recommend this tutorial for the windows version (GPG4WIN) at:

<https://www.deepdotweb.com/2015/02/21/pgp-tutorial-for-windows-kleopatra-gpg4win/>

And of course the main homepage as well: <https://www.gnupg.org/>

- - Quantum7765

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

```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=H4ht
```

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

LIBERTORIAN SAYS: Quantum 7765 wrote this because I had no working knowledge of PGP. He wrote this for me and all LiberTORian readers.

((L))







is its very weakness. Over the past few years, the billion-dollar business, which poses as a non-profit organization, many have been able to infiltrate their ranks. That's why they are caught again and again when one would think they learned their lesson. Once you look at Planned Parenthood's practices, however, one realizes that criminal networking is part of their routine operations. Making financial deals such as the ones caught on tape are ingrained in their day-to-day activities. They don't have time to check if every one of their many clients are undercover investigative videographers.

Andrew Breitbart's web site is one of those infiltrators. Also noteworthy are Veritas Video in conjunction with LiveAction. They have nailed Planned Parenthood several times on video, including assisting undercover reporters posing as child traffickers. Again, there is enough on these groups alone to write a volume on each. But this is the modern history of Planned Parenthood, all which leads up to the current situation: The Center for Medical Progress.

Their name is as deceptive as that of Planned Parenthood itself. The Center for Medical Progress sounds like a progressive/statist group but is not at all: kind of turning the Alinsky-ite language back against them. They believe in the Constitution and for protecting life.

This project was spearheaded by David Daleidon. David's investigation was preceded by years of prep work, including research and training. The entire endeavor required five years from beginning to end. The result is a level of quality professionalism you don't find in the mainstream media. At the time this part of the article has been written, the Center for Medical Progress, henceforth referred to as CMP, released five videos. Each was powerful and framed the narrative.

So what are these videos all about? LibertORian will cover each one in a timeline, and the reaction to each.

VIDEO 1 IS RELEASED.

Title: "Planned Parenthood Uses Partial-Birth Abortions to Sell Baby Parts." (8:51)

This video took America by storm. It opens with news clips on the topic, including an interview with PP president Gloria Feldt, defending her syndicate from wrongdoing while saying, if real, the video is still disturbing. Following this is a meeting between the undercover agent and the medical director of the entire organization, Deborah Nutacola. She discusses casually, while dining on an expensive salad and wine, how she intends to procure intact body parts from babies for the agent's fictitious medical research lab.

Nutacola discusses which body parts are in demand, as if she is experienced in the matter.

- o "Buying or selling human body parts is a federal felony (42 U.S. Code 274e)"
- o "The commercial traffick [sic] of body parts from an aborted baby is punishable by up to 10 years in prison and/or a fine of up to \$500,000 [per offense] (42 U.S. Code 289g-2)"

The video depicts an actual online order form for parts (shipped by FedEx).

DOX: The video shows Nutacola's actual calling card:

Deborah Nutacola, MD  
Senior Director - Medical Services  
434 West 33rd Street  
New York NY 10001  
Phone 212.261.4632  
deborah.nutacola@ppfa.org  
www.plannedparenthood.org

Nutacola explins how she's in charge

of the national office for the whole syndicate, including all affiliates. They murder babies up to 24 weeks. Nutacola discusses with the agent how in this case, and with all their clients, they take measures to sell the parts for profit without appearing to. She also describes how Planned Parenthood can mutilate the baby's body while keeping organs vital for sales intact, including via partial- and post-birth abortion.

- o Partial-birth abortion is a federal felony punishable by up to 2 years in prison and/or a fine of up to \$250,000 (18 U.S. Code 1531)
- o A fetus extracted intact and alive during an abortion is a born-alive infant and any further action taken to kill him or her is homicide. (1 U.S. Code 8)

Nutacola says that the Planned Parenthood organization can commit partial-birth abortions and get away with just by saying (lying) that "they didn't mean to commit one before the abortion began".

Planned Parenthood Federation of America is organized like a franchise, with many regional affiliates carrying the Planned Parenthood brand name and reporting to the national office.

PPFA has affiliates contract directly for body part sales, so that a regional affiliate can take the fall for the entire organization if the sales are exposed...

Nutacola in the video refuses to deal directly with the undercover agent, referring him to individual franchises. Their law department simply knows they can't, literally get away with murder.

Video 1 ends with Cecile Richards, PP President, meeting with the client, and praising Nutacola in her deal-making assets.

The content in video 1 is disturb-

ing. The reaction was a public outrage... at least, the part of the public that saw the video. The only news media that covered it was Fox News, The Blaze, talk radio, and the new media. The typical media: ABC, NBC, CBS, New York Times, Washington Post, Time, Newsweek, NPR, etc., made a conscious decision to keep the story covered up. As Bohnhoeffer said, "Not to act is to act. Not to speak is to speak." He would know: before saving Jews from the Nazis, he spent most of WWII doing nothing. He regretted it and died trying to make it up. Blood is on the hands of media, whose responsibility is to inform the public of such activity, for complying with Planned Parenthood and keeping their actions buried.

Of course, the dinosaur media lost its control. Gone are the days when Tom Brokaw can lie unchallenged and thus receive the title, "the most trusted man in America" due to a lack of a check and balance in reporting. The video stormed YouTube and was on the minds, hearts and lips of people everywhere.

In damage control mode, Cecile Richards recorded her own video for YouTube. In it she bluntly lied that PP does not sell baby body parts.

Unfortunately for her and her operation, there was a second video. Rule number 1 when you lie to the public: be certain there's no evidence first. The second video, almost posted as a response, depicts the agent discussing pricing for the sale of body parts with Planned Parenthood's Medical Director's Council President, Mary Gatter. In the midst of the price haggling, she comments, "I want a Lamborghini."

The reaction to the second video: Obviously trapped in a corner, the butchers at Planned Parenthood made accusations that the videos were butchered, edited to manipulate the truth. Even Obama and his spokes-



men, who are eager accomplices to this outfit, celled the videos, "deceptively edited", albeit admitting, as always, that they hadn't seen the videos in question. Ignorance isn't so bliss.

Small problem: had any of the PP defenders investigated their new-found enemy, they would have learned that unedited videos, running for more than two hours each, were made available seconds later alongside "edited" highlights videos. These are presented for posterity, and to prove to anyone with enough time on their hands to watch them, that the videos are in fact what they are.

Meanwhile, in the real world, the social scene was ablaze. Twitter was trending with new hashtags such as #PPSellsBabyParts and #Defund-PlannedParenthood. Then there were calls for congress to take action. On the hour before the vote in the U.S. senate to defund Planned Parenthood, the third video dropped.

Entitled, "Human Capitol", video number three put to rest any doubts that Planned Parenthood was not only selling human baby parts, but their practices were gruesome and brutal. Society wouldn't allow a dog to be treated like these humans were. This is the opening text:

The documentary episode you are about to see explores the real-life harvesting and selling of baby body parts at Planned Parenthood abortion clinics.

And the nearly twelve-minute footage does. Human Capital opened with an excerpt from episode 1. It features an interview with an ex baby harvester for Stem Express, an outfit that deals in black market body parts. Her name is Holly O'Donnell. Stem Express' web site repeatedly refers for dealings with Planned Parenthood for mutual profit, without saying what they do. They advertise that dealing with Stem Express can be lucrative for

an abortion mill that wished to deal with them.

This particular video depicts the corpses of actual babies murdered by Planned Parenthood and brutalized to access their intact organs. One baby body shows a sliced-up head, its two eyes on two different parts. an intact arm with its five tiny fingers is torn away from the rest of the body. All the dialogue is about the quality of the baby's internal organs, and how profitable the samples will be for their mill. It's sickening listening to the abortionists discussing selling the body parts by the part, rather than wholesale, for maximum profit.

This was getting too big for the media accomplices to hold down, and so they needed a distraction. So they found a dentist who hunted a lion and made that the big story. It backfired. The story became how the media had its priorities so screwed up that a lion was elevated to a level above human babies.

The fourth video, Human Capital 2, showed a decapitated baby that was much later in gestation. The fifth video looked like a lab in the Nazi regime. The baby was being chopped up like chuck steak. The arm of a victim and rib cage was amidst what was the processed remains of his body. The hand, fingers, and tiny fingernails were intact.

It is inhuman what is being done to these victims of Planned Parenthood and their greed. There are cries everywhere to not only defund them, but bring them to justice for mass murder and black market human body part trafficking. However, the politicians who support Planned Parenthood and their evil practices accept millions of dollars from them. In a quid pro quo, they not only say thank you by funding them, they do whatever it takes to keep protecting them. Everyone involved is guilty.

And the corrupt politicians on the far left or lower wing don't care about their constituents. You can call them until you're blue in the face and they feel they're there to be served by you. So what can I do to stop them?

1) KEEP SPREADING THE WORD! This won't shame those engaged in evil, Obama, PP, and the politicians and fringe supporters have no shame. But most regular people, regardless on their view on baby-killing, will unite with you on this. Heinous as these acts are, the documented proof that it is indeed happening in America will disturb most.

2) ACT ON A STATE LEVEL. Obama's so-called "Justice Department" has already made it clear that they will not pursue any legal action against Planned Parenthood, period. In all actuality, they, and state departments of justice, said they'd do all they can to jail those who exposed the truth. The Center for Medical Progress planned their investigation meticulously. They studied groups that bought body parts on Planned Parenthood's black market. They studied their business models and practices, the technicalities of the abortion industry, and the law. The Center took precautions not to break any recording laws in the jurisdictions they were in. Nonetheless, they can still go to jail for no reason. If Nazis were killing Jews, and someone made a video exposing it, would Hitler say, "this must be stopped", or would he put the video maker in jail? And if you have forgotten Benghazi, Obama put a video maker in jail for over a year without due process, as a scapegoat.

The point is, where the federal government is part of the problem, state governments can act. Three states defunded Planned Parenthood in light of the video: Louisiana, Alabama, and liberal New Hampshire. seven others have done this prior to the video's release: Arizona, Arkansas, Colorado, Indiana, Ohio, Texas,

and Wisconsin. YOU can take action to have Planned Parenthood's barbaric practices defunded and their criminal activities put to justice. Actually, criminal activities is too nice. Crimes against mankind is more like it.

3) DON'T SUPPORT CORPORATIONS THAT FUND THEM! It's not so hard to do. Just remind yourself that they take the money you give them, and use it to commit barbaric acts on living human beings for profit. I still don't get the concept of a company or a person donating to a company. Did you, or anyone you know, just donate any of your money to Wal\*Mart, or Hasbro, or Amazon, etc., just for the heck of it? I don't know any other for-profit venture that solicits donations like that! Here you go, Bank of America! Take this five hundred dollars. No, don't put it in an account; just TAKE IT! Maybe if I started my own business, I'll ask for money for nothing in return.

4) EDUCATE YOURSELF. Educated people are Planned Parenthood's worst enemy. Watch the videos. Evil must be witnessed, identified and faced. Visit

<http://youtube.com/user/centerformedicalprogress>

learn more about CMP's five-year sting operation, how much went into infiltrating them. Visit

<http://centerformedicalprogress.org>

Learn PP's history, including founder Margaret Sanger in her own words. If you can't find real books, some are in pdf format. A good starting point is to conduct a search for "Margaret Sanger" at <http://archive.org>.

5) GET CREATIVE. Not to speak IS to speak. Not to act IS to act. There are some evils that can not be ignored. Use your talents to be a witness to the evils. If we remain silent, we'll be known in history as something EVEN WORSE than the Nazis.

((L))











080808080808080808080808080808080808

G U Y S      A N D      D O L L S

080808080808080808080808080808080808



-----  
- By G.P.S. -  
-----



One day, I saw some mother buy a boy a doll, like a baby doll, in a local department store. People had a beef with this and actually confronted the child's mom about it. Really? You really have to interfere with a mom's own life like that?

I sure am comfortable enough with my gender ID that a boy with a doll doesn't upset me. There are real problems out there. Did you ever stop a second and think that maybe because of this doll, that boy may one day be a good father? ((L))

888888888888888888888888888888888888

CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY

> > >      2w93358a.txt      < < <

888888888888888888888888888888888888

Thanks go to Quantum 7765 this issue for sharing his knowledge of PGP/GPG encoding. So, in keeping in that spirit, this month's vintage plain text file is GUIDE TO PGP OPERATIONS IN A SECURE ENVIRONMENT. Of course, this secure environment is a DOS based one.

No date is known, but the file seems to date in the days before Windows overshadowed DOS' prominence, so perhaps this was written in 1991 or 1992, when PGP was first introduced.

Enjoy, and take a trip to the halycon days of computer security!

%%

### Guide to PGP Operations in a Secure Environment

The following document provides a series of notes and examples which are designed to help the PGP novice user to properly maintain and care for public keys in a secure environment using the PGP utility software.

Item No.	Activity	Operative Command	Results/Disposition
1	Install System		Set DOS PATH command in AUTOEXEC.BAT so that DOS can always find PGP; Set the DOS ENV variable TZ=-3 (EST). Set the DOS Env. Variable PGPPATH to the drive and sub-directory where you have your keyrings. This will make PGP available all the time.
2	REBOOT	Ctrl-Alt-Del	Required to make DOS Changes (Item 1) work.

3 Generate Secret Key PGP -kg

System will create public and private keyrings in subdirectory specified by PGPPATH as specified in (1)

4 Create Public Key PGP -kxa <user id>  
<keyfile>

keyring

This command will extract a "Public Key" from your  
  
and put it in <keyfile>. Specify the <user id> to be extracted. To get ready to send out your public key: give your own user id. Save <keyfile>.

5 Note: At this point you can send a message to someone and include your Public Key. After you have done that, then THEY will be able to send you a PGP crypted message.

6 Received Message Ah Ha!  
containing Public  
Key for a remote  
correspondent.

Save this message. You will need to process this using PGP, shortly.

\*\*\*\*\*  
ACTIVITY EVENT ACTION TAKEN BY PGP  
\*\*\*\*\*

7 Add Public Key(s) to PGP <filename>  
your keyring

PGP will add any key(s) found in <filename> to your Keyring

have

New Key, without  
authenticating  
signature(s)

PGP will ask if you want to Authenticate these keys. You would only do this if you

personnally received the key directly from a trusted person.

New Key, with Known ?? Does PGP Authenticate the  
k Authenticating  
Signatures

New Key, with a bad ??  
signature

New key with 1 good ??  
and 1 bad signature

Existing key, with no signature PGP will check the new key to be sure it matches the old key

New key matches existing key No action





[illegible]

Barack Obama used to cavort with, and even began his political career in the home of, a domestic terrorist who bombed the Pentagon. The terrorist evaded jail because he got off on a technical legal loophole. Obama now presides over federal agencies which, to prosecute Ross Ulbricht, committed numerous gross violations of the law. Large, gaping legal loopholes didn't prevent Ross, who did no direct harm to anyone, from receiving a life sentence.

```

fff
f
fffff  rrrr  eeee  eeee  rrrr  oooo  ssss  ssss  oooo  rrrr  gggg
f  rr  e  e e  e rr  o  o s  s  o  o rr  g  g
f  r  eeeee  eeeee  r  o  o  sss  sss  o  o r  ggggg
f  r  e  e  r  oooo  s  s  #  oooo  r  g
      eee  eee  sssss  ssss  ggggg

```





http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion

LIBERTY

MAGAZINE

OSCAR

[illegible]

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
/	/
It's a table! Get it?	

ACQUIRING RELAY.....	3 AGAIN
LETTERS TO LIBERTORIAN.....	4
BLURB.....	5
PEOPLE ARE DISCUSSING.....	5
LIBERTORIAN	
THE DEVOLUTIONARY OATH.....	5
QUOTABLE QUOTES.....	5
DEEP WEB SITES OF THE DAY.....	6
Overchan and Underchan	
ARTICLE.....	7
A La Carte: It's Time Has Come	
MEET THE LIBERTARIANS.....	8
Constitutional Liberals	
FEATURE.....	10
PLANNED PARENTHOOD: AN UPDATE	
ARTICLE.....	12
Libertarians vs. Christians?	
MEET THE SENATORS WHO VOTED.....	13
TO FUND PLANNED PARENTHOOD	
FEATURE.....	14
Everything You Needed to Know	
About Ashley Madison	
But Were Afraid To Ask	
BLURB.....	18
LiberTORian Is Activist Literature	
BLURB.....	18
Happy 100th Birthday, Raggedy Ann	
FEATURE.....	19
The Tragic Tale of Dan Price	
CLASSIC TEXT FILE OF THE DAY.....	23
coladrik.txt	
BLURB.....	25
A LiberTORian Public PGP Key!	

~~ LiBeRtOrIaN ~~	
-- Volume 1	--- Issue 8 --
Late September, 2015	
A Publication of Synonymous 1.	
Published August, 2015.	
--	
LiberTORian is published on an	
irregular basis.	
N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,	
actually encouraged, to copy and	
distribute this text by any means	
possible, preferably by photocopy-	
ing.	
LIBERTORIAN HAS A DEEP WEB SITE:	
<a href="http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion">http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion</a>	
(Thanks to an Onionland user.	
The views expressed in LiberTORian	
aren't necessarily those of the	
webmaster. That's fine with us	
libertarians.	
CORRESPONDENCE:	
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com	
Send submission & letters to	
LiberTORian at the above address.	
DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:	
12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGim8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s	
Even a millibitcoin!	
(.001 BTC)	
DON'T HURT PEOPLE	
AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF.	

ARTICLE.....	26
Help Sweet Cakes by Melissa	
EDITORIAL.....	27
But What About Polygamy?	
DNS LOOKUP FAILED.....	28

~~~~~

## A C Q U I R I N G   R E L A Y

~~~~~

I went back for old time's sake and read LibertORian 1. Boy, has the writing changed! Originally, LibertORian has so much basic deep web knowledge that I had just figured out. What a difference a few months make!

I still wonder about TOR and internet privacy. I wonder how secure it is, and for how long. It seems that the intrusive government agencies, who were responsible for TOR in the first place, are able to, or closing in on, infiltrating its encryption, or have. TOR recently updated a pdf exploit. It's all a game of cat and mouse: the TOR project always must stay one step ahead of the NSA, the FBI intimidators, CIA, and all those other three-letter groups intent on prying into your life.

And how else can we know what they know until one of us on the deep web goes down? When someone takes a hit from the government, they keep their methods secret, so it's up to others to discover how they did it, and to close the gap.

Maintaining security in our current stage of technological evolution is essential to protect those who are oppressed by their government. If security can't be maintained, they will be fearful to speak out on the wrongdoings of their governments. For many people around the world, TOR and its users are the last line of defense against absolute power.

LibertORian Issue 7 featured a guest post from Quantum 7765, with a topic of GPG encryption. Quantum now just published his first issue of a retro eZine of his own, titled "QUANTUM MAGAZINE". His issue features the article on GPG, and two other articles: one on StingRay, a

- By Synonymous 1, Editor -

phony tower which intercepts cell phone transmissions, and metadata. I found the metadata article an eye opener. I've been aware of it already, but never considered how it is present in personal documents. We are all here to help each other. Of course, as a libertarian, you don't have to, but what better way to learn? Again, I've come a long way, and it's because of the people who have taken the time to come to my aid, when there is no need to, or at least from what I see, no apparent benefit to them. I hope that others are helped by writing LibertORian as I've been helped by complete strangers in the deep web!

And I know that many of people out in the deep web see things different than I do. But I know that there is so much that we have in common, and have the same desire to protect our privacy and individual freedom rights (actually, many do NOT care about individual liberty, but they still care about their privacy). In the deep web, I see, to some extent, an anarchist feel to the community. People tend to be respectful of each other, and just don't bother with things they abhor. It's a libertarian philosophy to be tolerant of the free speech of others, and to know our tolerance doesn't mean that we necessarily condone it. We just believe that it's up to each individual to make her/his own decisions in life, and accept the consequences for those decisions.

With all that in mind, LibertORian hopes you enjoy this issue. As always, we want to hear from YOU and show YOUR articles. You can contact LibertORian at the same address as it's been since the premiere issue:

synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com      ((L))

```

-----
| -----                ## |
| -- ---                ## |
|
|           Letters
|           to
|       LibertORian
|
|-----

```

Hi!

I liked a lot your article about the attack to Ashley Madison.

It is a fact that someone has caused some harm to someone, but we can not identify to whom unless we first decide the priority:

- a. adulterer's privacy
- b. spouse's right to know
- c. hackers' freedom to do whatever they want
- d. company's right to not be compromised
- e. not defraud customers

Where we put the priority is the key of the matter. And that is common to be placed on the side of the morality or religion.

-Anonymous

DEAR ANONYMOUS

Thank you for your input on the Ashley Madison story, which we asked for in issue 6. You provide a good insight on this, which makes more sense to me now that I understand more about Impact Team, and the reason for their actions to hack the account, although I don't know what role, if any, members of Impact Team had in the defrauding.

As a libertarian, I'll leave it to the dear readers to choose the order of priority of the above. I'll just interject my personal opinion that as a libertarian, one has the free will to engage in an affair, but I believe it still hurts another person whether or not they know.

Hi synonymous 1, i'm an anonymous user of underchan (<https://tcxb6cwaejftlefl.onion>), we translate the number 1 of your magazine to spanish. Other numbers can translate soon.

You are not alone.

I recommend you that have got a PGP key for cipher & signs emails.

-Anonymous

DEAR ANONYMOUS #2,

LibertORian appreciates your going above and beyond the call of duty! We are eternally grateful for the time, effort, and dedication you invested. As a libertarian, there was no need for you to do this, but because you did, many Spanish speaking deep web users now have a new forum. Some of the ANSI encoding was lost, and I replaced the Ns with tildas and accented vowels. Issues of LibertORian en Espan~ol will be posted on the deep web site as they are made available.

What I appreciate the most is knowing that I am not alone. While we may not agree on everything that is discussed, knowing that there are others who believe in freedom, equal justice, and privacy makes us feel less alienated. The system is corrupt, but I am certain there are more freedom-loving people than there are statistis. I feel just a bit more certain now. It is the hope of LibertORian that you, Dear Reader, feel the same way when you read our magazine.

LibertORian,  
What's will all this planned parenthood obsession? arent [sic] there more important things to worry about? This is wasting my time!

-Anonymous

DEAR ANONYMOUS #3,

You must not have taken the time to read it. Who has freedom when society allows this to be? ((L))

~~~~~

## B L U R B !

~~~~~

Here's that link to QUANTUM'S deep web site, where you can read the issue mentioned in ACQUIRING RELAY:

<http://mywuwj5f76usg7eo.onion>

~~~~~

PEOPLE ARE DISCUSSING LIBERTORIAN!

~~~~~

Someone on Reddit mentioned Libertarian waaaaay back when it was being posted on the defunct Slamspeech Pastebin. It can be found at:

<http://redd.it/3a5zah>

~~~~~

## The DEVolutionary Oath

~~~~~

Devo, pioneers of music video, and experimental concept band from the late 1970s and 1980s, subscribe to the philosophy of "de-evolution", or societal regression. This theme is explored throughout their body of music. Part of the band's lore includes the "De-evolutionary Oath", also called the "DEVolutionary Oath. The origin of this is a satirical thesis, "Trick Rules", by Dr. B.H. Shadduck (1924). The anti-evolution writing spoofed Darwin's arbitrary rules of evolution. Devo's own DEVolutionary Oath is as follows:

1. Be like your ancestors or be different
2. The fittest shall survive yet the unfit may live
3. Lay a million eggs or give birth to one
4. Wear gaudy colors or avoid display

## 5. We must repeat!

The five tenets are sometimes presented in a different order.

Parts of the DEVolutionary Oath are present in the lyrics to their song, "Wiggly World" (1979).

Notice how these (d)evolution rules are themselves of a libertarian nature! You're at liberty to make one of two choices. Devo's song, "Freedom of Choice" (1980), was about being given two specific choices, and having to choose between only the two given choices, actually limits one from making a free decision! This is beside the point, though.

Sometimes lines from the Devolutionary oath have tag lines like, "It's all the same", or "it doesn't matter". Though again, it isn't a direct reference to libertarianism, the content does sound fitting. So make of it what you will. I guess that's the most libertarian answer I could provide. So I leave you with the words of Mark Mothersbaugh, Devo's lead singer, at the end of his live concert on the "Now It Can Be Told" album (1989): "Be happy or not! Good night!" (L)

~~~~~

## Q U O T A B L E    Q U O T E S

~~~~~

"The smallest minority on Earth is the individual. Those who deny individual rights cannot claim to be defenders of minorities."

-Ayn Rand

"We cannot solve our problems with the same thinking we used when we created them."

-Albert Einstein

((L))



\*\*\*\*\*

And now, presenting Underchan!

Underchan is a Spanish speaking chan like Overchan. Because of its name, I like to consider it the Spanish counterpart to Overchan, except that they aren't related. (I just may be wrong, of course.) Underchan likes to think of themselves as a vintage BBS system, as if it were a private dial-in line.

For you newbs to chans, boards are divided into different directories, each a different topic. Many chans follow a structure where each topic is assigned a letter or code: for example: /a/ for anime, /pol/ for politics, /h/ for hacking, etc. each topic is in its own subdirectory. On Underchan, the divisions are few:

- o underchan.underchan
- o underchan.confesionario
- o underchan.eth0
- o underchan.oraculo
- o underchan.archivo

Underchan.underchan is a general forum. Mainly the topic is about the deep web, privacy, activism and hacking and such topics, but people are at liberty to discuss almost anything that doesn't fit into the other categories. People at underchan are as active as those in any of the English-speaking boards.

Those on underchan have shown an interest in translating LiberTORian into Spanish. It is a slow process but those involved already translated issue 1 and are working on issues 2 and 3. LiberTORian plans on hosting the Spanish translations on its web site alongside their counterparts.

All told, overchan and underchan represent two healthy, tech-savvy communities that can provide a lot of help and support for explorers of the deep web. ((L))

~~~~~

A LA CARTE: Its time has come!

~~~~~

When you go to a restaurant and you order an entree, the waiter doesn't charge you for the whole menu, isn't that true? Well, why does the cable or satellite company charge you for channels you don't watch?

Cable companies and TV channels have a lot to gain from forcing the consumer to bundle their channels into packages. First of all, the network owners get your money for the channel whether or not you watch it. The cable and satellite companies like it because they receive part of the profits from all the channels in their bundles. And they LOVE it if you enjoy a certain high-package channel so much that you upgrade to a higher tier just for one channel!

Some have said that televisin is in a new golden age. I totally disagree. I think that, while there are some quality, innovative shows, the ratio of good to pathetic programs is decreasing. And with the rise of Netflix and the binge-watching trend and the online availability of everything, TV is doing little to even try to remain competitive. Wouldn't television be more appealing if you saved money by buying only the channels you want?

TV channels rely on advertising revenue, but they also benefit from bundling. It's the only way the NBC family of channels still exists with its dismal numbers. Same for CNN and al Jazeera and so on.

Dish Network, which is the most consumer friendly TV provider, supports changing the law to allow a la carte purchasing of channels. (Right now it's illegal to offer TV channels a la carte.) Don't you agree that people shouldn't have to pay for things they don't want? ((L))

~~~~~

### MEET THE LIBERTARIANS, PART 3: CONSTITUTIONAL LIBERALS

~~~~~

Constitutional Conservatives (see LiberTORian Issue 5) often to have the ability to quote the United States Constitution by Article and paragraph, the way fundamentalist Christians can quote the Bible. A Constitutional liberal isn't as likely to share this ability, but is focused on applied liberty. A Constitutional liberal lives his life freely and doesn't bother with the law itself- until his rights are infringed upon.

There is no stereotype for a Constitutional liberal, though one often thinks of one as young millennials, who don't subscribe to a religion or are atheist, and live life freely. But they also can be rugged individualists who make the world a better place, and aren't even aware they are libertarians- again, until someone steps in and tries to limit their liberty.

A prime example is Silicon Valley. computer programmers, game designers, hardware makers, largely fit into this group. They spend their lives innovating by just doing what they enjoy. But recently, they've been having frequent run-ins with the federal government, which has been trying to dictate new and absurd regulations upon them that make their job difficult, impossible, or will shut them down due to financial burden.

A prime example of Constitutional liberalism at work is Atari corp. Founded by liberals Nolan Bushnell and Ralph Baer, These two young geniuses invented the first mass-produced arcade game: \*Pong\*, and had a hit on their hands. With their newfound success, they employed more programmers, all fresh, young, out-of the-box think-

ing talent. Atari never pictured itself a corporation and, because of that, never had a rigid structure. Employees had casual flexible hours. The only ties they were were tie dye shirts. They bought a hot tub where everyone went to create ideas and socialize with their co-workers, who were more seen as friends and co-eds than fellow employees. They also had great teeth.

You see, one day, a traveling insurance salesman came to them and offered to sell dental insurance to their employees. This is pre-Obama "care", and the plan was so great at rates so low that they literally are illegal today. Employees were free to take time off to take advantage of any dental issue they needed. And they did. At Atari, everyone was treated well, they did what they loved to do, they even were allowed to enjoy the benefit of recreational substances. They were so successful that Warner Entertainment bought them in 1977. Not bad for a ragtag band of hippies.


Many Constitutional liberals subscribe to a radical form of economic philosophy known as \*Agorism\*.

Agorism is economic anarchism. The fundamental philosophy of agorism is the belief that libertarian economics should not be achieved via statist means. Their definition of "statist means" is extremely rigid, for to an agorist, \*ANY\* government at all is a form of statism. To use a practical application: an agorist will believe in eliminating all taxes, but opposes electing politicians who will eliminate all taxes because elections are run by the state, and anything run by the state is a form of statism.

The primary tenet of agorism is the concept of counter-economics. Counter-economics is the idea that all trade should be deregulated and free to all people. Counter-economics refers to the purchase or sale of products regulated or banned







The Center for Medical Progress has released seven videos at the time of this writing, and more by the time this issue is released. Thanks to the TV program, \*Dana\* on the Blaze network. Dana is the only program we know of (email LibertORian if it isn't true) that shows excerpts of these videos and offers serious discussion. David Daleidon is a regular on Dana. Daleidon is the man behind the Planned Parenthood videos (See LibertORian Issue 7). When the third video was released, Dana asked if that was the worst one. He said that it does get worse. It was hard to imagine, but he was right. Each video, except for video 6, was progressively more barbaric than the last. "Barbaric" was used often in the Issue 7 article for lack of an appropriate word. Planned Parenthood's evil is behind words.

Video 7 was released, and it was the most horrific yet. The only thing sicker are people who become aroused while watching them... and it's apparent that people that sick are probably Planned Parenthood employees anyway! What is in video 7 prompted Dana to ask David Daleidon, "It can't get worse than this." He responded, "Yes it does." Dana then asked what do they do in the 12th video, eat a baby? (There are 12 videos in all.)

Video 7 continues the discussion of Holly O'Donnell, who, as mentioned

in LibertORian 7, is a baby part procurement specialist for Stem Express via Planned Parenthood. Earlier videos discuss brutal treatment of live babies. In video 7, O'Donnell describes Planned Parenthood's most gruesome act yet.

NOTE: THERE ARE SOME THINGS IN THE DEEP WEB SO SICK I CAN NOT READ OR VIEW THEM. THIS IS ONE OF THE MOST SICK THINGS EVER; IT MAKES ME SICK TO WRITE IT. IT MADE ME SICK WHEN I HEARD IT IN MY CAR- I HAD TO PULL OVER FOR A MOMENT TO RECOVER FROM A NAUSEA (I WAS IN MY CAR WHEN I FIRST HEARD IT). PLEASE NOTE THE NEXT PARAGRAPH IS DISTURBING, BUT MUST BE TOLD! \$

The baby was another boy, this one likely to be over 20 weeks of age, outside the age it could "legally" be murdered. The abortion was an attempt that failed. The baby was brutalized, but born alive. His heart was still beating. O'Donnell was called over. PP needed this baby's entire brain intact. That's what made killing this one so difficult. I don't remember if it was O'Donnell or one of the other butchers who did it; my mind is trying to subconsciously block it and I just can't review the video again. This baby, who looked more like a newborn than O'Donnell has seen yet at PP, was badly wounded, but they needed to get his entire brain- it was good money. To get the brain, the PP

butcher cut his entire head, right through his face, to get to it. They give no second thought to what they do at PP. To them the bottom line is the almighty dollar.

\$

!!! END OF SICK PARAGRAPH !!!

\$

Just writing this made me sick. It was difficult for me to do. This is the reality of Planned Parenthood, which from now on will be referred to in LiberTORian as \*Planned Predators\*

Whenever I see one of these videos, I want to grab the nearest child and hug him/her tightly. May God bless that child. No child may suffer the fate as those in the hands of this evil organization. Recently, Glenn Beck said that if we do nothing, America will be remembered in history as WORSE THAN the Nazis. Well, we are. Evil as the Nazis are, they never did THIS to living humans on a regular basis. ISIS, one of the most evil forces on planet Earth, doesn't do this to their prisoners. Jared Ogden is a former navy seal who fought against terrorists in the Mid-East. He witnessed the torturous acts committed by terrorists. He testifies that Planned Predators is worse.

When it comes to good and evil, it is sometimes clearly defined. What Planned Predators does is undeniably evil. It is not more clear. There is good and evil in the world and anyone who can't see this is a denier or on the side of evil. The Nazis committed acts of pure evil and people turned a blind eye. Not to act IS to act. Allowing it to happen is the same as partaking in their plan. This moment in history is our turn to face evil and choose sides. Barack Obama and many of the politicians who bitterly defend and support PP chose their sides. They chose evil. They'll use their

positions of power, their blood money they receive from Planned Predators, and their Alinsky-style attacks on the forces of good to protect their partners. Money means so much to such people that even evil that is this clear will be allowed to continue, funded by Americans' hard-earned money as Title X funds, and paid back to them as kickbacks from PP.

There is some good news in the story to report. Stem Express, the evil accomplices who had dealings with Planned Predators, for some reason had an ethical epiphany... more like they saw the writing on the walls and wanted to save their own sorry hides while the ship hadn't yet sunk, just ended their contract with Planned Predators. The money that PP receives for treating the weakest and most defenseless among us like trash for Stem Express is a drop in the bucket. But to PP, to whom money is everything, every dollar lost is a big, big deal! There's nothing they hate more, outside facing the Nuremberg trials of the future.

So we watched video 7 and know that it can not be more clear that this is an evil that must be stopped. If you don't think so, watch the video at

<http://youtube.com/user/centerformedprogress>

If you still disagree AFTER watching it, don't read LiberTORian again and go find a nazi website to join.

But what to do? I don't know. I need your help. All I can say is, 1) PRAY, if you are of that persuasion. God does what we can't. 2) Work at a local level to shut down your nearest PP mill. Then work on the next, and the next. Even if your PP doesn't murder babies, what money they make funds it. 3) I NEED YOUR HELP! Please send YOUR ideas to [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com). Thank you much! ((L))

~~~~~

## Libertarians vs. Christians?

- By Synonymous 2 -

~~~~~

While visiting NolanChart.com, I came across an article written by someone who scored libertarian. The article was named, "Do all Libertarians continue to oppose public prayer and Christianity?" I thought that was strange, since freedom of religion is a tenet of libertarianism. The article was half-right, but the author considered believing in the freedom of others to make their own decisions- including ones that are contrary to Christianity- to be wrong.

But what I found stranger was how a number of respondents, who also scored as libertarians, were in fact opposed to the free exercise of religion. The original author, in a response, also wrongly stated that Catholics don't consider Mormons to be Christians (a fallacy). Just put that in there to explain #1, below.

I prepared this entry, partly for the author, partly for those saying they're libertarian but have a problem with public prayer. My goal was to relate the argument back to the libertarianism, but the process to be a NolanChart writer is arduous.

| Reading this and I have to make it  
| clear.

|  
| 1) Catholics DO consider Mormons  
| to be Christians. Fundamentalists  
| are the ones who don't.

|  
| 2) A libertarian is free to  
| personally subscribe to any religious  
| convictions, including atheism. One  
| need not be opposed to God to be a  
| libertarian. And a Libertarian who  
| believes in God doesn't necessarily  
| condone "sinful" behavior simply  
| because s/he believes in a person's  
| right to make his/her own personal  
| decisions as an individual (drugs/  
| polygamy, etc.).

| 3) A person of ANY faith saying a  
| prayer in public is not a coercion  
| for the person who hears the  
| prayer to pray.

|  
| 4) Any "Libertarian" who opposes a  
| person's First Amendment right to  
| pray publicly isn't a libertarian.  
| If a person is a libertarian, s/he  
| would treat the right to public  
| prayer like they would the right to  
| public free speech. Prayer IS  
| speech. What libertarians oppose is  
| forcing someone to profess another's  
| faith. Anything more; i.e. opposing  
| public prayer, is a statist act.

|  
| 5) Defending a person's right to  
| pray in public by a libertarian is  
| not an endorsement of the religion,  
| or a statement that the libertarian  
| condones his/her religious beliefs.  
| A libertarian doesn't have to share  
| or approve of the beliefs of the  
| person praying, but as a defender of  
| individual liberty, respects their  
| right to do so.

|  
| 6) One of the primary reasons for  
| America's founding was to free  
| itself from an authoritarian  
| government in order to exercise  
| religion freely. This goes for the  
| Pilgrims' voyage to America, for  
| those who don't know their history,  
| or follow a revisionist form of  
| history.

|  
| 7) Most Christians do not wish to  
| force those who believe differently  
| to pray; they only wish to not  
| lose their right to exercise their  
| religious freedom. (See #3.) The  
| only people today I can think of  
| off hand who are trying to force  
| others to profess their religion  
| are ISIS and similar groups.

| Synonymous 2, LibertORian writer.

\$

MEET THE \$ENATOR\$ WHO VOTED TO  
CONTINUE FUNDING PLANNED PREDATOR\$

\$ By the congressional record \$  
CUT PASTE SHARE THIS PAGE EVERYWHERE

\$

Baldwin	(D-WI)	Murray	(D-WA)
Bennet	(D-CO)	Nelson	(D-FL)
Blumenthal	(D-CT)	Peter\$	(D-MI)
Booker	(D-NJ)	Reed	(D-RI)
Boxer	(D-CA)	Reid	(D-NV)
Brown	(D-OH)	\$ander\$	(I-VT)
Cantwell	(D-WA)	("IND", \$ocialist \$tati\$t running for pre\$ident a\$ a Democrat)	
Cardin	(D-MD)	\$chatz	(D-HI)
Darper	(D-DE)	\$chumer	(D-NY)
Ca\$ey	(D-PA)	\$haheen	(D-NH)
Coons	(D-DE)	\$tabenow	(D-MI)
Durbin	(D-IL)	Te\$ter	(D-MT)
Fein\$tein	(D-CA)	Udall	(D-NM)
"Franken"	(D-MN)	Warner	(D-VA)
(Name i\$ in quote\$ becau\$e he i\$ the "\$enator" from MN illegitimately)		Warren	(D-MA)
Gillibrand	(D-NY)	Whitehou\$e	(D-RI)
Heinrich	(D-NM)	Wyden	(D-OR)
Heitkamp	(D-ND)	Lind\$ey Graham (R-SC) did not vote becau\$e he wa\$ n't pre\$ent. He wa\$ too bu\$y with a photo op to defend human babies\$ from cruelty.	
Hirono	(D-HI)	The democrats who broke from their party dictates and had the courage to stand against brutal murdering of human babies:	
Kaine	(D-VA)	Donnelly	(D-IN) Thank you
King	(I-ME) "Ind"	Manchin	(D-WV) Thank you
Kirk	(R-IL) * Rep		
Klobuchar	(D-MN)		
Leahy	(D-VT)		
Markey	(D-MA)		
McCa\$ "KILL"	(D-MO)		
McConnell	(R-KY) * Rep		
Menendez	(D-NJ)		
Merkley	(D-OR)		
Mikul\$ki	(D-MD)		
Murphy	(D-CT)		

Babies can't vote or defend themselves, so it's up to you to defend their right. Ask if the money the senators receive from the abortion industry is enough to let them sleep at night. They have blood on their hands. As representatives of the people, they have no excuse to have ignored the Center for Medical Progress videos.

By the way, you can reach your senator at (202) 224-3121. By the way, since the NSA records our calls illegally (until six months after Rand Paul's vote takes effect), there's nothing wrong with recording theirs. If you have interesting experiences and your state allows sharing of recorded phone calls, I'm sure YouTube, Vimeo, DailyMotion, and the like will enjoy having it on them.

The vote took place one hour after CMP video #3 was released. This was their first video with actual footage depicting butchered human babies being sold on the black market. History will remember this vote. (L)

```

Everything
you needed .cckK.
to know ,o:.xx. ;xK. .xoX.
about ;l, :K: cdx: ,O,k'
,x; xO. lOx; .0;x.
.:dx,;cXKccc. c. oWx. .XKo
.:;cOl .XO .lNc cX; ,c; oN, 'lcoX. .ol .X. .'
co,.ld. kK. :o..X; 'oNx;c:.Ox ,lX0 .kNl:c.,xWo .Ok.:l.
0o.:c. .Wo .:oc..cWxc;.Wx, .Nxcl, oMc.:o:KO..;c;'Xc:OMkc.
do:. dXdlc. ,;;. ';;. .cl:. .cdO,
.cccxx.
'k:.;x,
XO:c;
,;;.
... ,;;. :.X; .cx.
;::;oK:.cc;'Xxl; oc cxxx
.x, oX; :O; 'x. lxoo
c. coOc .xd co cOxc co.
;o'ld oX: dl .:;;,dc ,rXylW0. .' .X, .;;
o;.oc .K0. dc ,dc .c;o' cd:.,NO cXo :cdk. .xl.:O,'oXx.rdK. .c.
.O:cd. :Nl ;O OO lNk .:OX..okMl .:xMo .cc. Xl,lOO 0,.XNo" xk .oc.
;kc. .x. lO OO:c,OOcc.;koc. xxcc..Ooc;.cc;okc' ,Xxlo' ,x' :o:,
.ko

```

...but were afraid to ask.

----- By Synonymous 1 -----

When the first news of the Ashley Madison hack broke, LiberTORian #6 was almost set for release and there was a small piece put in at the last minute before doing any research on the topic. Now over a month has passed and there is much to be known about the topic. An overview of the information will be presented here.

#### WHAT IS ASHLEY MADISON?

Ashley Madison is a web site that capitalizes on unfaithful people by helping them to set up and engage in affairs through its online tools and networks.

#### WHO WAS BEHIND THE ATTACK?

The hackers (or hacker) is known as IMPACT TEAM.

#### WHAT DID IMPACT TEAM DO?

Impact Team hacked the Ashley Madison database and in late July, 2015, announced their deed. They made de-

mands for Ashley Madison to close down their web site.

After one month, and no action on the part of Ashley Madison, Impact team released the records of Ashley Madison's 37-million user database. The information was released on August 18th.

Ashley Madison CEO Noel Biderman reacted to Impact team by acting aloof and dismissing them. Impact Team's response was to release a new hack including, but not limited to, all of his email correspondence. There was more information in this data dumps than all of the data of its users.

Strangely, one "respectable" news source actually identified Noel Biderman, the self-proclaimed King of infidelity as some morality crusader who verbally attacked Impact Team, and secretly held an Ashley Madison account! Strange.

#### WHAT DID THE ASHLEY MADISON RELEASE FROM IMPACT TEAM LOOK LIKE?

It looks like this:

IMPACT TEAM

Avid Life Media has failed to take down Ashley Madison and Established Men. We have explained the fraud, deceit, and stupidity of ALM and their members. Now everyone gets to see their data.

Find someone you know in here? Keep in mind the site is a scam with thousands of fake female profiles. See ashley madison fake profile lawsuit; 90-95% of actual users are male. Chances are your man signed up on the world's biggest affair site, but never had one. He just tried to. If that distinction matters.

Find yourself in here? It was ALM that failed you and lied to you. Prosecute them and claim damages. Then move on with your life. Learn your lesson and make amends. Embarrassing now, but you'll get over it.

Any data not signed with key 6E50 3F39 BA6A EAAD D81D ECFF 2437 3CD5 74AB AA38 is fake.

Following the message is a link to the .torrent file for the Ashley Madison dump.

#### WHY DID IMPACT TEAM HACK THE ASHLEY MADISON WEB SITE?

The above message provides some insight. Still, I'm unsure of their exact motive. They did reveal that most of the women's profiles were fakes created by the company. That is likely to provide a clue. It's not up to LiberTORian to provide an answer that's false just because we don't have the answer. But here are a few educated guesses, based on the content of the letter.

- 1) Impact Team was/were members who were burned by Ashley Madison's fraud and deceit.
- 2) Impact Team was aware of Ashley Madison's deceit and hacked its site as a form of online justice.
- 3) Impact Team had a member who was

cheated on, and Ashley Madison enabled it to happen.

- 4) Impact Team had a problem with the premise of enabling people to have affairs.

More research is required on LiberTORian's part. We would love to hear from a member of Impact Team, in fact, if they write something for LiberTORian, we'd publish it in its entirety. We're curious to get some insight.

#### WHERE CAN I GET THE TORRENT FILE?

<http://mywuwj5f76usg7eo.onion/stuff/impact-team-ashley-new-torrent.torrent>

Contact LiberTORian if the link is no longer available at the time of publication.

#### IS ANY OF THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE DEEP WEB?

```
.',,;'. ..:o;. . . . . 'dxdxxxxxdddolc;..
.',,;'. ..:o;. .:ldO0000kxool::;,'.. .oxdxxxxxddool:;..
.',,;'. ..:l:.....,ckKXNWWWNNXXK0kdddddxdxkOoxo:. .xxxxxxxddoolc;'.
.',,;'. ..:ldollO0KXNWWWMMWWNNXXK0kxdlllcc:clolloc;'. 'lxxxxxxxddoolc;'.
.,,;'. ..:lk00KKKXWWWMMWWNNXXK0xdolcccccc;';',,;ll;coddxxxxdddolc;'.
.',,;:lxOXWWWMMWWNNXXK0xddoollllcc:clolc:::coxxxxdddolc;'.
.',,;:l0WMMWWMMWWNNXXK0Okxxdxxxxdoolllodxkxd:..;:lxxxxdddolc;'.
.',,;:oKMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OkxxxxkkO00000OOkxdoooc;. .,ldxxdddolc;'.
.',,;:xNMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OkkkxxxxkkO0KXXKXK0Okdo;,. .,ldxdddolc;'.
.',,;:xWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOOkkO0O0000000OOkxxc;'. .cxddooc;'.
.',,;:oWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkkxdooc;'. .ldddoc;'.
.',,;:NMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkkxdoc;';',,;. .oddoc;'.
.',,;:cMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkkxdlc;';',,;. .odoc;'.
.',,;:;WMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdlc;'. '... 'ooc;'.
.',,;:XMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc;'. '... ,lc;'.
.',,;:oMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc;'. '... ;c;'.
.',,;:0MMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxolc;'. '... :;'.
.',,;:WMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkkdoc;'. '... ';;'.
.',,;:XMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkdool;'. '... .';'.
.',,;:0MMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdoc;'. '... ,;cc'.
.',,;:dMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdoc;'. '... ;c;'.
.',,;:NMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc, . . .co::c;'.
.',,;:oMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxolxkxdl; . . ;kNk; ...'.
.',,;:KWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . .kNXx:..
.',,;:cNMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . .c:'.
.',,;:XMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . .d0NMWWNOc'.
.',,;:OMMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . ,l0WMMWWNOc.
.',,;:cNMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . ,oNMMWWNOc;
.',,;:dWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . ,oXNNNNNNXXOd;
.',,;:xWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . ,cxKNNNNNNXXkc.
.',,;:kWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxdl; . . ,dXNNNNXXKXOd;
.',,;:;xNMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,kNNNNXXK0KXXk;
.',,;:ckNMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,l0XNNXXNNXXOc'.
.',,;:;xXMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,ck0XNWWWN0xo;'.
.',,;:;OWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,lkKXXNNNNKx1;'.
.',,;:;KWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,llkXNNNNXXKOd;'.
.',,;:;lXWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,lXWMMWWNNXXK0kdoc;'.
.',,;:;kWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,kWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;cXWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,cXWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;xWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,xWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;oNMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,oNMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;KMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,KMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;oXWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,oXWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;dKWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,dKWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;lkXWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,lkXWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;xXMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,xXMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;kNMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,kNMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;dKWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,dKWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
.',,;:;kWMMWWMMWWNNXXK0OOO0000000OOkxoc; . . ,kWMMWWNNXXK0dc;'.
(===== ( NOEL BIDERMAN ) =====)
```

MAGNET LINK TO ASHLEY MADISON DUMP TORRENT FILE magnet:?xt=urn:btih:40ae8a90de40ca3afa763c8edb43fc1fc47d75f1&dn=The+Complete+Ashley+Madison+Dump+from+the+Impact+Team&tr=udp%3A%2F%2Ftracker.openbittorrent.com%3A80&tr=udp%3A%2F%2Fopen.demonii.com%3A1337&tr=udp%3A%2F%2Ftracker.coppersurfer.tk%3A6969&tr=ud



There is a web site called ASHLEY MADISON DATABASE, which allows users enter a person's email address to discover if that address is in the user list. The URL is:

<http://cndezd67wnjzg37t.onion>

Curiously, it asks for \*your\* e-mail address as well as the one in question. It doesn't seem pertinent to the database, so perhaps the system returns results to the provided e-mail address. (LiberTORian never tried it out.) The list isn't readable, but searches the database for the address in the query.

ARE PEOPLE WHO USED A FAKE NAME OR ID SAFE FROM BEING EXPOSED?

No. Users had to provide their credit card or financial data to make payments to Avid Life. Those records are very real, searchable, and easily traced to their users.

I DOWNLOADED THE DATABASE FILE. I CAN'T OPEN IT. HOW CAN I OPEN IT?

Excellent question. Many curious people downloaded the information but have never encountered a .dump format file before.

A guide to opening the files has been made available at:

<http://kgcfwmjmf5tnfklq.onion>

Here is the text of that guide:

"The Guide

Hi, so this is a guide, how to open the Ashley Madison dump files released in July 2015.

1st

Download the dumps. Here is the magnet for the torrent. [see pg. 16]

2nd

Install WAMP server and install it if you don't have a MySQL server

running already. Here is the link. [<http://www.wampserver.com/en/#download-wrapper>]

3rd

Download and install MySQL Workbench, link here.

4th

Make sure WAMP is running and the SysTray icon is green. NOTE: If you are running Skype, turn it off!

5th

Run MySQL Workbench and select the running wampserver. When the interface is loaded, create a scheme named after one of the .dump.gz files.

6th

Open the .dump.gz file you want and extract the content (basically a .dump file).

7th

Get back to Workbench and on the left select Management->Data Import. Select Import from Self-Contained File and find the .dump file you extracted. NOTE: When looking for the file, in the File Type menu select All files. The default target schema has to be the schema you created before.

8th

Start the import. NOTE: It will take days to import, the dumps are huge. Repeat the same with all the other dump.gz files. Then you can see them in the left menu under Schemas->YourSchema->Tables->TheTableInThere ->

Click on the small Table icon that pops out when placing your mouse to the table name.

Thank you for visiting."

DO YOU HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS/ANSWERS?

If you have more to add to the topic of Ashley Madison, send plain text to LiberTORian at [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com). ((L))

~~~~~

LIBERTORIAN IS ACTIVIST LITERATURE!!

~~~~~

There is nothing passive about this publication. You are called to go beyond just reading. Have you noticed it yet? Since issue 1 we've encouraged you to conduct research, become engaged in your community, write, make telephone calls, teach, spread the wealth, help others, etc.

I hope you are not just passively reading LiberTORian. I, Synonymous 1, and the other regulars write because that's what we know how to do, but I also to the extent that I'm able, I do the things I ask of you, dear reader. Freedom won't be regained by watching others while we sit idly by. For the ones who are

~~~~~

Happy 100th Birthday, Raggedy Ann!!

~~~~~

Labor Day marked the 100th birthday of Raggedy Ann, the doll that young girls cherish. She was created by Richard Gruelle, for his daughter, Marcella. He also authored stories featuring her adventures (and that of her counterpart, Andy) for her

There is a story of Raggedy Ann not told on Wikipedia.

The 1910s suffered the first wave of progressivism in the school system. For the Gurelles it came in the form of administering new vaccines. Marcella's parents gave their daughter's school permission to provide her a vaccination. They did. But then the school took the initiative and gave a second dose of the same vaccine without their approval. The second dose was too much for her to fight off and she died at the young age of 13.

- By Synonymous 1 -

currently active are those OPPOSED to individual liberty, and they're on the move.

So seek deep within yourself, and if you are a prayerful person, do that. Discover where your talents lie and use them for the benefit of others. For it is by helping others that we make life better for ourselves.

As libertarians, we don't have to do anything we don't wish to, but paradoxically, we do have the responsibility to defend the freedoms we believe in, or else we lose them.

So, what are you going to do? ((L))

- By G.P.S. -

After the poor girl's death, Raggedy Ann became a symbol of an anti-vaccination movement. This was lost to history.

This story is an example of government taking the welfare of children away from parents and into its own hands. It happens today; Obama "care" and the "Free Jessica" story out of Boston are two tragic examples. Jessica, under different conditions, almost suffered Marcella's fate. It also raises the issue of parental rights regarding inoculation. Just like using a safety belt or not, it's the parents' right to determine in their best judgment what is the best way to care for their children, short of neglect or inflicting harm.

So the next time you see a Raggedy Ann doll, thank her for standing up for individual rights! ((L))





\* AFTER \*

Four months have passed since Price was hailed as a demi-god by the liberal establishment. Things have turned out as expected for Gravity Payments, but the media that lavished praises upon the company will let the story to fade into obscurity on their good note, rather than retract their assessment of Dan Price.

The employees are becoming disgruntled and quitting Gravity Payments. One ex-employee in particular, named Maisey McMaster, worked through thick and thin at Gravity Payments. She began at a much lower entry-level wage. For years she sacrificed time with her family, working hard instead, until she attained the position of Financial Manager. Under the new policy, she earns very little more than she already does, but she watches unskilled people who just began working there get their pay increased to what she makes. The people are inexperienced, unskilled, and unable to do what she does. "He gave raises to people who have the least skills," she noted, "and the ones who are taking on the most didn't get much of a bump!"

Paying \$70000 a year, equal to \$34 per hour, means that Gravity Payments will have to raise their costs to their clients, which makes them unable to be competitive in the marketplace. Clients are already leaving the company, which only increases the percentage of profit earmarked for payroll. The entire system is unsustainable.

Gravity Payments' stockholders see a doomed business model and are pulling their investments. Who would invest in a business that doesn't make a profit? Paying everyone the same amount is revolutionary to some, but for those with common sense, it is an example of how *\*not\** to run a business!

Gravity Payments is on the road to folding. But it has other problems

too. Dan Price has a brother, Lucas Price. Lucas is a minority owner in the company who is now suing his brother. He has made claims that he has been denied benefits that are part of the policy for his company for being a minority owner. He's also suing over enacting a policy that eliminates the company's profit and willingly sets it on a course of destruction. The lawsuit came days after the change was announced. Gravity Payments is being crushed under the gravity of its own self-created troubles.

\* The Libertarian View \*

Dan Price is free to run Gravity Payments any way he wishes, including in such a way that it regulates itself out of the marketplace. The company doesn't have a responsibility to employ its employees. A business is not a charity. It is in business to sustain itself and provide goods or services to people who seek them in order to do so. Dan Price shouldn't be rewarded by the government for his incompetence; the taxpayers are not a charity, either. Gravity Payments' left-wing philosophy may just garner the esteemed title of "too big to fail" from the kakistocracy.

\* The minimum wage swindle \*

Dan Price's persistence on providing equality was partly based on his wish to raise the minimum wage. The minimum wage issue is a way the left wing (and I include George W. Bush in that category) tugs at the heartstrings of people who don't understand economics. However, it is first-year rudimentary economics that it is detrimental to everyone. It is a sad commentary that relatively few people understand this. But who is at fault? The people for their ignorance, or the school system who failed them on purpose? I ordinarily blame the individual, but no one is libertarian overnight. As children, most of us don't know to question things we're taught in

school.

FACT: only 2% of people earn the minimum wage. Most of those people receive raises as they become more experienced. The minimum wage is for people first entering the work force for the first time in their field, until they gain experience.

Now, let's apply Gravity Payments' model to an entire society. Assume that President Obama issued one of his famous unconstitutional decrees that all people must be paid exactly \$70000 a year. What would happen?

Firstly, since people are guaranteed their pay regardless of the amount of work they do, everyone would do as little work as possible. Performance rates will dramatically drop.

Pay equality now means that the work of everyone is considered of equal value, whether that person is serving burgers or performing brain surgery. Now who would want to become a brain surgeon, when their work is considered of no more value than a teenager working at McDonalds? No one would invest their time and rack up government loans to become a brain surgeon. What's the point, when you earn just as much dropping out of school to be a gas station attendant?

By the way, when Obama "care" is fully implemented, all doctors and specialists' pay will be determined by the government, and all doctors will be paid the same, regardless of the training or skills required. Communism at work!

Not only will the more valued jobs become scarce, the lowest-level jobs will be the ones in demand! America would become a slouch society. It's already becoming one, with welfare made to look more glamorous than work creating an imbalanced system where more and more people are living off fewer and fewer taxpayers.

This is the problem with the minimum wage. It is artificially imposed by the government and interferes with economic nature. Anytime the minimum wage increases, for the smallest of small businesses, (the ones the left loves until they become too successful,) it means the difference between remaining in business or closing their doors forever. If the business you invested all your money and time into happens to be at the government raises the minimum wage, well, it looks like you're out in the cold. With all the talk of "fairness" from politicians, this flies in the face of fairness.

Like guns, if you make paying a person below the minimal wage a crime, only criminals will pay people the minimum wage. So is the case with businesses who employ illegal aliens to avoid the law. Doing so takes jobs from people who lost their jobs due to the minimum wage hike. Of course, the politicians turn a blind eye because illegal immigration is a means for illegal votes for some.

In short, the effects of a minimum wage increase, in order:

- o Smallest businesses lose their businesses
- o Employees struggling the most lose their jobs
- o Higher ranking employees demand more
- o Additional costs cause businesses to raise their prices to compensate
- o Overall, the cost of living increases
- o More businesses seek to employ illegal immigrants to skirt the law
- o The unemployment rate rises, and the available jobs for legal citizens contracts.

Parts of California have increased their minimum wage to an unsustainable \$15 per hour, a state that is on a suicide course anyway. Please learn from the tragedy of Dan Price and always remember that the amount one is paid means something. ((L))

#####

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

coladrik.txt

#####

## COLA GUIDE FOR THE '80s

This file probably dates to the mid-1980s, right after Coca-Cola switched to New Coke and back again in 1985. For some reason, "Like Cola", released by the 7-Up company in 1982, is missing from the list. The file is in ALL CAPS, which normally indicates was typed on an Apple computer or another classic computer that defaulted to caps, but the BBS information is still in normal format. The author, THE PHANTOM was a prolific writer of BBS text files. Enjoy this blast from the past!

\*\*\*\*\*

## COLA GUIDE FOR THE 80'S

THIS IS A COMPLETE GUIDE TO THE MAJOR COLAS ON THE MARKET MIDWAY THROUGH THE 80'S. WITH ALL OF THE CHANGES THE COCA COLA COMPANY IS MAKING, THE CUTTING COMERCIALS THAT PEPSI IS MAKING, AND THE COME-BACK RC COLA IS TRYING TO MAKE, A COOL REFRESHMENT ON A WARM DAY CAN BE VERY CONFUSING.

THIS IS ONLY A GUIDE OF THE  
COLAS, NOT OTHER SOFT-DRINKS, LIKE  
BIG RED AND SPRITE

COLA (KO`LE), n. A CARBONATED SOFT DRINK HAVING A SYRUP BASE MADE FROM THE DRIED LEAVES OF THE COCA PLANT AND THE SEEDS OF KOLA NUTS, TOGETHER WITH SWEETENERS AND OTHER FLAVORINGS.

I WILL START WITH COCA COLA. THIS COMPANY STARTED WITH A BEVRAGE CALLED COKE, WITCH IS BELIEVED TO HAVE ONCE CONTAINED COCAINE. AS THE FIRST 99 YEARS PASSED (ENDING IN 1985), COKE KEPT ITS ORIGINAL RECIPE, AND PRODUCED OTHER POPULAR SOFT DRINKS:

COKE-> A SEMI-SWEET DRINK WITH A 'CARBONATION-BITE'.

AMERICANS, THOUGH, WANTED A SUGAR-FREE DRINK TO KEEP THIER WASTE-LINES TRIM, THUS COCA COLA WAS FORCED TO PRODUCE ANOTHER DRINK.

TAB-> A SUGAR-FREE DIET DRINK PRODUCED TO TASE LIKE COKE, BUT WAS FAR-SWEETER.

DIET COKE-> A COKE WITH A NUTRA-SWEET REPLACEMENT FOR SUGAR THAT IS ALSO SWEETER THAN COKE.

CAFFEINE FREE DIET COKE-> A DIET COKE WITHOUT CAFFEINE.

COME EARLY 1985, THOUGH, COCA COLA DECIDED TO CHANGE ITS ORIGINAL RECIPE, AND CALL THE DRINK 'NEW COKE'. BUT AFTER MONTHS OF COMPLAINT, COCA COLA ANNOUNCED THAT IT WOULD BRING BACK OLD COKE, WITH THESE PRODUCT NAMES:

COKE CLASSIC-> THE ORIGINAL COKE.

COKE-> THE NEWER, SWEETER, COKE.

FINALLY, COCA COLA ALSO DECIDED TO CREATE A NEW COLA THAT IS BASED IN COKE'S HISTORY. MANY BAR'S AND TAPS IN THE PAST DECADES HAVE ADDED EITHER CHERRY OR VANILA FLAVORS TO ADD FLAVOR TO THE ORIGINAL COLA, BRINGING ABOUT:

CHERRY COKE-> THE ORIGINAL FLAVOR OF COKE WITH A CHERRY FLAVORING, MY PERSONAL FAVORITE, AFTER DRINKING IT FOR ONLY ONE WEEK.

'HAVE A COKE AND A SMILE'

NEXT, I WILL DISCUSS PEPSI COLA. PEPSI COLA WAS PUT ON THE MARKET SHORTLY AFTER COCA COLA. PEPSI HAS, THOUGH HAS CHANGED ITS RECIPE ABOUT FOUR TIMES WHILE OUT ON THE MARKET.

PEPSI-> A SOFT-DRINK THAT IS NOT AS CARBONATED AS COCA COLA, YET BASICALLY THE SAME.

PEPSI COLA ALSO FACED THE SAME SITUATION COCA COLA DID, AND THEY TO WERE FORCED TO PRODUCE DIET DRINKS:

DIET PEPSI-> A SUGAR-FREE SOFT-DRINK (WITH NUTRA-SWEET). IT IS SWEETER THAN PEPSI.

DIET PEPSI FREE-> A SUGAR FREE/CAFFEINE FREE SOFT-DRINK (ALSO WITH NUTRA-SWEET). IT TOO IS SWEETER THAN PEPSI.

PEPSI FREE-> A CAFFEINE FREE SOFT-DRINK THAT IS SWEETER THAN PEPSI.

'PEPSI, THE TASTE OF A NEW GENERATION'

NEITHER COKE NOR PEPSI STARTED WITH INTENTIONS OF BEING SOFT-DRINKS. NO, THEY WERE INTENDED AS 'WONDER DRUGS' INSTEAD. THEY WERE SAID TO BE ABLE TO CURE ANYTHING, BUT THEY WERE CHANGED TO SOFT-DRINKS, WHERE THEY SOON BECAME RIVALS.

AFTER THESE TWO COMPANIES RULED THE MARKET, A NEW SOFT DRINK CAME OUT WITH A MUCH SWEETER AND LESS CARBONATED TASTE. THIS SOFT DRINK TRIED TO GAIN A PLACE ON THE MARKET WITH ONE MAIN PRODUCT INSTEAD OF FOUR TO FIVE OR THEM. THIS DRINK GOES THROUGH PHASES OF POPULARITY.

ROYAL CROWN COLA-> A SWEET, LESS CARBONATED SOFT DRINK, PROBABLY PUT ON THE MARKET TO FURTHER CONFUSE US.

ROYAL CROWN COLA ALSO FACED THE SAME PROBLEM THAT COKE AND PEPSI DID, IT ALSO WAS FORCED TO PRODUCE A DIET DRINK, BUT THIERS WAS ALSO SODIUM FREE.

DIET RITE-> A SUGAR FREE, CAFFEINE FREE, AND SODIUM FREE SOFT DRINK.

'SOME PEOPLE GO OUT OF THIER WAY FOR AN RC'

I HOPE THAT THIS GUIDE HAS HELPED SLIGHTLY, IF NOT CONFUSED YOU GREATLY. TAKE MY ADVICE AND STICK TO THE ORIGINAL, LESS CONFUSING DRINK:



WATER-> A TASTELESS, CARBONLESS, AND CALORIELESS DRINK CREATED BEFORE THE HOMO SAPIEN.

--THE PHANTOM

X-----X

Another file downloaded from: NIRVANAnet(tm)

& the Temple of the Screaming Electron	Jeff Hunter	510-935-5845
Rat Head	Ratsnatcher	510-524-3649
Burn This Flag	Zardo	408-363-9766
realitycheck	Poindexter Fortran	415-567-7043
Lies Unlimited	Mick Freen	415-583-4102

Specializing in conversations, obscure information, high explosives, arcane knowledge, political extremism, diversive sexuality, insane speculation, and wild rumours. ALL-TEXT BBS SYSTEMS.

Full access for first-time callers. We don't want to know who you are, where you live, or what your phone number is. We are not Big Brother.

"Raw Data for Raw Nerves"

X-----X

~~~~~

At last! LibertORian Public PGP Key - By Synonymous 1 -

~~~~~

It took forever, but I'm figuring out PGP and how it works. Thanks go to many sources, but Quantum 7765 is one of those sources. So I'm trying out this: the Public PGP key for Synonymous 1. Dear reader, why don't you send me an encrypted email?

The email address is synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----  
Version: GnuPG v2

iQEcBAEBCAAGBQJV65NZAAoJEBwu5qy1IhluzggH/ifOP2jpY5U20b+qr7p6qHJS  
t4KaJpYcbRNoVE4AgXlZSPP/Qk7gKePd7SKJNHeDXzPnl+jMU8tgeYLq6VWqhJB/  
pYLOHext/nVDhbREuY+KGHk7WNm81AEi0fcwkisSZF3XsH91escZX4r52XqR8Yx1  
5A314AZSQoNGvdr4aBUvE6zbRc9kt6elOqlZyMLJcE0I10uyJVfQx4hpsSAdxriO  
rfK+pnwltxr7GUugeQCYvNKxV/9+qg08vabKYkDJMBcdioii64xqq61AAVOW/I8x  
6hgah1DjohiQJTDXGlbtoxFZu2w3f94cSbEl2QF0uq92OyJPQwnMz/09sgRholc=  
=9cJr

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

~~~~~

HELP SWEET CAKES BY MELISSA!

~~~~~

If you remember, the company Sweet Cakes by Melissa is being harassed and persecuted by the state of Oregon, because they exercised their Constitutional and libertarian right to refuse to bake a cake for a homosexual marriage. 1) This is a violation of the First Amendment, as the cake is part of a ceremony that is morally wrong to Sweet Cakes by Melissa's religious convictions. They have made cakes for homosexual couples and will, but they refuse to make one for part of a ceremony that they oppose for religious reasons. 2) As a libertarian, a person, their company, or whoever has a right to refuse any business anyway. The government has no right trying to compel people to perform any kind of labor that they wish not to do.

Let's put the shoe on the other foot now. Imagine if a homosexual baker was told to make a cake for some religious group, and the cake was in celebration of traditional values. The baker was told to write, "homosexuality is a reprehensible sin" on it. I stand with the baker's right to refuse to write on the cake a message they don't believe in. A confection is someone's art. It is no different than being forced to create art for a cause you abhor.

(Actually, it's reaching the point that the hypocrites in the U.S. government are punishing Christians for even stating that homosexuality is a sin.)

LibertORian wanted to help by having a cake made by Sweet Cakes by Melissa. They no longer make cakes, but are being charged \$135,000 for exercising their rights. They send the below form letter to those who contact them. Why not reach out to them with a donation? ((L))

-----  
Thank you for your email. We have received an overwhelming amount of emails, and unfortunately we are not able to respond to each one.

Thank you to each person who has so generously supported our family at this time. If you are wondering how or where to donate, you can at [helpsweetcakesbymelissa@continuetogive.com](mailto:helpsweetcakesbymelissa@continuetogive.com)  
Or p.o box 126 Sandy or 97055

We continue to believe that all Americans should be free to live by their faith at home and in the work place without fear of being punished by the government. We also will not be silenced. We will fight with everything we have. The overwhelming response to our story reminds us that so many Americans believe this too. Thank you again for your support, and God bless.

Melissa and Aaron Klein

Subject: God bless and thank you Re:  
From: "Melissa Klein" <[sweetcakeslovesgod@gmail.com](mailto:sweetcakeslovesgod@gmail.com)>  
Date: Thu, July 9, 2015 11:29 pm  
To: [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)  
Priority: Normal

-----  
PS From LibertORian: I'd publish an article like this for a gay baker if it was open season on them, too.

~~~~~

BUT WHAT ABOUT... POLYGAMY?

~~~~~

The libertarian position on polygamy is consistent with that of all marriage in general.

Marriage is older than government. Marriage is a religious ceremony. The government has no business with religion.

There should be:

- o No marriage licenses
- o No tax penalties for being married
- o No civil ceremonies for marriages
- o No different treatment of anyone based on marital status

People should have the right to marry in accordance with their religious beliefs.

Some religions consider marriage a bond between one man and one woman.

Examples:

- o Judaism
- o Catholicism
- o Baptist

Clergy and holy people reserve the right to hold marriage rites according to their faith. In essence, marriage is a rite, not a right. Freedom to marry does not exist in the U.S. Constitution, but the freedom exists in the First Amendment as the freedom of religion.

Some religions permit homosexual marriage:

Examples:

- o "Orthodox" Episcopal
- o Unitarian

Again, because there is freedom of religion, every faith has the right to marry whomever their beliefs allow.

- By Synonymous 1 -

Then there's polygamy.

The view is just as consistent. A religion that allows a person to be married to more than one other person shouldn't be told by any government that they may not have that right.

Examples:

- o Islam
- o Certain Mormon sects

If one's religion sees polygamy as just, and all those involved are consensual and adults, why should a state come in and deny the right?

The hypocrisy of the in-your-face #lovewins movement is glaring: they refuse to support the polygamist movement. This is despite the fact that every argument the gay marriage movement used, which carry little legal weight, can be applied to the case for polygamy, and usually with better standing. Replace "traditional marriage" with "gay rights" & "gay" with "more than two people", and ask how you can have it both ways.

Example:

- o Why is it that those bigoted, closed-minded traditional marriage people won't allow to gay people equal rights to love each other?

BECOMES

- o Why is it that those bigoted, closed-minded gay marriage people won't allow more than two people equal rights to love each other?

Good question. In the end, they are the least tolerant among us. Keep the government out of marriage. Let religions allow whatever type of marriage they subscribe to. ((L))

~~~~~

D. N .S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

~~~~~

- By Synonymous 1 -

The new 5.x TOR browsers compensate for an exploit that allows tyrants in the government to discover the IP addresses of people who open PDF files while on the deep web. This is a milestone in security, just as NoScript was. Keep in mind that it is always unsafe to open a PDF file while on the deep web. LibertORian is available in both .txt and PDF formats. .txt has proven to be safe but some people prefer the PDF version. Be advised that you should NEVER OPEN THE PDF VERSION OF LIBERTORIAN WHILE ONLINE OR WHILE USING TOR! Always download it, but only open it while offline. Even with the new protection offered by TOR, it's always best to err on the side of caution.

The PDF version of LibertORian is actually pretty useful. The images in LibertORian are created entirely with ANSI text. If you resize the view of the PDF issue to 16.7% and look at the pictures, they look much more like the images in your typical magazines.

It takes a lot of work to make an issue of LibertORian and to present the information in a timely manner. Reflecting on my work, I think I did a fairly good job. You may disagree. Let me know. But my point is that some issues, like Planned Parenthood, are ongoing. The Center for Medical Progress is constantly creating new twists to the story. So if you are on top of things, you may find parts of LibertORian a review.

Did you know that sometimes I type this into my text program as I'm writing:

12345612345612345612345612345623456  
?

I    The page that I type LibertORian on  
n    has an 80-column width. When the  
e    page is divided into two columns, 36  
v    characters span each column with an  
e    8-character divider. Those numbers  
r    are used for me as a guide so that I  
t    don't exceed the 36-character limit.  
y    For column 2, I don't have to worry  
p    about that. I just count 8 spaces  
e    from the rightmost edge of column 1  
a    and begin column 2. All the lines  
n    below the first are easy to line up.

y    I received an email from one of the  
t    Portuguese-speaking readers. S/he  
h    was upset that the formatting, for  
i    plain text, does not lend itself too  
n    well with Google Translate. While  
g    it may be frustrating and I want you  
i    to be able to read this, It pleases  
n    me that Google gagged and hopefully  
t    threw up on this text! That company  
h    is so evil! We hope to see a Portu-  
i    guese translation in the future, but  
s    the guys at Underchan are at work  
m    converting this magazine to Spanish.

i    In the next issue, I hope to publish  
s    something from Howard Thompson, who  
m    founded the game company METAGAMING  
i    CONCEPTS in the mid-1970s and then  
d    disappeared from public life around  
d    1983. He is a libertarian (and, I  
l    think, an atheist), and one of his  
e    writings is uncannily prophetic. In  
c    LibertORian, the article will be re-  
o    printed and analyzed for it's accur-  
l    acy at predicting a future history.

u    If you don't do anything else, can  
m    you please take a bit of time and  
n    share the LibertORian onion URL with  
!    people up there on clearnet (or  
!    at whatever depth you are). As the  
!    number of readers increases, the  
    potential for change does as well.  
    Remember, it's:

http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion

- - - E N D        O F        F I L E - - -

http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion

LIBERTY

MAGAZINE

OSCAR

October 2015

```

.;:l:'.. .cox ,10Nc...XO,..,kK
.';;' .. ,lldkkKXO:. :x. 'kK, .KNxdc .N0kxkKNW:
dd:,;ckO, 'xKkxx0XXOc ,0Oodx0NN: cNx. .okxkkxKXl
:WK000XNMXc ;l...:oXXl .kWKddkxNMK: ' ;o.'..c:oooOOd.
;kXxxOkkWWXo .:cckOX0 ;0WNkxkKWWX0. c. .loldx0k.
oOxkOxW0Oc .:xc..'':l0kc' .0XNNWNXWNNNNNk .:kkNW0xok0XXo.
cxXNNNNK0l'. .kXMMMMMXKXMMMMMMWk. :NWNx .kWMO. cXMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMNO
,xO;;,;ckWMMMMNNWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMK .kkXMK. .xWWd. oMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
KMMMMMMWWMNKNWX0KMMMMMXKWMKWXWMMWWMMMMo.k;;,xc. . ;WMx;MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
MMO0K:Mc: , WMMMo ,X x,dM,oMMMMNckl. 0NXd ,WN0 dWdXMMMMMX'NklMkcoWN0KMMM
MMO.X.0x...;'X kM00Nc'd.k ,0.x.WMdKWOXWMM0.0l N'kx.M. docKk0Wx k;;o c ox KMMM
MMM,o::X d.'kM'cM0:OK.:Oo. N: OMW;N; .;xN0XOlN.O: W, c: .,;W: c.o.cM.l;;MNxN
MMMX.x X.ol;.oc.MW:xKc':;. kk WMW.: .. .k0NXx0.0,.M' ,,,Oc ,.oW., d kN d.oW;.d
MMWdl.:c:;;.l XMcXx.l,':.ck NMMc ,;00Nk kcO:'M. ' ;'dk c,xX 0. d XO x 00,.o
':O:. ; .K'.;K'OMdWX. d.oc,k XXO:. ,;dWKNXk0.:NXk ll;OX o;00 W;.k O,.k.Wx,'d
..lWdoN0ONMMMMMMMM0NMMMMMMMM0;. ..lxlcl,. .. ;k:0N o:NWKMKkWc.:KlXMO;ck
.. 'NMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMWWMMMMMMO. .xl' .OcXK lcWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMO:Ox
..OMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMO ,N, ' ,':lxOWKdN0 ccNMMMMMMMMMMMMMMxoX;
.cWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMN. ,Nc . .WMMMMMXOkWk o:dNWMMMMMMMMMMNcxK.
,;OWMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMo oWx.' ,; ;WMMMNkxXMo ddKXKNWMMWNWXOlloK
.l:oXMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMX . KWx0Mk0. ;MMMMWMMW0' .ONWXNWMWNXWXXklxX:
.oXOXMMMMMMMMMMMMMMWM: . .xOWMMWMO NMMMMMl kWWNNMMWWXWXXWXX.
.OWMMMMMMMMMMMMMdX d. .oWMMNXKMW. cMMMMMM kWNWNWXkNWXXWXXW0,
;MMMMMMMMMMMMMX.c. oONMMWKKKW; ,WMMMMMM' 0WNWWWMoCWNNNNNNO,
OMMMMMMMMMMMMo.oWo. ;XMMN0ONMX. kMMWNWNko. kMWMMO.;WNNXNml'
:MMMMMMWMMMMO ,WMWd ,odkOdI, .lXMMMNxWl xWMMW, cWNWNMN,.
.MMMMMWMMMMk. kMMMM dWMMMMMMNNN. oNNNN. dNNWWWk.

```

ALSO: AGENDA 2030 <> OVERCHAN 2 and 3 <> HOWARD THOMPSON of METAGAMING CONCEPTS  
(PICTURED: The band NEGATIVLAND. The words on each shirt are JUST/SAY/BO/NO!)

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
/	/
It's a table! Get it?	

ACQUIRING RELAY.....	3 YET AGAIN
LETTERS TO LIBERTORIAN.....	4 AGAIN
CORREKCTION.....	4
SUPPORT LIBERTORIAN.....	4
1 OF 3 WAYS	
NON-SEQUITIR.....	5
DEVO IS DEVO.....	5
DEEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY.....	6
Hidden Answers	
ARTICLE.....	7
Privacy Protection: Referral Links	
BLURB.....	7
-YOU CAN- Trick the Post Office	
POSTER.....	8
Yes We Scan	
FEATURE.....	9
Agenda 2030	
FEATURE.....	11
Negativland: Musical Anarchy	
ARTICLE.....	15
What you Are Not Being Told	
BLURB: No B.S. ....	16
BLURB.....	16
People Are Discussing LibertORian	
ARTICLE.....	17
25 Top Federal Politicians	
Receiving \$\$\$ From Planned Predators	
NON-SEQUITIR.....	18
ARTICLE.....	19
What is Overchan? 2 and 3	
CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY.....	20
disk-fee.txt	

~~ L i B e r t O r I a N ~~	
-- Volume 1	--- Issue 9 ---
October, 2015	
A Publication of Synonymous 1.	
Published August, 2015.	
--	
LibertORian is published on an	
irregular basis.	
N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,	
actually encouraged, to copy and	
distribute this text by any means	
possible, preferably by photocopy-	
ing.	
LIBERTORIAN HAS A DEEP WEB SITE:	
http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion	
(Thanks to an Onionland user.	
The views expressed in LibertORian	
aren't necessarily those of the	
webmaster. That's fine with us	
libertarians.	
CORRESPONDENCE:	
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com	
Send submission & letters to	
LibertORian at the above address.	
DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:	
12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s	
Even a millibitcoin!	
(.001 BTC)	
DON'T HURT PEOPLE	
AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF.	

BLURB.....	23
Chris Christie's Statist Solution	
FEATURE.....	24
The Prophecy of Howard Thompson	
D.N.S. LOOKUP FAILED.....	28

#####

## A C Q U I R I N G       R E L A Y

> } - by Synonymous 1 - { <

#####

Sometimes there are topical issues that we just don't want to cover... and the Iran nuke deal is an example of one. We also haven't talked much about ISIS in these pages. It's not that they aren't important issues we face: it's almost an existential decision we all must address each on an individual basis. By that, I mean that some really dark times are upon us, and we will have to choose what kind of people we are.

The reason for the aversion on this topic is twofold: One, the choice is so clear, and the topic discussed to such an extent that anything brought up here would be redundant. Second, the United States, at least now, has no business confronting ISIS. That is because our leadership doesn't understand WHY terrorists are evil, and have aided them with money, arms and negotiation. I dare to say that they are on their side, and doing all in their power to enable them! Beyond that, how can we go into some other country's territory and fight them when our own house is in order? Would not some other nation have the authority to invade America because we murder babies for profit? We are doing things Nazis only dreamed of in Planned Predator mills all across the fruited plain. Wouldn't a nation with moral authority be justified to wage war with America in the name of the weakest among us?

We are a mess. Throughout the whole world, there is no prevailing train of thought, at least in government, that liberty should be paramount. It's up to us to sweep out the mess in Washington, and that means both political parties, fumigate the White House and congress, and start fixing things then. The pendulum swang too far in one direction and everything's turned upside down. Either way, it will balance itself.

When that time comes, we must name evil for what it is, confront it, and vanquish. And we are to name those who oppose us, from standing with Planned Parenthood to turning a blind eye when children are being crucified on the beach!

Yeah, it's that kind of a column. I remember reading a thoroughly horrible novel from Arthur C. Clarke called \_3001\_. The best part of the entire book was the part that was revealed in the capsule previews! And yeah, it is in the 2001 series. In it he dwells on calling the 20th century the most violent decade in history, and it was so far, and that even excludes all those killed by communism and Nazism, almost dismissed by Clarke in his notes since those murders were in the name of Godlessness. In his version of the 31st century, Earth is enlightened (i.e: secular humanist/Statist) since 2001 (The book is from the 1990s). Not that it's a hope of a dream of us guys?/girls? at LiberTORian, but we think that the next century will make the 20th seem very moot.

Changing gears, LiberTORian has experienced a recent surge with the Spanish-speaking deep web users, and that's really fascinating, because as of the release of issue 8, only 1 issue of LiberTORian has been translated into Spanish! We welcome you, Dear Readers, and LiberTORian is gracious for the recent attention you've brought the magazine on your clearnet social media. We're seeking readers who can translate this to Portuguese, as there is a segment of readers who consider it their native language. If LiberTORian gets donations, the bitcoins will go to translations. Whatever language you speak, freedom is for everyone. We are happy to have your support!((L))

```

-----
| -----                ## |
| -- ---                ## |
|
|           Letters
|           to
|       LibertORian
|
-----

```

Hello Synonymyous 1,  
 I came across your Libertorian news-  
 letters and find them very inter-  
 esting. All of your opinions I've  
 read so far, I would echo. keep up  
 the good work! I'm right there with  
 you on the planned "parenthood"  
 issue, principles of America's  
 founding, personal freedom, etc.  
 it's refreshing to read your content  
 in contrast with all the corruption  
 touted about elsewhere on Tor.

I am looking for a site where I can  
 search the ashley Madison data with  
 a name or address. Do you know if  
 one such exists? I'd rather not  
 download the torrent. Do you know if  
 the file might be available in a  
 format more useable [sic] for a lay-  
 man? I'm pretty new with tor, and my  
 skills and experience are pretty  
 limited so far.

Thanks in advance for any help! and  
 I look forward to reading and  
 learning more from your newsletters!

So long,

-Patriot

DEAR PATRIOT

So glad that you enjoy the magazine,  
 and agree with so much content. Be  
 free to enjoy even parts where you  
 don't agree, if any.

Only the one site, that mentioned in  
 LibertORian 8, was one that I and  
 G.P.S. found containing a searchable  
 Ashley Madison database. But, the  
 mainstream press claims others exist  
 (and wouldn't provide links.)

Other LibertORian readers who may  
 know of their existence may come to  
 your aid by writing in.

I do agree that LibertORian is a re-  
 freshing alternative to much of the  
 deep web's content, such as hitmen  
 and/or alleged hitmen, counterfeiter  
 sites, and other content. Of course  
 I'm a little biased toward Liber-  
 TORian. Not all of onionland is bad  
 but the media hypes the worst of it.

Feel free to write an article on a  
 topic that concerns you for publi-  
 cation. The more voices, the better  
 for the cause of freedom. If you  
 can't do that, share the existence  
 of LibertORian with your online so-  
 cial networks. Thanks!

```

#####
          \ /
        C O R R E K   T I O N
          / \^C
#####

```

From LibertORian 1 up to this point,  
 (except that I got it right in #6,) I  
 referred to Edward Snowden as ERIC  
 SNOWDEN. This was purely my fault.  
 If you printed out the "Eric Snow-  
 den" poster from issue 3, please re-  
 place the word ERIC with EDWARD, and  
 reprint it. Also, delete one space  
 before the E in EDWARD, so that the  
 name is properly centered. ((L))

```

#####
SUPPORT LIBERTORIAN ONE OF 3 WAYS!
#####

```

```

#####

```

- 1) HOST LIBERTORIAN WITH A DOT ONION  
 SITE. Contact LibertORian.
- 3) TRANSLATE LIBERTORIAN TO YOUR NA-  
 TIVE LANGUAGE if it isn't English  
 (send translation to LibertORian)
- 2) SPREAD THE WORD. Post LibertOR-  
 ian URLs all over social media  
 and the like whenever you surface  
 to clearnet. ((L))



~~~~~  
&  
& NON-SEQUITUR &  
&  
~~~~~

I saw a bumper sticker on the car in front of me, with this bumper sticker statement:

| If you say that guns don't kill  
| people one more time, I will shoot  
| you with my gun, and you will  
| (coincidentally) die.

Thinking about this, I realized that this could be either a pro-gun or an anti-gun message. I'm really unsure which it is! I'm betting on an anti gun message. But whichever side you want to take on this, it is one of the funniest pieces of humor either way!

~~~~~  
&  
& MORE DEVO! or DEVO IS DEVO &  
&  
~~~~~

Devo was mentioned in the last issue of LiberTORian, but now the band got in the news. It looks like the lead singer and synthesizer player, Mark Mothersbaugh, held a wedding on the anniversary of 9/11. So here's the deal: They decided to have a wedding cake in the form of the twin towers, and had the guests cut into it with the box cutter blade.

Stupid move.

But so what? Did they hurt someone with the cake? No. Did they steal the cake from someone? Nope. Did Devo offend people with this stunt? Maybe. Most of us are too busy with other stuff to stop and get offended about it. And last time I checked, there is no right to not be offended by things. That's just something people on the left pretends to exist for themselves, and not others.

The philosophy of the band Devo is

the concept of de-evolution. They created a mythos that mankind is regressing toward ape-like behavior, as the human race grows increasingly stupid in their actions. Devo talks often in lyrics on observations of human behavior that supports their theory. They could add a song about this wedding to their repertoire.

You see, this was either a private event, which would make it total devo, because who would really make this their wedding? The bride must be really regretful... or, since the musician is a hi-profile figure, felt that a publicity stunt was in order to attract controversy. Once again, I'm sure the bride wasn't too keen on it, unless it was her idea!

Again, one dumb thing.

Only because it's a dumb way to pull a publicity stunt. I don't think for a minute that the band has sympathies for the 9/11 hijackers. Now people will perceive that they do. It's also stupid, because I guess it is really supposed to be shocking? Really? It's a cake. It's not going to attract more than a blip of controversy before people return to dealing with real problems. I bet this is the one and only time many people even hear about it: right in the pages of LiberTORian.

So, yeah, you're not going to hear anything from me except that I respect and will stand up in their defense to have that cake and make it into some art. As long as the baker who made the cake wasn't forced to make it if it violated their wishes, let them eat cake.

-Freedom of choice is what you want  
-Freedom from choice is what you got

(Chorus to "Freedom of Choice" by  
Devo (1980)) (L)

~~~~~

Don't hurt people  
and don't take their stuff.



#####

## PRIVACY PROTECTION: REFERRAL LINKS

#####

This tip is quick but makes a big difference. It keeps web sites from knowing where you've been by blocking \*referral links\*. My explanation may sound like kindergarten to experienced people, but remember: I am new to so much of this so when we write for LibertORian, we try to explain such that the information is accessible to people at all levels.

When you click a link, the web site that provided the link is the \*referrer\*, and the location of the web site you were viewing when you click the link can be seen by others. The location is the \*referral link\*.

The referral link has the potential to reveal a user's IP address, too.

TOR runs on a Mozilla Firefox engine so you can disable your TOR browser just as you would on Mozilla. This can be done in 3 easy steps:

- 1) In the browser's URL bar, type:  
about:config (ignore the warning)
- 2) Double-click the text:  
Network.http.sendRefererHeader
- 3) Set the option for this to:  
0- Disable Referrer

Now your browser will protect your referral information from being revealed when you click links.

Now, let's say that you're on a system that you're unable to change the settings on, or are stuck using a browser that forbids blocking of the referral links: Internet Explorer, for instance. Or even worse: Google Chrome! You can bypass the referral link by highlighting and copying the URL (or right-clicking the link to copy it from the right-click menu). Then paste the URL right into the browser URL window and... Voila!

- By Synonymous 1 -

You avoided the referral link! An alternate solution is to stop usage of those browsers.

DO YOU HAVE A SECURITY TIP, EITHER ONLINE OR IN ACTIVE LIFE?

Send it to us! Help LibertORian readers, and the dumb writers, to better exercise their freedoms without fear of retribution. Dissent is not unpatriotic and not a crime. By helping each other, we can better defend our freedoms. ((L))

-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-  
) (   
| YOU CAN: TRICK THE POST OFFICE! |   
) --- --- (   
-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-u-

The post office blames the price of stamps being so high on email bringing around the decline of letters. Oh, yeah? Thanks to eBay, millions of packages a week are sent that had never been sent before. And these carry much more postage than a hand written letter. So there!

Well, YOU CAN get them back! Be advised that LibertORian doesn't condone using this information to cheat the Post Office. This is contrary to the mantra, "Don't steal [other people's] their stuff", the second half of the LibertORian motto.

I assume I'm not the only one who realized that if letters don't get the proper (or any) postage, those letters get returned to sender. All you would have to do is reverse the addresses. If you put the return address as the destination, when the letter is rejected, it would be returned to the "sender", which is where we want it go go in the first place! ((L))

```

M   M   MMMMM   MMMM   M   M   M   MMMMM   MMMM   MMMM   MMM   MM   M
M M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M
M   MMM   MMM   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   MMMMM   M   M   M
M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M   M
M   MMMMM   MMMM   MM   MM   MMMMM   MMMM   MMMM   M   M   M   MM

      .';clddddoc;.
      'lkKwMMMMMMMMMMMMMMW0o'
      .dNMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMNxx;
      ,OMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMWXXK0000KNMMMMMMWxx,
      .OMMMMMMMWKKo:'.      .,o0MMMMMX:
      cWMMMMNxx:'.      .xMMMMMX;
      OMMx'      oMMMMMK,
      .KMMX'      .MMMMMMMO.,.
      .XMMk      OMMMMMMMK....
      .XMMl      .;NMMMMMX. .:
      OMMl      cNMMMMMK   d
      oMMO      .,:l1ldl.   lWMMMMM:   o
      .WMM;      ;XMMMMMMMMMMXd..kMMMMMO   ,c
      'OMMW      OMMMNkdldccloOWMW, ,NMMMN   0'
      1.0MMK      .cxKNMMMMd   XMMMKWWNNMMMMMMMMMl .XMMN   ,;
      1   WMMk      ;KMW000NMx:   ;MMxXk   ckkx;cxOKk.   .WMN   1
      ;' .MMk      OMx':.kXWMMk;   KM:.   ..   lMN   .:
      d .MMO      k0..dWNMWl:..   ,K      .dMMMMMO. ,
      O   NMW.      .,k0c   ..   'O,      .MMMMMMMX '
      0   lMMMo      od.      :,:MMMMMxoN0K:.
      X   XMMW.      .      OMMMMMMMc ;MWMM.
      X'   :MMx      .OMXOKK,      lMMMMNNWMMWMMNWW.
      .c   :xMMM;      1o1 dWMMMMMMO      xMMMMW0MMMMMX:
      o xoxo...X.      lxc. :l;',,.      'WMMMdWMMMO
      ;ldXMMXc .O      cdol;.      '      OMMMMMMMX.
      lo0MMMWd;kx      'cl0MMMMMMW0o,..c      ,MMM:''...
      .O0Mx,0O   O:      .OKMWXKko,';XKx1lo.      .XMMN
      cXMW..KM, .N.      ;WMk'      .:x00c      :NMMMMc
      .MMNMWMMx, .MX.      .00l.   .dOMWkc. ,c   cNMMMMMMMO
      c0NMMMMMkx dM0      ..      .'      ,xl. :MMMMMMMMMMK.
      .cdMXMd   WNO      .,c.      xMMMMMMMMMMMX,..
      : 'xMd. lx dx      ;WMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMW0kddocc:;'.
      : 'x';'   ok      .lXMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      ,. k      lK;      ,oKMMMMMMMMMMMMMlkMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      .'      ,WK:      ;kNMMMMMMMMMMMMMMN'.MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      .olXKd;.      ,xNMW0dc::cd0WMMMMMMMMMMMMMMk   0MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      ,xNMW0dc::cd0WMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMk   0MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      .;o0K:   .oNMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMX, :MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      'lkNMMMMMMc   oNMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMWl   NMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      .:dKWMMMMMMMMMM:      .,ldkWMMMMMMMMMMWd.   xMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      'oKMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM,      .MMMMMMNl      ,MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      .oXMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMc      cMMMMMXc      KMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      :0MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMx      dMMMk;      cMMkMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      NMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMW      dM0,      .WOMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMc      .K;      OMOMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMX      .      :MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
      MMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM.   k,      NMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMMM

```

\ } Liberty won its first round in  
 - \ } its struggle against the evil  
 - / \ } plan from the United Nations,  
 \ } Which was called AGENDA 21. The  
 \ } plan was dismissed by those who  
 | } were implementing it as a "con-  
 | } spiracy theory", to trick people  
 \ } who were ill-informed into be-  
 \ } lieving those who warn against  
 | } it were kooks. But anyone who  
 | } did the slightest amount of re-  
 | } search was able to find the UN's  
 | } document (and plan) for Agenda  
 | } 21, right there on their web  
 | } site.  
 \ }  
 \ } Awareness of Agenda 21 increased  
 | \ } When author Harriet Parks, in  
 - | \ } conjunction with Glenn Beck,  
 | \ } Released a book under the same  
 | \ } title: Agenda 21. Readers found  
 | \ } an entertaining novel, in the  
 | \ } tone of \*Brazil\* or \*1984\*, re-  
 | \ } gardless of any political views  
 | \ } from the reader. But after the  
 \ } story was through, the reader  
 - \ } would find everything that sup-  
 - / \ } ports all the content of the  
 / \ } book. Numerous links were pro-  
 | \ } vided, and all the official pub-  
 | \ } lications of Agenda 21 planners.  
 | \ } Most were government and U.N.  
 | \ } sources. There was no question  
 | \ } to the genuine threat that agen-  
 | \ } da 21 was to liberty.  
 | \ }  
 | \ } Fortunately, enough people were  
 | \ } awake to put a stop to Agenda 21  
 | \ } or at least derail it for now.  
 | \ } But, of course, statism is eter-  
 | \ } nally patient. It took them 100  
 | \ } years to finally destroy Ameri-  
 | \ } ca's health system. They'll be  
 | \ } back.  
 | \ }  
 | \ } Their first measure is to do the  
 | \ } typical statist/liberal thing  
 | \ } whenever people are wise to the  
 | \ } truth behind their plans- change  
 | \ } the name and hope no one notices  
 | \ } it. So, to disguise Agenda 21  
 | \ } into something totally indis-  
 | \ } tinguishable so that nobody who  
 | \ } was vigilant would recognize it.  
 | \ } The name they chose was \*Agenda  
 | \ } 2030\*.  
 By }  
 Synony- }  
 mous }  
 1 }

That fooled everyone! I mean,  
 to change the whole last sylla-  
 ble to something different was  
 ingenious! And to make it really  
 difficult to crack, the last  
 syllable, the number 1, became  
 something with \*TWO\* syllables:  
 the number 30, which, I just  
 mentioned, is also a number!  
 We are so stupid that we would  
 never had figured out that using  
 a nearly-identical name with the  
 number in the last syllable re-  
 named a two-syllable number is  
 a way of disguising something!  
 The only loophole to their mas-  
 ter name game is that people ARE  
 NOT stupid.

The other trick is to spring it  
 on the public and push Agenda  
 2030's agenda without approval  
 of the people. The UN, or the  
 joker in the White House, says  
 that all nations approve of this  
 measure and approve of all the  
 UN's demands. The heck we do!  
 I don't remember being asked to  
 approve! No way is a world  
 government going to "equalize"  
 every person on the planet by  
 crushing our freedoms!

Oh, are you someone who doesn't  
 even know what Agenda 2030 is?  
 Read the books by Glenn Beck:

\*Agenda 21\*

\*Agenda 21: Into the Shadows\*

If you can't stomach Glenn Beck  
 because you believed what his  
 enemies say about him, visit the  
 Alpha-7-Bravo Blog in Onionland  
 and read the post on Agenda 21:

- <http://opnju4nyz7wbypme.onion>

NOW THAT I KNOW WHAT AGENDA 2030  
 IS, WHAT CAN I DO TO STOP IT????

Leading the charge against the  
 UN's Agenda 2030 plan is Richard  
 Rothschild, who successfully  
 stopped its implementation at  
 the local level in his 4th dis-  
 trict of Maryland. He offered

a few basic tips for starters:

- 1) Watch for specific keywords in your government at any level:
  - o Sustainability
  - o Stakeholder
  - o Social equity (another name for making ALL RESOURCES EQUAL NO MATTER WHERE YOU ARE IN THE WORLD... now how is the U.N. going to accomplish THAT?)

Social Equity does for all material resources what Net Neutrality does for internet communications: everyone's access to water, fuel, knowledge, etc. is equal for every person on the planet! And yes, all you people who love net neutrality: it is not a good thing to implement as it doesn't work and limits freedom of access to all!

Under the guise of these terms, one of Agenda 2030's goals is to REPEAL PROPERTY RIGHTS.

- 2) Agenda 2030 manifests itself at the local level. Watch what is happening in your own community.
- 3) BE POLITE.

Many commissioners who are implementing tenets of Agenda 2030 don't even realize where it all is coming from! Don't tell them that they have been indoctrinated or are being led by the UN. They will think you are a kook. Show the proof from the U.N., and explain that what they are doing is SUSPICIOUSLY IDENTICAL! Help them make the connection.

- 4) Get elected on your local ZONING COMMITTEE.

Many zoning committee members are bullies, or power-obsessed in their communities, don't care about property rights, and are there just to dictate to others the way that they want things to be. Zoning boards need liberty, libertarian-minded people who know that the government is best when it's left out of the

people's business!

Also understand that while Agenda 2030 is being implemented at the local level, it is an international movement. One of its driving forces is ICLEI, the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives. The name alone explains that they are global meddlers in local matters. Closely linked to them is IISD, the International Institute for Sustainable development. Knowledge is power and you can arm yourself with their playbooks:

- o IISD: <http://www.iisd.org/>
- o ICLEI: <http://www.iclei.org/index.php?id=global-themes>

IISD.org features, among much of the wealth of resources, a timeline that they use to promote their agenda. It is a roster of all the bad apples! They are all the next step in all the founding fathers of eco-statism. Key events celebrated are:

1962: Rachel Carson's \*Silent Spring\* is released. Seems to be IISD's founding document. This alarmist propaganda piece led to the deaths of 2 million African children annually, due to the multi-national actions to forbid the use of pesticides to kill malaria-ridden mosquitoes in Africa.

1967: EDF is founded. Statist thugs.

1968: \*Population Bomb\* is released, a book of eugenic junk science discredited long ago by all his paranoid predictions coming very false.

1970: First Earth Day.

1971: Greenpeace starts. (Canada's own terrorist group.)

You get the idea. Agenda 2030 will do nothing to help the environment, but will rob poor children of freedom in the Earth's name, at an expense of \$countless trillions. Richard Rothschild will help you oppose Agenda 2030. You can reach him at <http://richardrothschild.org>. ((L))

```

O=O ! O==== O===O O===O ==O== O O O O O==== O=O ! O=O
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| O=O O==== O===O | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

```

( ) ( \_ MUSICAL ANARCHY \_ ) ( ) ( \_ By Synonymous 1 \_ ) ( )

Bring up pop music of the 1980s, and people think of the Big names like Michael Jackson, Madonna, and Prince or rockers like Van Halen and Bruce Springsteen. Or you think of the slightly less mainstream acts: The Ramones, Dead Kennedys, Devo, B-52s, Gary Numan, They Might Be Giants.

Then there are the really obsscure musicians of the decade, who usually don't register in anybody's memory: Anya, Planning By Numbers, A Popular History of Signs, Mechanik Kommando, Los Microwaves, Xex, and other novel bands few people have heard of. In that category is a musical quintet from the San Francisco Bay area who march to the beat of their own drums ...or whatever found sounds they collected. They went by the name, "Negativland".

Nagativland is probably the weirdest band in history. Their music, and there are some who debate that what they do is music, is filled with a sonic assault of found sounds from life: TV, shortwave radio, promo records, nature, anything that can be recorded probably can be found in a Negativland song. Their no-holds-barred approach to turning sound into new works of art, and the social commentary that accompanies it, have left heads scratching. Think of Michael Moore, but instead of deceptively editing everything he does to turn what you are watching into a lie, Negativland often makes irony out of the dialogue after it's given their treatment. They consider the work they do thought-provoking, even humorous.

If you don't know who Negativland is

or are, and you are curious, why not put LiberTORian aside for a moment, find some mp3s or videos on your favorite media sites- I don't care which one since I'm a libertarian- and check out their material. And keep it forever, because all their music is COPYRIGHT FREE. That's right. Their compositions are all available freely and part of the public domain. Here are a few good starting points:

THE PERFECT CUT. 7 songs fill up an album side (I own the LP and CD). \_The Perfect Cut\_ demonstrates the colorfulness of their work.

CAR BOMB. Utterly hilarious song, punk inspired though no guitar is to be found. Hi-energy, one of their best.

MICHAEL JACKSON. An example of the sly usage to pose an ironic point. Thought provoking... even humorous. WARNING: Contains \*The Brady Bunch\* (TV series) samples.

FREEDOM'S WAITING. First track from their FREE album, back on their See-land label (the SST story is coming up.) Dissertation on freedom using recordings that come from advertisers advising on words that attract customers, and pieces of a 7-11 commercial.

DISPEPSI. Just pick a track from this album and enjoy.

WE ARE DRIVEN. Musical anarchy at its best. Some Negativland songs are songs, often lo-fi. Some are sonic collectins. This does both, and does them well.

Well, that should give you adequate material to become familiar with the band, and to know they're in no way your average band. They've got such a history, too, that I don't know where to begin. So I'll just start.

A           Negativland's original  
R           members, Richard Lyons  
T           and Mark Hosler, began  
NEGATIVLAND working together, ex-  
S S       perimenting with tape  
T           compositions as far  
S           back as the late '70s.  
            Soon David Wills, who  
also liked to experiment with sound,  
and was a TV repairman, joined the  
band. I love this guy's voice. He  
has a slow-talking nasal drawl that  
brings an endearing smile to whoever  
hears him speak. David Wills is al-  
so the persona of "The Weatherman"  
(and the Clorox Cowboy) on \*Over The  
Edge\*, a KPFA radio program where  
the band members had an opportunity  
to subject listeners to their ad-  
ventures in sonic anarchy.

\*Over The Edge\* came to the band  
through Don Joyce, the man who later  
became the band's fourth member. By  
1983, the quintet was complete with  
Chris Grigg.

The band's first three albums were  
released on the band's \*Seeland\*  
record label:

- Negativland
- Points
- A Big 10-8 Place

Their first album, Negativland, is  
notable in record history, as each  
album/cassette/cd cover is unique;  
the cover artwork is a personally  
pieced-together collage of magazine  
images. Their approach to cover art  
is in line with the content within:  
disassembling and reassembling pop  
culture while also dissecting that  
culture with equally cutting satire.

Negativland and its members were  
truly unique and defiantly did their  
own thing: the essence of libertar-  
ianism distilled in music. But the

story of Negativland is only be-  
ginning.

C           Negativland, more than  
U           anyone, is responsible  
NEGATIVLAND for creating the art  
T           of \*culture jamming\*.  
U           This is the idea of  
JAMMERS    reappropriating sound  
S E        for use in a derivi-  
            tive work. Negativ-  
land's works make extensive use of  
culture jamming, which nowadays is  
taken for granted! In this world  
saturated with samples and sound  
bites lifted and re-used in ironic  
overtones in new works of art, none  
ever stop to thank Negativland for  
thinking of it first.

Negativland often uses culture jamm-  
ing as a means to express their  
criticisms. Among other things, the  
band is critical of organized re-  
ligion, nuclear power, and handguns.  
Politically, they would appear a bit  
southwest of Penn & Teller in the  
Constitutional Liberal area of the  
Nolan Chart (See LiberTORian issues  
1 and 8). As libertarians, they  
feel they're not hurting anyone by  
using casting their net and fishing  
through all the recorded sound in  
pop culture for material that they  
can use as content in their compo-  
sitions. This led them to trouble,  
but that's later in their story.

S M       Negativland's anarcho  
I U       approach to music cre-  
G S       ation drew the atten-  
NEGATIVLAND tion of SST records,  
E C       best known for the  
D I       hardcore punk band  
          \*Black Flag\*. Two of  
          Negativland's most  
          artistic albums were  
          released on SST, under  
direction of Greg Ginn, the SST CEO.  
Those albums, \*Escape From Noise\*  
and their follow-up, \*Helter Stupid\*  
were concept albums that poked the  
satirical umbrella of its subjects.  
\*Helter Stupid\* generated contro-  
versy, as the band planted a rumor  
seed that a real teen involved in a  
murder case in Minnesota had been



[illegible]

ABOVE: Detail of Booper Console  
BELOW: Detail of \*Points\* Album Art

listening to \*Escape From Noise\*, and inspired to murder after listening to the track \*Christianity Is Stupid\*. (The track is actually a brilliant send up on communist subversion.) It was actually media bait which developed into an entire side of their record \*Helter Stupid\* where they exposed in their song the slanted nature of the news media as they took the bait and misrepresented the story at every turn.

This counter-authoritarian controversy drew much attention to Negativland, and SST records loved the publicity. Of course, that all was about to change, and SST records' Greg Ginn was going to make a sudden about face on generating controversial publicity.

N I believe I first learned of  
E it in \*New Route\* magazine,  
G a music magazine sent to the  
AS college radio stations. In a  
T Negativland review, it was  
I U revealed that they were work-  
V S ing on a cover of U2's class-  
L E ic song, "I Still Haven't  
FAIR Found What I'm Looking For".  
N S "I can't wait", said the au-  
D thor of the column. Neither  
could I. I pre-ordered my  
own copy on CD and vinyl the day it  
was released. It never got to me.

The album was recalled. I call it "The U2 Affair." You see, they were sued for copyright infringement for using their lyrics, and for titling the album U@ with a giant "U2" on the cover and the famous plane. The band's name was very tiny and in (parentheses). Non-observant record store visitors mistook it for a U2 album. I'm surprised they found it on the shelves at all! I never got mine and I tried. Anyway, SST dropped Negativland like a hot potato, but not without releasing their \*Guns\* EP to try to recoup their losses from the U2 Affair.

Negativland referenced U2's current \*Zoo TV\* tour in their legal defense during the lawsuit. It turns out

that although U2 sued the band because they had a problem with the re-appropriation of some of U2's recordings, during the Zoo TV tour, U2 would, during their live concerts, broadcast live clips of television. These clips were mixed and re-fashioned into new compositions- Exactly the same thing that Negativland did with audio! Everything is chronicled in their CDE and magazine-sized book that accompanies it, \*The Fair Use of the Letter U and the Number 2\*.

The band changed direction after the U2 affair. Returning to their own label, Seeland, the sonic experimenters freed all of their music. All of their future releases, including \*Free\*, \*Dispepsi\*, and \*Death Sentences For the Polished and Structurally Weak\*, are all 100% copyright free. Now they were free to do anything with sound that they wished.

NEVATIVLAND The band is immersed  
N in gadgetry, being  
V avid radio users and  
E tape manipulators.  
N They also invented an  
T instrument of their  
O own. The Booper is an  
R electronic oscillator  
AS created by David Wills  
of Negativland. It is  
often heard in Negativland tracks,  
making short, glissando synth noises  
which give their music a colorful  
flavor. Boopers are also used in  
\*Over the Edge\* broadcasts, which  
are essentially free-form live  
Negativland compositions in a talk  
format.

2015 is a historic year for Negativland, in a bad way, as two members died this year. Ian Allen died at a young 56 in January, and in July, Don Joyce's heart gave out at 71. The band will go on, but they ought to be remembered as pioneers and their boundless idea as to the nature of sound, and what music can be. They are true libertarians in their craft, and masters of it. ((L))

~~~~~

WHAT YOU ARE NOT BEING TOLD  
(OK, now that I got your attention)

~~~~~

Did you know that on the deep web,  
you can find anything? And I mean,  
ANYTHING?

Okay, now that I have your attention  
again, the thing I'm describing is  
not as scandalous as you expect. In  
fact, it's something that's been in  
society for thousands of years: it's  
anti-semitism.

So the web site came up as a newly-  
discovered site on Harry 71's Onion  
Spider (see LiberTORian issue 4),  
called "What You Are Not Being Told"  
(Hey! just like this article!). Oh,  
boy! What a mess!

What's bad about the site is that  
it actually contains real and valu-  
able information mixed in with the  
stupid stereotypes. For instance,  
it outlines some horrid plans that  
the U.N. intends to enact, in order  
to create a worldwide socialist  
state. This is real and documented  
and not some kind of kook conspir-  
acy. I've read the UN's documents  
on sustainable development myself,  
directly and openly from the UN's  
web site, later scrubbed when it  
was exposed by libertarians. But  
it's not so hard to delete things  
forever anymore, as the NSA can  
attest.

It also exposes lies the UN uses as  
a basis for its planned dark age-  
like the phony hockey stick diagram  
from Al Gore's crockumentary. Ever  
since WikiLeaks posted the Michael  
Mann/Al Gore emails exposing their  
"consensus" and volumes of infor-  
mation as fake (AKA "Climategate  
emails"), we've known the lie of  
mankind's involvement in "climate  
change".

There is a lot of real outrage and  
information exposing real dark de-

- By G.P.S. -  
- Edited by Synonymous 1 -

signs. Many people can read it and  
say, "yes! It's true! Something  
must be done!" And the allure of an  
'expose' is where the lure is.

For without the bigotry, there is a  
real problem with real people behind  
it, and it will result in the loss  
of freedom after a worldwide social-  
ist power grab. But the truth, as  
clear as it is, comes with an absurd  
thesis along with it. And because  
the rest of the message is important  
and factual, many will easily accept  
ALL of the message, including the  
absurd part.

Using dubious evolutionary and soc-  
ial science, two-thirds of the arti-  
cle tries to set up the claim that  
ayrans are both genetically and  
spiratually superior to Semetic peo-  
ple. Mix in some holocaust revision  
with an arbitrary differentiation  
between "spirituality" & "religion"  
(where religion is "a set of rules"  
and spirituality is something tran-  
scendental), and an irrational idea  
of "semetic materialism" (that all  
materialism stems from the Jews, as  
invented and institutionalized it),  
and you have something really silly.

But its mixture with the truth on  
evil things done by Woodrow Wilson,  
FDR, the UN and others makes it easy  
to accept the flawed premise along  
with the stark truth mixed in with  
it, for some, anyway.

News flash: greed existed in all  
cultures, and isn't exclusive to the  
Jews, who invented it with their  
religion. If you want to exploit  
your prejudices and use your pseudo-  
science, more power to you. I stand  
for your right to express intellect-  
ual bigotry. Free speech if for all  
views. I also will stand for my own  
right to speak against it. ((L))



~~~~~

# The Top 25 Recipients of Planned Predators Campaign Ca\$h

~~~~~

List Courtesy of Chris Field of  
The Blaze, on theblaze.com.

The stats for each politician in the  
original article included political  
party. But to save space, just know  
that ALL 25 ARE DEMOCRATS.

Do what you wish with the list. The  
reason it's her in LiberTORian is to  
further document it for history.  
Future generations deserve to know  
the guilty parties, without the fog  
of time and/or revisionism.

| Many members of Congress are call-  
| ing for an end to government fund-  
| ing for America's biggest abortion  
| mill, Planned Parenthood. Ameri-  
| cans were outraged over the  
| organization's alleged sale of  
| aborted-baby parts as revealed in  
| undercover videos conducted by the  
| Center for Medical Progress.

| But the money does not go just one  
| way- members and employees of  
| Planned Parenthood, as well as the  
| group's PAC, have been very active  
| and generous in their donations to  
| government officials who both fun-  
| nel taxpayer money to the organi-  
| zation as well as ardently defend  
| abortion.

| Considering the Democratic Party's  
| official "pro-choice" stance, it  
| comes as no surprise that Planned  
| Parenthood's donations would skew  
| toward the Democrats. For example,  
| according to the Center for Re-  
| sponsive Politics, during the 2014  
| election cycle, PP gave \$892,000 to  
| Democrats and \$3,100 to Republi-  
| cans. The 2012 election cycle was  
| even more generous to the Democrats,  
| with \$1.2 million to Dems compared  
| to \$26,600 to GOPers.

| After adding up the annual indi-

| vidual candidate numbers from the  
| Center for Responsive Politics,  
| here are the 25 federal politic-  
| ians who have received the most  
| Planned Parenthood campaign cash  
| from 1990 (the first year for  
| which data was available) through  
| the end of August:

- |                                                                                                |          |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| #1: Barack Hussein Obama                                                                       | \$133763 |
| US President; Former US Sen. (IL)                                                              |          |
| #2: Timothy Bishop                                                                             | \$67000  |
| Former US Rep.: NY 1st district                                                                |          |
| #3: Hillary Clinton                                                                            | \$46282  |
| Former [illegitimate] senator<br>from NY; Former sec. of state;<br>2016 presidential candidate |          |
| #4: John Kerry                                                                                 | \$45856  |
| Former US senator: from MA;<br>Failed presidential nominee,<br>Sec of state                    |          |
| #5: Diana De Gette                                                                             | \$41485  |
| US rep.: CO 1st district                                                                       |          |
| #6: Louise Slaughter                                                                           | \$41334  |
| Appropriately named.<br>US rep.: NY 25th district                                              |          |
| #7: Jeanne Shaheen                                                                             | \$38449  |
| US senator from NH                                                                             |          |
| #8: Barbara Boxer                                                                              | \$36380  |
| Former US rep: CA 6th district;<br>US senator from CA                                          |          |
| #9: Kristen Gillibrand                                                                         | \$35380  |
| Former US rep. NY 20th district;<br>US senator from NY                                         |          |
| #10: Stephanie Herseth Sandlin                                                                 | \$35223  |
| Former US rep.: SD at-large                                                                    |          |
| #11: Patty Murray                                                                              | \$34642  |
| (Illegitimate) US senator: WA                                                                  |          |
| #12: Sherrod Brown                                                                             | \$33439  |
| Former US rep.: OH 13th dis-<br>trict; US senator from OH                                      |          |
| #13: Dan Maffei                                                                                | \$33200  |
| Former US rep.: NY 24th dist-<br>rict                                                          |          |

- #14: Mark Udall                               \$32835  
Former US rep.: CO 2nd district  
Former US senator from CO
- #15: Nita Lowey                               \$32596  
US Rep.: NY 17th district
- #16: Lois Capps                               \$31985  
US rep.: CA 24th district
- #17: Ann Kirkpatrick                       \$31650  
US rep.: AZ 1st district;  
candidate for 2016 nomination  
for Senate
- #18: Russ Feingold                           \$30635  
Former US senator from WI;  
candidate for 2016 nomination  
for Senate
- #19: Patrick Murphy                       \$29976  
US rep.: FL 18th district;  
candidate for 2016 nomination  
for Senate
- #20: Timothy Walz                           \$29700  
US rep.: MN 1st district
- #21: Debbie Stabenow                       \$28535  
Former US rep.: MI 8th district  
US senator from MI
- #22: Claire McCasKILL                      \$26015  
US senator from MO
- #23: Al Franken                             \$25049  
(Illegitimate) US senator from  
MN
- #24: Tom Udall                               \$24500  
Former US rep.: NM 3rd district  
US senator from NM
- #25: Amy Klobuchar                         \$24450  
US senator from MN

| Follow Chris Field on Twitter:  
| @ChrisMField

```
=====
[   DON'T   ]
[   HURT    ]
[   PEOPLE      AND      DON'T   ]
[           TAKE    ]
[           THEIR   ]
[           STUFF    ]
=====
```

=====

# NON-SEQUITIR - by Synonymous 1

=====

Read a reactionary response from a critic recently; nothing special, just the usual. There were 2 main parts to the letter: 1) Obama isn't evil, 2) blurb reviews of the 17 (at the time) republican candidates. The argument against Obama was made so eloquently in \*Statist President\* (LibertORian 4) in capsule form. the rebuttal only said he mandated health care, and that the attacks on religious freedom were SCOTUS' fault alone. LibertORian 5 put the blame on SCOTUS, but it seems that not enough is known on how deeply Obama was a metaphorical weasel (not name-calling; it is describing a trait) on this issue. The trust issues it raises are disturbing.

The "critique" on the candidates was really uninspired personal attacks, which I won't waste the time repeating here, as they're not worth it. Let's just say the comments on Scott Walker, Jindal, and especially Carly Fiorina sound like they came from Donald Trump. All fine with me.

Don't care much about it; I made my case here and I love people's freedom to believe or dismiss it. But I realized that I learned a lesson. Had I not learned one this story would have passed without mention in this 'zine. There's no shortage of statist deep web sites hating KOCH BROS. to their hearts' content. (I dismiss people as intellectually lazy whenever they're cited) But when I find these sites, I tend to move on. While I suggested that the person should start his/her own ezine for self-expression, I noticed the lesson I learned is the difference between libertarians and statist:

Libertarians tend not to care as much or have a problem if someone doesn't agree with them.

ITS ALL COOL! ((L))

~~~~~

WHAT IS OVERCHAN? PARTS 2 AND 3

- By an anonymous overchan user  
(or users)

~~~~~

post 2:

> How is this different from 4chan?  
> well for starters overchan loves tor/i2p... actually kind of requires  
> you to have one of these instaled to run a node. Secondly if a mod or  
> node operator gets butt hurt and starts censoring you... you can use  
> another node and laugh at them if you so wish. Lastly, unlike 4chan it  
> is entirely community maintained, none of the admins can just decide to  
> make all the overchan nodes look a certain way, censor a certain way,  
> etc.

You could set up a completely different overchan by having multiple nodes sync with eachother but refusing outfeeds to the current nodes even to shun admins further.

Each frontend's mods can choose whether to allow/disable images, delete images, require captchas for posting, which boards to serve, and which nodes they want to sync with.

For example, overchan.lolz doesn't serve the /cp/ board, and the tor onions currently don't serve russian boards.

Also Hotwheels said that if he ever had to take 8chan permanently he would put instructions on how to use to overchan on the front page.

If the devs SeekingFor and Slow can fix SRNd in like 6 months you might see 8chan become overchan.

post 3:

> HOW DO I REACH THE OVERCHAN???!!1111??!!1!

Right now the best option is overchan.lolz, if you have tor installed just open your browser and go to <http://lyp6sf5tzd6mbnmc.onion/>

If you don't want to display images, <http://fbi.lyp6sf5tzd6mbnmc.onion/>

If you don't use tor, <http://lyp6sf5tzd6mbnmc.onion.city/>

there's also a clearnet node but it hasn't been updated in a month  
<http://bb.mous.pw/>

If i2p is your thing then hiddenchan.i2p has good uptime and 404chan.i2p was up yesterday but i can't connect now

also they both have a lot of russians and serve russian boards

<http://hiddenchan.i2p/?i2paddresshelper=6y4tltjdggwfdcz6tqwc7dxhhuradop2vejatisu64nwjzh5tuwa.b32.i2p>

<http://404chan.i2p/?i2paddresshelper=ofxof5eur2kg7gxqcajvu4n6hje6lrrqizu6rzpvif7pceyjn67a.b32.i2p>

also overchan.oniichan went back up yesterday although it looks ugly  
<http://oniichanylo2tsi4.onion/>

and slamspeech went down-ish like a couple weeks ago

> Is there really a /cp/ board?  
Yes.... [Find it yourself.]

tl;dr: imageboard, no global censorship, no cp,  
<http://lyp6sf5tzd6mbnmc.onion/>

called Overchan/NNTP-chan.

\*\*\*\*\*

~~~~~

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

disk-fee.txt

~~~~~

The Great "Disk Fee" Fallacy

This time we travel back to the mid 1980s, where floppy disks were the storage medium of the day. Libertarian rewinds to a time where every byte mattered when it comes to the economy of data.

Now you are there as programmers debate over the development fees of the digital storage medium which carries their software, and exactly where the money is being spent!

Have a radical time reading this file, dude!

\*\*\*\*\*

Public (Software) Library

The Great "Disk Fee" Fallacy

A lot of people, including users and programmers, have no idea what costs and work are involved in providing a software library service. As a result, some programmers specify that no group can distribute their program for a disk fee or they may set a limit of anywhere from \$3 to \$10. So what does shareware distribution cost?

In 1983, a blank formatted disk cost a minimum of \$3. The equipment for copying the disks cost many times more than it now does too. So the actual cost of making a disk copy, including time and depreciation of equipment, was probably about \$4. On a disk fee of \$5, that left \$1 to invest in getting more software and keeping the library organized.

Of course, in 1982 through most of 1984, the quantity of good PD/shareware programs was very small and the programs were simple, so the acquisition and maintenance costs of the library were pretty low.

Today, a disk with tyvek sleeve and label costs as little as \$.25 and equipment is also a lot cheaper. The current total cost of making a disk copy is only about \$.75 to \$1.00, leaving \$4 out of a \$5 disk fee for other costs:

The Costs



## Order Processing:

As the number of orders increases, the economics of providing disk copies changes. We used to be able buy disks for \$3 and sell them to local members for \$3.50 because we were making the relatively few copies on equipment we had purchased for other purposes and doing the copying while watching TV or reading, so time and depreciation was "free".

As volume increased, we had to hire people to answer the phone and take orders, to pick up the mail and process the orders, to make the copies, to verify the copies and compare them against the orders, package the orders, weigh and stamp them and take them to the post office, often to wait in line a long time.

All these staff people had to have equipment purchased for them: computers, desks, chairs, office supplies, folding machines, postage meters, scales, and on and on. In addition, you have to have space for these people to work in.

While the cost of disks have dropped, they must be ordered in large numbers and stored. Ordering disks, mailers and other supplies, checking shipments, storing, dealing with bad inventory (on nearly every order), etc., takes a lot of time.

People want to order by phone and that means taking credit cards and paying a percentage of revenues to the card companies. Bad checks, refused COD orders, "lost" or damaged orders, bad disks, and staff errors also contribute to high overhead. Where we used to be able to take orders on our relatively inexpensive "personal" phone line, now we must pay for multiple "business" lines and 800 numbers, as well as an expensive telephone system.

Printing costs for free newsletters, flyers, and catalogs are another big expense.

## User Support:

Users don't like to read. All the information we can give a user is already committed to writing somewhere, yet we get calls all day, every day from people who want us to listen to a recital of their personal wants and needs and recommend the perfect program for them.

Or they call to tell us that they have tried typing READ.ME and "it won't come up - what should I do?" Or they have never heard of the DIR command. If you are a shareware author and don't get a lot of these calls, it's because we are fielding them for you.

## Advertising:

A lot of shareware authors seem to have recently discovered that the libraries that advertise have the greatest distribution, and the greater the distribution, the greater the number of shareware payments. (Hey, Fluegelman was right!) So authors who a year or two ago didn't like the idea of distributors advertising now are encouraging them to

do so.

Advertising is extremely expensive. As of 1/89, a one-third page, black and white ad in PC magazine on a 12/year frequency rate is over \$7,000. That is over \$84,000/year for one ad in one magazine. If the authors whose programs are listed in these ads tried to buy that same space themselves, most of them would probably be bankrupt in a year.

#### Library Maintenance:

This includes (1) acquiring new programs and the latest versions of old programs as soon as they become available, (2) testing the programs for quality and to see if they run or if they have hidden bombs or are really crippled demos, (3) reviewing the documentation for copyright restrictions and writing the authors, (4) comparing the programs to others, (5) writing reviews to inform users about the new programs and updates, (6) organizing the software in the library by subject matter, (7) updating catalogs, (8) going back over old programs from time-to-time to make sure they are still of value, (9) investigating users' bug reports.

In my opinion, for all of this to be done well, there should be one experienced, knowledgeable librarian for every 200 disks. Unfortunately, I don't know of a single library with the funds available to pay enough to attract the qualified people to work for them. PSL tries to solve this problem by having librarians who do the work in their specific area of expertise at their homes in their spare time. We pay them a very large percent of the revenues for each disk sold from their area.

Even with this financial incentive, it is extremely difficult to find someone who both wants to be a librarian and has the time and determination to follow through. (If you are interested, call me.) We have had countless individuals apply, get the disks from us to work on, and we never hear from them again. Our most recent attempt was with a gentleman who agreed to work on the programming disks. He has had them since October 1988 and when we later contacted him for a progress report, he said he hoped to be done by March 1989. Of course, during the time from October to March, we have added dozens of new programming files, so he is way behind even before he finishes. He admitted that when he agreed to take on the job, he had no concept of how much work was involved. (March has now passed and we haven't heard from him.)

Anyone who thinks that being a shareware distributor is just "easy money made off the sweat of others," as one programmer put it, is welcome to join us and rake in some of that "easy money".

#### The \$3 Disk Guys

In the April 1989 issue of PC Computing, we counted seven full-page ads for shareware distributors, all within about a dozen pages of each other. Most of these are selling the disks in the range of \$2-\$3.50.

Most of these will probably disappear in a year or two, unless they have someone with deep pockets backing them up. It seems highly unlikely that all of these companies, whose ads and shareware

collections seem to be clones of each other, can each get enough business to survive. (We have a file drawer full of materials from distributors who have come and gone over the years.)

To anyone with the money to spend on advertising, shareware distribution must look like easy pickings. All they have to do is buy the disks from another cut-rate distributor for \$2 a disk, copy the other company's ad, and they're in business. Most of them don't know what they are selling and don't care.

The \$3-guys have only a fraction of the number of programs PSL has. Most do not bother with programs that are too small to be on a disk by themselves. Or at best, they may have a few utility or games collections that never get updated. Most do not test the programs or write to the authors, violating many copyrights. (Some even show pictures of pirated programs in their ads.)

A few programmers, no doubt influenced by this influx of quick-buck, cut-rate distributors, say that distributors who charge more than \$3 a disk cannot distribute their programs. Ironically, the programmers who track where shareware registrations come from always find that they do not come from these cut-rate guys, but from groups like PSL, PC-SIG and Public Brand who charge \$5 or more per disk.

People who are too cheap to pay for value received from a shareware distributor are not likely to pay for value received from a programmer.

We hope that we have not offended anyone by our straightforward talk. If you have any comments about the subject, we would like to hear from you.

\*\*\*\*\*

[Note from LibERTORian: The above was not edited. There was no contact information provided in the body of the .txt file.]

\*\*\*\*\*

CHRIS CHRISTIE'S STATIST SOLUTION

\*\*\*\*\*

The story of Kim Davis, the county clerk who was jailed for practicing her Christian beliefs, sparked the #IStandWithKim and #FreeKimDavis movements, and candidates Mike Huckabee and Ted Cruz attended rallies to free her. She eventually was, but now the issue is what to do with someone who won't comply with unjust laws?

Chris Christie expressed the balance that must be kept between following

the law and respecting religious freedom. His solution: maybe find Kim a new job in the same office.

Really? First of all, this person was a county clerk long before the Supreme Court violated the First Amendment. Secondly, and more crucially: this sets up a new precedent in America where one's religious convictions now prevent a person from holding certain occupations in the public realm. I'm sorry: that's religious deiscrimination. Chris Christie, the government is the last entity in the world competent OR just enough to determine who is worthy of what job, and which job a person is allowed to hold! ((L))

[illegible]

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

## THE PROPHECY OF HOWARD THOMPSON

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

So who is Howard Thompson, anyway?

He's a man who, in the 1970s and part of the 1980s, changed the face of board gaming in favor of geeks everywhere. He founded the game company Metagaming Concepts somewhere around 1975, taking the games industry by storm with what he termed MicroGames. MicroGames were small war games, packaged in either 5x7 plastic bags or small cardboard boxes. They were science fiction or fantasy themed and cost only \$2.95 to \$3.95, but despite their small package, MicroGames had plenty of strategy and playability packaged inside. The production values were on par with the D.I.Y. nature of Metagaming Concepts: all the games' components were paper or cardboard. The rule books were carefully typed on an IBM Selectric typewriter.

Thompson also wrote a magazine that promoted the company, a house organ titled Space Gamer. It also discussed other company's games. The early issues of Space Gamer had the same D.I.Y. look, and early issues looked a lot like a typical issue of LiberTORian. Eventually, Space Gamer grew into a full-fledged glossy magazine, before Howard Thompson lost a lawsuit against Steve Jackson, who designed Metagaming Concepts' first game, OGRE. Jackson assumed the editor's position at Space Gamer beginning with issue 27. After some time, about 1983, Thompson withdrew from the gaming industry entirely, and became a very private person himself, almost never appearing in public or on the internet.

Howard Thompson is a Texas Libertarian and an unconfirmed atheist. His understanding of social evolution contributed to the vivid

- By Synonymous 1
- Reprint material by Howard Thompson

worlds that came to life in his company's games. Many of them had richly designed worlds with a socioeconomic, geopolitical, and/or socio-political background that shaped the game play and design. He sheds some insight into his thinking in Space Gamer #24, one of the last issues he was involved in editing. He explains the difference between prophecy and speculation: a prophet tries to predict the future. A speculator takes what is known, and tries to project trends to create a realistic possible future based on an understanding of history and sociology.

Below is a reprint of Thompson's entire editorial from his running column, "Where We're Going". It's an appropriate title, reflecting back now, but at the time, the title was meant to discuss the direction of the company Metagaming Concepts itself.

As you read, you may think that he is a pessimist. He was a realist. No commentary will follow. I know, dear readers, you are smart enough yourselves to perceive where Thompson was correct, and where he missed and why (for instance, he has no idea in 1979, when this was written, that there were vast oil reserves under America that dwarf Saudi Arabia's supply, nor could he predict the production war between the Saudis and Russia.) For a guy in the Carter era making and distributing games, he was uncannily "prophetic". ((L))

\* \* B E G I N      A R T I C L E    \* \*

### Where We're Going

An old saw has it that science fiction fans can predict and be ready to live into the future better than average folk. Like most old saws, this

one is probably shakey. [sic] But, thinking about living in a future ten, twenty or fifty years away can lead to some interesting results. I wish I had the time to design a game that reflects trends several decades ahead. As a business, Metagaming will have to survive in a rapidly changing environment. A gaming simulation of the near future can be an invaluable aid.

The factors which should be included are many. The design constraints of the "Future" game should reasonably be limited to what an individual business in the U.S.A. can expect.

1. The individual can't affect larger events. Major economic, cultural and social trends are beyond our control.
2. All energy and raw material resources will be progressively more expensive and scarce.
3. Governmental size, expense and activity will continue to expand in all economic and social areas. The future will be more restrictive and controlled.
4. Social unrest from poverty and other causes will increase at least on the individual level. Organized social unrest will probably not increase.
5. Internationally, Russia will become the global "policeman". The U.S. will accept a gradual restriction of influence. Future tension will be between competing communist governments.
6. The flood of illegal aliens and international refugees to the U.S. will increase sufficiently to swamp our social programs and "western" civilization.

The list could easily go on, but this is representative of major factors of a "future" game should include. Some, or most, of you will disagree with these projections. You may be right. But, I expect to live in such a future. On average, we are going to be a poorer, more crowded, meaner, more

controlled and unhappy populace as the years go by.

The point of the game would be for a business to survive and grow in such an environment. If we don't nationalize free enterprise out of existence there will still be, or at least can be, healthy growing firms in much worse environments than will come to pass. What will a business have to do to be a winner in tomorrow's drab future?

Starting with item number one, it's given that trends are beyond the individual. The strategy has to be avoiding the worst and looking for opportunity where others see disaster. The ideal business location will be near, but away from, major cities where the problems will be worst. You have to have large city services, but that doesn't mean living with their crowded problems. Leaving the city to it's [sic] problems may seem, uh-- unpatriotic. The choice is to go down the tubes with the mob or survive. Remember, this is a game of prospering survival.

As for resources and energy shortages, they will progressively worsen, no ifs, ands, or buts. We will not develop a viable energy policy of self-sufficiency. Look for less of everything and look to pay more for ever shoddier work. The strategy here is to build for minimal energy usage. Buy and plan equipment for minimal electric requirements. Expect to live with continual blackouts, shortages, and "temporary" reductions of service. Do build for solar space and water heating. Do plan for some solar power cells to maintain minimal functions. Thank your stars if you've got wind resources or a small undeveloped stream for some generating potential. Plan to build and buy locally. If you can grow it or dig it up yourself, your chances go up.

Government? The age of bureaucracy and income "redistribution" is here to stay, and will get worse. Over

10% of G.N.P. goes through H.E.W. now, and it will get worse. The privileged class will become those with government paychecks. Their power will be sustained by their defendants, who draw government benefit checks of one sort or another. Over 30% of the economy is now in the form of direct government disbursements. The proportion of the population getting some of the money or living with someone who does, is now a majority. It will never be voted out of existence.

So, learn to live with government. The bigger the bureaucracy gets, the more loopholes there will be. Don't be adverse to seeking favors in the future. There is no right or wrong in a system that has so many laws and regulations that \*all\* the population conducts illegal activities unknowingly, or knowingly much of the time. There is getting caught and being stupid. You might be able to dodge a city government, but, the I.R.S. isn't to be fooled with; it's the closest thing we've got to believable religion.

Social unrest will increase, mostly in the form of individual, criminal and disruptive activities. Organized unrest probably won't increase. There will be a steady increase of aggression and violence between individuals. These will mostly be acts of frustration and helplessness. You can punch your neighbor or smash a bum on the freeway, but your mayor or senator is beyond you. The strategy here is again, avoidance. Unrest will be proportionally worse the higher the population density. Fewer people means less aggression. Selecting associates with care and looking harmless may help.

Internationally, the U.S. is impotent and merely looking to save face as we back out. That means a business needs to be more international in outlook than ever before. Your parent company needn't be the bully to do good business. We're going

to \*need\* every good trade relation we can get.

If I were president, I'd be stroking Mexican ego and macho for all it's worth. We ain't gonna have no [sic] Middle East oil very soon now. Why be too proud to be super nice to a neighbor who's willing to sell, albeit for a good price, who also wants recognition and a sincere thanks. If this means that we all learn Spanish and live to see a Latinization of our culture, so what. Or rather, so what can we do about it anyway.

Which leads us to number six, the demise or evolution of western culture in America. This will come for two reasons, the massive influx of illegal aliens, and our inability to sustain growth without cheap resources. A business can't fight the trend. The strategy is again to look for ways to survive. Learning Spanish as an employee policy and setting up production and marketing to the south couldn't hurt.

Well, that's it for the "future" game. Unless someone designs it for us, it's hypothetical. Metagaming does expect to survive in the future portrayed. We will all live in a much different world fifty years from now. The century of U.S. dominance is ending; Russia's is beginning. We will now be like all the others; a bit bigger, a bit stronger, but we'll still be an also ran. [sic] [no one at LibertORian understands the meaning of the last clause.] The point is how to survive, prosper and be happy in the future. Trying to change it is the way to frustration and misery. "If you can't lick'em -- join'em", may not go down easy, but it works.

....Howard Thompson

\* \* E N D      A R T I C L E    \* \*

(Originally published in \*SPACE GAMER\* magazine issue 24, September 1979.)

~~~~~

D. N. S. L O O K U P F A I L E D

~~~~~

This issue was somewhat different from previous issues of LibertORian in case you haven't noticed. It's a little more blurry and had fewer longer articles. This is not a trend, and it's not NOT a trend. The magazine will mold into its own shape depending on how the wind blows. It might be the first time the wind ever molded something into shape.

Reading the response to the LibertORian 7 poll, people would like it if the magazine dealt with topical issues the most. But I do have the feeling that, at least for the sake of those readers who are not libertarian, or newly-interested in the historical nature of libertarianism and statism, articles of historical interest should be covered. The content will help provide a better understanding to current issues.

History was my worst subject. I was mostly into math. It all stems from my interest in statistics, I guess. I always thought that my occupation, if I wasn't currently in the one I chose, would be that of a statistician. Back on track: my hatred of history was due to never having a single teacher who made the subject interesting. All of them just made us memorize dates and events and match them up and I never learned the significance of ANYTHING- let alone how it relates to today. I never recognized the cyclic nature of history, and how there's really nothing new; it's all the same struggles, against new cultural backdrops.

For instance, we all know about the Victorian era and the puritans and their uber-prudism. But did you ever know WHY? I didn't. Then I found out that it was a story of rebellion against their parents'

- By Synonymous 1 -


generation, filled with promiscuity and hedonism. Those who lived in those eras saw the problems created by their lifestyle and they swung the pendulum far in the opposite direction. I never knew that from history class. School really was a detriment to learning in my case. But I was a product of the public school system. So if you think I sound stupid all the time, I defer you to government education.

It makes the fact that we have such an evil president in Barack Obama so much easier. He wasn't the first evil leader. Heck! He wasn't the first evil U.S. president! There was F.D.R., Wilson, Andrew Jackson to name just a few; two in the past 100 years. And to know how Obama got the way he is, look up Saul Alinsky and it's easy to penetrate his already predictable mind. You want to understand Hillary Clinton? She names Margaret Sanger as her role model! From the Nazi era, her eugenic plans inspired others as well... Adolf Hitler! (just stating a fact. I know if you mention Hitler you are automatically accused of comparing people to Hitler!) Again, nothing new under the sun. And now I know.

We'll cover the statistas as well as the libertarians. For it's knowing the minds and the playbook of the enemies of freedom that empowers the public to defend against them. It's if we had intercepted ISIS' entire plan, and had a White House that actually wanted to stop them.

There was a 90s show called \*Mystery Science Theatre 3000\* that ended each episode with "KEEP CIRCULATING THE TAPES" in the credits. Well, in the same spirit, "KEEP CIRCULATING THE PASTES". Copy & paste LibertORian and share with all! Peace out! ((L))  
- - - E N D O F F I L E - - -





<http://?????????????????.onion>

M A G A Z I N E

Volume 1 ~oo~ Issue 10 ~oo~ November 2015

ALSO: RISE ABOVE (HATE) -- LOST ART OF WRITING -- YOU'LL NEVER GET RID OF MONEY

|                       |   |
|-----------------------|---|
| TABLE OF CONTENTS     |   |
|                       |   |
| /                     | / |
| It's a table! Get it? |   |

|                                      |             |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| ACQUIRING RELAY.....                 | 3 YET AGAIN |
| DEEP WEB SITES OF THE DAY.....       | 4           |
| OnionUp?, Sigaint, & Galaxy 2        |             |
| ARTICLE.....                         | 5           |
| Biggest Global Warming Shakeup       |             |
| Since the ClimateGate Emails         |             |
| BLURBS: Non-Sequitirs.....           | 8           |
| ARTICLE.....                         | 9           |
| LiberTORian Intellectual Honesty     |             |
| Test                                 |             |
| FEATURE.....                         | 10          |
| You Will Never Get Rid of Money!     |             |
| ARTICLE.....                         | 12          |
| Repeal and Do Nothing!!!!!!!!!!!!    |             |
| FEATURE.....                         | 13          |
| Rise Above                           |             |
| BLURB.....                           | 17          |
| Thank You!!!                         |             |
| CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT.....        | 17          |
| OF THE DAY                           |             |
| The Second Amendment                 |             |
| FEATURE: RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART..   | 18          |
| Cursive & Letter-Writing             |             |
| ARTICLE.....                         | 24          |
| Be What You Are Without Sucking @ It |             |
| COVER STORY.....                     | 25          |
| A La Carte, Parte 2                  |             |
| CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAT.....    | 28          |
| captaina.hac                         |             |
| QUESTION CORNER.....                 | 31          |

|                             |                                    |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ~~ L i B e R t O r I a N ~~ |                                    |
| {                           | -- Volume 1 --- Issue 10 --        |
| {                           | November, 2015                     |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | A Publication of Synonymous 1.     |
| {                           | Published October, 2015.           |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | --                                 |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | LiberTORian is published on an     |
| {                           | irregular basis.                   |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,   |
| {                           | actually encouraged, to copy and   |
| {                           | distribute this text by any means  |
| {                           | possible, preferably by photocopy- |
| {                           | ing.                               |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | LIBERTORIAN IS SEEKING             |
| {                           | A DEEP WEB SITE                    |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | (Notice to an Onionland users:     |
| {                           | The views expressed in LiberTORian |
| {                           | aren't necessarily those of the    |
| {                           | webmaster. That's fine with us     |
| {                           | libertarians.)                     |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | CORRESPONDENCE:                    |
| {                           | synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com        |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | Send submission & letters to       |
| {                           | LiberTORian at the above address.  |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:             |
| {                           | 12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s |
| {                           | Even a millibitcoin!               |
| {                           | (.001 BTC)                         |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           |                                    |
| {                           | DON'T HURT PEOPLE                  |
| {                           | AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF.        |
| {                           |                                    |

D.N.S. LOOKUP FAILED.....32

~~~~~  
Please contact LiberTORian if you can host a LiberTORian mirror.  
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com -- libertorian@sigaint.org  
~~~~~

~~~~~

## A C Q U I R I N G       R E L A Y

~~~~~

> } - by Synonymous 1 - { <

Occasionally the question comes up: WHY LiberTORian? Well, why not? It is a void that needed to be filled. Nature abhors a vacuum, and SOMEONE would be making something similar.

The deep web has all kinds of users, and the upper wing would eventually be on the scene. I mean, it's there already in some of the common ideas expressed by people: limited government intrusion, distrust of statism, privacy rights, aggressive respect for free speech and expression, unrestrained innovating, freedom of commerce (of items banned by the state): all of these coincide with libertarian principles. It would only have been a matter of time until top wingers would create a place to call their own.

And LiberTORian isn't treading new ground in this respect. It's just the latest iteration of it. Right here in the pages of LiberTORian, we've chronicled other, more well established sites of interest to libertarians: Alpha 7 Bravo and We Fight Censorship are only two of several. But LiberTORian is built to be a place where Constitution-minded libertarians could feel at home and welcome. The few other writers and I come from a Constitutional Conservative viewpoint, so most of the material comes from such a vantage point. But we want this periodical to be an exchange of ideas for the entire spectrum of libertarianism. The only point of view we really don't welcome into these pages is a statist one. But no big loss for statist; there are tons of Deep Web sites for them. And we welcome statist to read our publication. We have no problem with them reading, or speaking out elsewhere on their views. But LiberTORian is something different.

So, how are we doing? Do you like, hate, couldn't care less about this? We'd like to hear from you. Contact us with your thoughts & comments at:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

Do you like the retro look? If you do, I wonder if you like them because you grew up in the days of BBS communication, where this type of file often was a carrier of information. Or maybe you are young and like how someone is taking this kind of approach in this day and age. Or you could be a minimalist, who likes the simplicity of plain .txt, which seems to go hand in hand with the deep web, where we shut off Java Script and avoid flash video and, in general, keep it simple and safe. That's something else specifically that we want to know from YOU.

And you are to thank. LiberTORian is for your enjoyment, enlightenment and concurrence (unless you disagree with some/most/all of it). Unless you're a statist, or don't advocate hurting others and/or taking their stuff, this is also a forum for your voice. We get letters and comments from the Dear Readers, but very few articles. One thing I'd like to see more of in LiberTORian is your input to shape the magazine. I mean, do you really want to read only what a few of my peers and I have to say? Wouldn't you like your words to be read in 30 or so years by some wide eyed youth, who found an archive of vintage eZines from the 2010s compiled by some electronic communications historian? well, here is your chance!

So, enjoy issue 10. Then send us your thoughts! And remember: don't hurt others and don't take their stuff! (L)



~~~~~

BIGGEST GLOBAL WARMING SHAKEUP  
SINCE THE CLIMATEGATE EMAILS

~~~~~

Dear Curt:

When I first joined the American Physical Society sixty-seven years ago it was much smaller, much gentler, and as yet uncorrupted by the money flood (a threat against which Dwight Eisenhower warned a half-century ago). Indeed, the choice of physics as a profession was then a guarantor of a life of poverty and abstinence—it was World War II that changed all that. The prospect of worldly gain drove few physicists. As recently as thirty-five years ago, when I chaired the first APS study of a contentious social/scientific issue, The Reactor Safety Study, though there were zealots aplenty on the outside there was no hint of inordinate pressure on us as physicists. We were therefore able to produce what I believe was and is an honest appraisal of the situation at that time. We were further enabled by the presence of an oversight committee consisting of Pief Panofsky, Vicki Weisskopf, and Hans Bethe, all towering physicists beyond reproach. I was proud of what we did in a charged atmosphere. In the end the oversight committee, in its report to the APS President, noted the complete independence in which we did the job, and predicted that the report would be attacked from both sides. What greater tribute could there be?

How different it is now. The giants no longer walk the earth, and the money flood has become the *raison d'être* of much physics research, the vital sustenance of much more, and it provides the support for untold numbers of professional jobs. For reasons that will soon become clear my former pride at being an APS Fellow all these years has been turned into shame, and I am forced, with no

pleasure at all, to offer you my resignation from the Society. It is of course, the global warming scam, with the (literally) trillions of dollars driving it, that has corrupted so many scientists, and has carried APS before it like a rogue wave. It is the greatest and most successful pseudoscientific fraud I have seen in my long life as a physicist. Anyone who has the faintest doubt that this is so should force himself to read the ClimateGate documents, which lay it bare. (Montford's book organizes the facts very well.) I don't believe that any real physicist, nay scientist, can read that stuff without revulsion. I would almost make that revulsion a definition of the word scientist. So what has the APS, as an organization, done in the face of this challenge? It has accepted the corruption as the norm, and gone along with it. For example:

1. About a year ago a few of us sent an e-mail on the subject to a fraction of the membership. APS ignored the issues, but the then President immediately launched a hostile investigation of where we got the e-mail addresses. In its better days APS used to encourage discussion of important issues, and indeed the Constitution cites that as its principal purpose. No more. Everything that has been done in the last year has been designed to silence debate

2. The appallingly tendentious APS statement on Climate Change was apparently written in a hurry by a few people over lunch, and is certainly not representative of the talents of APS members as I have long known them. So a few of us petitioned the Council to reconsider it. One of the outstanding marks of (in)distinction in the Statement was the poison word incontrovertible, which describes few items in physics certainly not this one. In response APS appointed a secret committee that never met, never troubled to speak to any skeptics, yet endorsed



the Statement in its entirety. (They did admit that the tone was a bit strong, but amazingly kept the poison word incontrovertible to describe the evidence, a position supported by no one.) In the end, the Council kept the original statement, word for word, but approved a far longer "explanatory" screed, admitting that there were uncertainties, but brushing them aside to give blanket approval to the original. The original Statement, which still stands as the APS position, also contains what I consider pompous and asinine advice to all world governments, as if the APS were master of the universe. It is not, and I am embarrassed that our leaders seem to think it is. This is not fun and games, these are serious matters involving vast fractions of our national substance, and the reputation of the Society as a scientific society is at stake.

3. In the interim the ClimateGate scandal broke into the news, and the machinations of the principal alarmists were revealed to the world. It was a fraud on a scale I have never seen, and I lack the words to describe its enormity. Effect on the APS position: none. None at all. This is not science; other forces are at work.

4. So a few of us tried to bring science into the act (that is, after all, the alleged and historic purpose of APS), and collected the necessary 200+ signatures to bring to the Council a proposal for a Topical Group on Climate Science, thinking that open discussion of the scientific issues, in the best tradition of physics, would be beneficial to all, and also a contribution to the nation. I might note that it was not easy to collect the signatures, since you denied us the use of the APS membership list. We conformed in every way with the requirements of the APS Constitution, and described in great detail what we had in mind—simply to bring the subject into the open.

5. To our amazement, Constitution be damned, you declined to accept our petition, but instead used your own control of the mailing list to run a poll on the members' interest in a TG on Climate and the Environment. You did ask the members if they would sign a petition to form a TG on your yet-to-be-defined subject, but provided no petition, and got lots of affirmative responses. (If you had asked about sex you would have gotten more expressions of interest.) There was of course no such petition or proposal, and you have now dropped the Environment part, so the whole matter is moot. (Any lawyer will tell you that you cannot collect signatures on a vague petition, and then fill in whatever you like.) The entire purpose of this exercise was to avoid your constitutional responsibility to take our petition to the Council.

6. As of now you have formed still another secret and stacked committee to organize your own TG, simply ignoring our lawful petition.

APS management has gamed the problem from the beginning, to suppress serious conversation about the merits of the climate change claims. Do you wonder that I have lost confidence in the organization?

I do feel the need to add one note, and this is conjecture, since it is always risky to discuss other people's motives. This scheming at APS HQ is so bizarre that there cannot be a simple explanation for it. Some have held that the physicists of today are not as smart as they used to be, but I don't think that is an issue. I think it's the money, exactly what Eisenhower warned about a half-century ago. There are indeed trillions of dollars involved, to say nothing of the fame and glory (and frequent trips to exotic islands) that go with being a member of the club. Your own Physics Department (of which you are chairman) would lose millions a year if the global warming bubble burst. When

Penn State absolved Mike Mann\* of wrongdoing, and the University of East Anglia did the same for Phil Jones, they cannot have been unaware of the financial penalty for doing otherwise. As the old saying goes, you don't have to be a weatherman to know which way the wind is blowing. Since I am no philosopher, I'm not going to explore at just which point enlightened self-interest crosses the line into corruption, but a careful reading of the ClimateGate releases makes it clear that this is not an academic question.

I want no part of it, so please accept my resignation. APS no longer represents me, but I hope we are still friends.

Hal

-----  
This is a reprint of the resignation Letter from Harold Lewis to Gurtis G Callan Jr of Princeton, President of the American Physical Society.

~~~~~

N O N - S E Q U I T I R S

~~~~~

\*Playboy\* magazine announced 13 Oct that it will no longer depict nude women in its magazine or web site. So, now we'll see how many people \*actually\* read it for the articles!

Planned Predators' president Cecilia Richards announced that they will no longer sell the body parts of babies they murder on the black market. So can we take it as 1) an admission of guilt? and 2) an admission that you lied about it?

I'd be on cloud nine if I was a republican candidate for president who just watched the first democrat debate on CNN.

That's diversity! Dem candidates: white people with average age of 65!

\* Michael Mann is the architect of the ClimateGate swindle. His false statistics were given to Al Gore for his propaganda film, "An Inconvenient Truth".

-----  
"HAL" is Harold Lewis, Emiritus Professor of physics AT UC Santa Barbara. His physics credentials are numerous and of the highest honor:

- o Former member Defense Science board, chmn of Technology panel
- o Chmn DSB study on Nuclear Winter
- o Former member Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards
- o Former member, President's Nuclear Safety Oversight Committee
- o Chairman APS study on Nuclear Reactor Safety
- o Chmn Risk Assessment Review Group
- o Co-founder and former Chairman of JASON
- o Former member USAF Scientific Advisory Board
- o Served in US Navy in WW II

((L))

HOW TO MAKE A LIBERTORIAN HARD COPY:

---

- 1 Open a word processor
- 2 Set page size to 8 1/2 x 11
- 3 Set orientation to Letter
- 4 Set all 4 margins to .75 inches
- 5 Cut an issue of LiberTORian from text editor and paste into your word processor
- 6 Highlight all text
- 7 Make font Courier New. Set font to 10.5 point and bold
- 8 Eliminate -PAGE #- and the spaces above and below so that the pages are lined up properly
- 9 Print (use both sides of the page)
- 10 Destroy the original files! (optional)

((L))



~~~~~

THE LIBERTORIAN INTELLECTUAL HONESTY  
--- TEST

~~~~~

Okay, for the last decade, my tolerance for dumb has decreased. I'm not suggesting curbing free speech, but I do want to shut certain people from \*MY\* ears by now. You've probably encountered the type. They are liberal, conservative, statist, and libertarian, as well as moderate. You'll find a lot more of them in statist and liberal ranks, but they are throughout the spectrum. They are known as the ENTRENCHED IDIOLOGUES. Actually, they aren't really known as that, but I'm coining the term now. These are people so devoted to a party that they abandon all thought, defending their side to extreme ends, and attacking all of their opponents, now matter what it is they do.

For instance, I know this joker who trolls the local news forum and attacks anyone who criticizes Obama, no matter how valid the criticism. He contorted himself into some very strange arguments, even exclaiming his joy that his fellow Obama voters are suffering under Obamacare, just because the critic said how wrong it is for them to suffer, and he had to be contrary.

How does this type of logic, if it could be called that, convince anyone to the other side? Are other Obama voters ashamed that this is their side's defense? Is it a surprise that even free speech proponents want to say, "shut up already!"

Remember Cindy Sheehan and how she vocally and adamantly expressed her hatred for the war in Iraq? She made a big fuss and cited President W. Bush's name a lot. And I stand up for her right to protest. But she, and the whole anti-war move-

- By Synonymous 2 -

went silent the moment Obama crossed his fingers and swore to uphold the U.S. Constitution. Curious.

The ACLU took W. Bush to the Supreme Court to end the so-called "Patriot Act" provision that allows warrantless wiretaps on international calls between Americans and known terrorists overseas. The court overturned the provision and Bush, to his credit, actually said, "the court says it's unconstitutional, so I will comply." Obama's violations, without even laws passed by congress to back them up, have been far more illegal and imposing on freedom, but the ACLU does very little these days for some reason.

This the genesis of the LibertORian Intellectual Honesty Test. The test is very easy to take:

| When a politician does something  
| that you don't have a problem with  
| him or her doing, and that person  
| is politically aligned with your  
| views, ask yourself, "Would I be  
| just as fine with it if the person  
| doing this held political views  
| that were diametrically opposed to  
| mine?"  
|  
| If the answer is yes, you \*ARE\*  
| intellectually honest.  
|  
| If the answer is no, you \*AREN'T\*  
| intellectually honest. You are an  
| ideologue!

Gee, that was simple. So the next time you complain that the right wants to cut Medicare (fine with me) and then when someone on the LEFT (Obama) becomes the 1st to ACTUALLY DO IT and you are silent, YOU LOSE!

Be intellectually honest. ((L))

```
ONKO000kOxkOkxxoc;'. F E D E R A L   R E S E R V E   N O T E ,okKOkdxlxo;d!:',...
X00kOOONX01 THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA odxOdxdcc;
Nk0O xXOklc dcllo:d:c'l;o,o:l;l!.cocd:dxlocco;lllx;'o;c!lc:dlolc,c,.lk;lko lc:.
xkXx :0xXx'. ..... . .ckxlx :::'
oONd xXOd0':. ....'.... . .:xdOxll:coOkkxc' F 12345678 A .lOdx.'l:;
OKK0k0X: . ,Ok,. .kNO;. ...ldkoo:c.
M0OdOo. 6 ,lxkOx; 'XXl,,,'...'om0' ... .6 lkllo:.
Kk0o: ,. c0'::,0c .OW0oc,:,...';kMx. .:lxll,. .','cc;;
OKx; :K;:.lXc :MMWNNkOx... 'KXWX. .' ;o::;cl ',kdko
X0o. :lodl, :MWNNWok0o;' ;0XXXX. ..d;'coel .c0KKM
0kK, . F 12345678 A 'WNXNWNlxko.0KKXNO. .cxlco'. .KXWX
XKk:'. . . ,kWNWNXO.. '0dxKNc; ;xKWN
kkd;xdc ;6 '. ...'.. ..:ddOMWMMM0,...OKNlOl' ... . 6' oo,okkX
dOk.xld '....'cclldooKK0KKdc,.:kedloc:. . . ;o.oKk0
Kdodl:dl:clcooll::olcod0; } ONE DOLLAR { ;kx;;;','',,,,','',:.'dclxc0
:c,.,odl:llcool;co:cxdkcdxk0oOdxx0dxd0okOdxdodokodxdckoko:l0lckxxxOdxocD0x..'OX
```

{ BY SYNONYMOUS 1 }

There are ways that variables can be put into this island scenario to teach all sorts of economic principles. For instance, there's not much for the guy who sells coconuts to do with his shells once he has them all and all the coconuts are eaten. So let's put a third person on the island who was really crafty and built a fishing rod and was able to catch 100 fish. Now the shell

guy could buy coconuts or fish depending on his mood. And either the coconut guy or the fish guy could use their shells to buy from each other. Now suddenly, the economy is dynamic. The coconut guy's shells are of value to the fish guy and vice versa. And they both value the shell collector's shells. So the coconut guy and shell guy continue to replenish their supply, while the shell guy looks for new shells. The economy is flowing naturally, but with new shells being added, let's say, by 10 a year, the economy naturally generates inflation. No one's appetite really changes too much, so the only thing to reduce the cost of things is the introduction of new products into the economy. If some guy from a neighboring island came with a raft and sold fishing rods he made to the coconut guy and the shell guy, the demand of fish would drop and its cost would decrease because there is more for everyone.

That is, if the coconut guy and the shell guy took the time to fish. Well, why wouldn't they? Because they're busy collecting shells and coconuts, just as the fish guy isn't busy collecting the shells. He's already got fishing on his agenda.

It's the same reason why we aren't all out there mining for gold. It is nice for those who enjoy it, but most of us have already chosen our vocation, be it nursing, creating products of our own, providing some service for others who can't, and so on.

Therefore, all of us working for our livings, to get the money, whose value is determined by its entire volume's exchange rate of the whole of goods and services we demand, that creates an economy. It's all one large, complicated island.

I could diverge from here into any kind of economic lesson that stems from these basic concepts (it's like

how algebra builds upon earlier concepts), the article \*IS\* about how we'll never get rid of money.

So let's say some communist has this brilliant idea that removing the money supply would make everything worth zero, making all possessions equal, and we're all living in John Lennon's stupid \*imagine\* song, with all of singing "I'd Like to Teach the World to Sing".

Ding dong! You're wrong!

Let's look at a place where money has been eliminated: many county prisons. The transfer of money in commerce is forbidden in many jails. But eliminating money doesn't mean that all possessions go away. And wherever there are possessions, there are people who covet the possessions of others. It is not uncommon in prisons for a functional item, such as cigarettes, to become a substitute for money. In such a case, cigarettes are valued even by people who don't smoke because of their acquired monetary value. Now cigarettes have all the power of money to make commerce happen.

Now, let's say that some statist take over the prison system or Michael Bloomberg becomes Obama's new attorney general. Cigarettes are banned. The supply then becomes secret, and dwindles over time, as cigarettes are either smoked or confiscated over time. Their value to purchase increases, and the result is deflation (like when fewer shells buy more coconuts.) It would be a long time before all the cigarettes were removed from the economy, and their value will be much higher the fewer there are.

This really happened in 1933, when the gold act was issued by President and all-around socialist Franklin Roosevelt. He decreed that all gold coins were illegal to own and became government property. Their evil plan, like most socialist plans, was a major failure in two ways:

1) it only made the Depression much worse, but so was just about everything FDR did (this may come as a surprise to those who were spoon-fed lies to the contrary in public school).

2) Millions of coins were confiscated and melted down, but so, too, were millions of coins kept safe from the government's grubby hands.

All money printed by the federal reserve were backed by gold. that means that for every dollar issued, the government had a dollar's worth of gold in its vaults. A person was able to redeem a dollar for a dollar in gold on demand at any bank. This prevented the government from artificially inflating the money supply. If the government issued more dollar bills than it had dollar coins, and everyone in America redeemed all of their dollars at the same time, the government would be unable to honor all the redemptions. When FDR unpinned the money supply to the gold supply, it freed itself to print money at will. This caused rapid inflation while the economy continued to suffer: \*stagflation\*.

Making gold ownership illegal was folly; now coins from that era are highly valued by collectors, investors, and insurers the world over. People value gold \*because\* it is so limited. And if there was no gold, people will attach value to some other asset that isn't easily acquired. We're still one big island. Economics occurs naturally, whether or not we regulate it.

You just won't get rid of money because other things will always take their place. You can't stop human nature, which is what the statist, communists, liberals, Occupy Wall Street, and all others who despise economic freedom try to do. Even if there is no money, you can't stop people from wanting the possessions of others, and devising ways to barter agreements to exchange things that we want from each other. ((L))

~~~~~

REPEAL AND \_DO NOTHING!!!!\_

~~~~~

Republicans ran in 2014 on repealing Obamacare if they were given a House majority. The voters delivered. the republicans didn't. Weasels. But did you expect otherwise from republicans? So we put them in there and instead of repeal Obamacare, they \*fund\* it! Then they gave their usual whining about how people will blame them for a government shutdown. Never mind the fact that their numbers INCREASE and the president's DECREASE whenever it happened, whether the president was Clinton or Obama. Looks like they value freedom as little as Obama himself.

So the next round of republicans has surfaced: the presidential candidates. Some of them are saying that if elected, they will "repeal and replace Obamacare". What the #\$%& is this?

WE DON'T WANT YOU TO REPLACE OBAMACARE WITH ANOTHER GOVERNMENT "SOLUTION! Replacing Obamacare is like a doctor removing a child's diseased appendix, then the parents asking the doctor what she's going to put in its place! We don't want or need to replace Obamacare with any other government solution. What we need in its place is NOTHING! The people will do it best without the government getting in the way. Oh, and for those who HATE the insurance system, 1) some insurance companies benefited from Obamacare if they were the "right" ones in Obama's eyes, and 2) the system since the 1960s was better by far than Obamacare, but the problems it had were GOVERNMENT CREATED! ...given to us in the 1960s by TED KENNEDY; so we trusted TED KENNEDY, who screwed up the system in the first place, to be a major force in giving us the even WORSE replacement?!!! ((L))

Now, on with the story. In case you haven't heard of a \*red room\*, these represent the worst of the web. It is allegedly a site where people have a hostage on video, and viewers pay bitcoins to request the hostage taker to harm, torture, etc. the hostage on video and/or kill them. Makes a great horror movie. Sickest

stuff in the world, and definitely never run by libertarians. I've never done the research to find out if such things are real. All I do know, to save money of any of you LibertORian readers who may be curious about these things: the one web site SHADOW WEB GATEWAY is a scam. Enough people with deep web experience have attested to this. Don't lose your bitcoins on them.

Anyway, I discovered a deep web site with the following message.

\* \* \* \* \* BEGIN \* \* \* \* \*

> Greetings!  
>  
> Do you think you've seen the worst  
> yet?  
>  
> On the 29th Aug 2015 00:00:00 UTC,  
> right here, on this onion address,  
> a new market will open. One that  
> even the feds will love.  
>  
> Do not miss the market opening.  
> do not want to miss! Watch real  
> life terrorist turn on each other.  
>  
> The world wide hate against ISIS  
> has created new demands and new  
> possibilities. Let us satisfy your  
> hate.  
>  
>  
> What to expect  
>  
> We will with official media pic-  
> tures and ISIS propaganda material  
> prove to you that we have 7 very  
> real ISIS jihadists in our capture.  
>  
> Everything is live and interactive.  
>  
> Their fate will be in your hands.  
> At 00:00 we will let everyone set-  
> tle down, grab their popcorn, get  
> their streams working, get familiar  
> with the chat and mingle.  
>  
> Meanwhile, our guests waiting for  
> their 72 virgins will be inter-  
> viewed, dressed in womens [sic]  
> clothing and other fun as we're

> letting the viewers settle down.  
>  
> Until 02:00, things will be pretty  
> SFW. At 01:30 we will let you vote  
> for 2 of the mujahedins to be part  
> of the rest of the show.  
>  
> The other 5 are assets for future  
> business opportunities.  
>  
> We will go on for as long as we  
> possible can, even without sleep  
> if necessary.  
>  
> Everything is completely free and  
> open for everyone, no restrictions  
> no demands! You will be able to  
> donate, but that is completely  
> optional and have no effects on  
> the show. This one is on the  
> house.  
>  
> 5 terrorists will still be un-  
> touched, business opportunities  
> will be disclosed a few days  
> after. By then, you will obvious-  
> ly know that we can provide.  
>  
> There will be no limits. We will  
> be as lawfull [sic] as ISIS. YOU  
> decide their fate and what we do  
> with them. We will listen.  
>  
> There will be many games with  
> interesting prizes, such as [ex-  
> plicit] and charade. The day will  
> be full of fun and surprises. Do  
> not missit! [sic] It'll be his-  
> torical, we've been preparing  
> this for so long.  
>  
> This is the day the world gets its  
> revenge on evil. There will be ba-  
> con, [explicit], dogs and other  
> surprises.  
>  
> This will be the most spectacular  
> thing you've seen in your whole  
> life, be so sure! WE ARE MAKING  
> HISTORY!  
>  
> See you on the 29th Aug 2015  
> 00:00:00 UTC. Until then, gentle-  
> men.

\* \* \* \* \* E N D \* \* \* \* \*

. . ; ' ' , , ; . .  
.oOK0K0KK000KK0k: .  
,ok0KK00K000000000000Od: .  
. :xK0000000000000000000000k1 .  
;OKKKKK0000000000000000000000;  
.oKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000;  
,0K0KKKKKKK00000000000000000000o .  
.OKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000:  
1XXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000000000000000000K1  
dXKKXXKKKKKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000c  
xXKXXKKKKKKKKKKKK00000000000000000000Ko  
xXXKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000000000000000000Kd.  
:XKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00cc: ' ':oOKKK00000001  
.0KKKXXKKK0x1ok0000xc;; ' . ;d0KKKK00K000,  
xKXKKKKKKk1 . .co000Odlook0KKKKKK0000000:  
:NXK000KKKx' . ;k0000KKK00KKKKKK0000000Kk .  
.KN0dxOOKKXK0kxkk000K00KKKKKKKKKK00000000o  
cXX00KKKXXKKK000000000KKKKKKKKKK00000000o .  
.xXXXXXXXXXXXXK000000KKKK00KKKKKK0000000001  
.0KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKK000000000000;  
,0XXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKK0000000000000000;  
,0NXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKK000000KKKKK00000d .  
'OXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXKKK0000000000000000;  
oXXNXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKK0000000000000000:  
,KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKK0000000000000000K00d'  
1XKKXXXXXXXXXXXXKK0000KKKKKKKKKKKKKK000d .  
kNXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000xolc;' .  
:XKKXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00K00K0ko, .  
1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00K0000ok1', , , , , :c1  
;0XXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000K00dc1lllc:cd0000  
.1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000Kk1:cclcco000000  
.1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00000c;;c1lld0KK0000  
.cdKXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000Ko;ccloodOKKKKK00  
.xNXXXXXXXXXXXXNXXXXNXXXXKKKKKKKKKK000k;;clodxk0KKKKK00  
'kXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000o;;:clox000KKKKK0  
cXNNXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000:;;:clk0K00KXKKK  
cXNXXXXXXXXXXXXNNXXXXKKKKKKKK00KKKK00k;;:lxKK00KXKKK  
.1KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00d,;;:ckKK000XKKK  
,kXXXXKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK00o. ' , , , 1OKK000XKKK  
.oOKKXXXXXKK00KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK0c. ' ' ' 1OKXK00KXKK  
.1KKKKXXXXXK000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKK0; . . . :OKXKKXXXXK  
.o0KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKK0000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0: . . :kXKKXXXXX  
,0KXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0; . , d0XKKXXXXX  
.xKXXXXXXXXXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0 . ' 10XKKXKNX  
.OXXXXXXXXXXXXXK00KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0KKKXO. . ' :ONXKKXKNX  
oNXXXXXXXXXXXXK0KKXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0KKK0c . ' :ONXKKXKNX  
.KXKXXXXXXXXXXXXK00KXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKx. . . :ONXKKXKNX  
,XXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKK000KXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00, . . . ;kNXXKKXKNX  
1NXXXXKKKKKKKKXXXXK0000KXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00c. . . . ,xNXXKKXKNX  
.OXNXXKKKKKKXXXXK00000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KXKK0Ko. . . . ' dXNXXXXXX  
dXXXXKKKKKKKXXXXK0000KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK00KKKKKK00KKKKKKk' . . . ' 1XNNNXXXX  
,NXXXXKKKKKKXXXXK00KKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK000KKKKKK00KKKK0; . . . ' cXNXXNXXXX  
oWXXXXKKKKKKXXXXKXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000KKKKKK0KKKXX1. . . . :KNXNNNNN  
OXKXXXXKKKKKKXXXXKXXXXKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKKK0000KKKKKK000KXd. . . . ,0XNNNNNN

THIS IS THE ISIS MEMBER WHO BEHEADED AMERICAN STEVEN SOTLOFF...  
HE IS WEARING A HOOD BECAUSE HE IS A COWARD

Okay, now. When I said don't hurt other people and don't take their stuff, I mean it. These terrorists are the scum of the Earth. But, I didn't say don't hurt others unless they are the scum of the Earth and then it's okay. The date for this event, August 29th, has come and gone. I don't know if this was an event that took place or not. I'm sure that if it is, the remaining 5 terrorists were bought by the Obama administration and set free.

What is disturbing about this is that these dirtbags are no longer a threat. To play games like this with human life, no matter how deserving one may think they are of it. I think this is one of the rare cases where the death penalty is justified, only because this is the one sure-fire way to protect people from their murderous acts. The world has become so uncertain these days. I attribute it to the United States being so unstable, and where America goes, so does the world. It's no longer a sure thing that life in a military prison means so. In this case, I make an exception, though I'm not happy with this hard decision. I oppose the death penalty because 1) it hurts people, and 2) it is state-sanctioned murder. But if there is a candidate for the death penalty, that title belongs to ISIS. And Planned Predators.

But if it is to be administered, do it as humanely as possible.

This grotesque show does nothing. Nothing but fuel the hate. Did you read how they want us to tap into our hate, for entertainment purposes? And when it is all said and done, how will this kind of treatment make things any better? Can it undo the evil that ISIS has done? No. Are we any better off? Not in the least? Did we feed our hatred and bring ourselves down to their level? Yes!

In the portion of this onion site

you read here, and other parts, the sitemasters say things like, "we will be as legal as ISIS was", or "what we're doing is no worse than ISIS. Maybe so. But it is no better than them, either. Isn't the least that we can ask is that we be better than ISIS? I'd hate to say anything that may be misconstrued as any statement remotely saying anything positive on ISIS, and this is not intended to do justice to them, but at least ISIS is motivated by hate AND a belief in something. this is motivated by hate alone.

I can understand people's hatred for terrorists, especially if they had a loved one murdered by these dirtbags. But there was an ISIS member who recently converted away from the life of terror because he saw how Christians held captive forgave them and showed courage in not renouncing their belief in Christ in their last moments. Isn't it amazing that such a contrast in the behavior of ISIS' hostages had the power to convert?!

They say that courage is contagious. When that scumbag in Oregon singled out Christians for death in the mass shooting, and spared the lives of the non-Christians, the pattern was quite apparent by the time the ninth person was killed, but it didn't stop people from professing their faith. I'm not saying this as a criticism of those without faith in God, because it's not. But love and courage will RISE ABOVE hate.

Examples of this is even found in the silliest, most absurd places. John Cena, the clean-cut, all-American, play-by-rules wrestler in the WWE, uses the slogan RISE ABOVE HATE. On the Big Bang Theory, streetwise Penny perplexes supergenius Sheldon with advice so simple, Sheldon overlooks it: LOVE TRUMPS HATE.

I'm nowhere near perfect. So I'm not telling you to love your enemy. That is up to you. But these guys, dirt bags as they are, were made in the likeness of God before they chose




their life of dirtbagginess. God wanted them to choose love too. It upsets Him that they chose evil and a life that will lead them to an eternity of eternal condemnation. But God is a God of justice, and it is written, "Vengeance is mine, says the Lord." We are not to be gleeful in this fact, but be saddened that they threw away what could have been a virtuous life.

Yes, I believe all that. If you don't believe in God, I'm fine with that, and aren't telling you this to impose my belief onto you. I'm just writing this to say that we can rise above their mentality. I'm also not asking for compassion on these jerks and a half. But let's not delve into becoming a sadistic, barbaric society. There's enough of that in the history of mankind.

For instance, there is a difference between the American revolution of 1776 and the French revolution of 1789. The Americans prayed for the souls of the British soldiers they killed. Even when they killed, they showed respect. In sharp contrast, the French revolution was fought in the name of "reason", was without any moral grounding, destroyed historical buildings unrelated to Louis XIV's oppression, and burned the churches and killed the pastors who had nothing to do with the monarchy.

I'd even be okay turning ISIS over to the Israeli government. They may be harsher than I wish, but at least they may get needed intelligence out of them that America won't. The USA really has no moral authority even to fight ISIS, considering that our own government adamantly supports murdering babies. We've got to clean up our act before going overseas and preaching to them on morality.

Let's start with ourselves, though. That's what libertarianism's all about. Take the first step and say NO! to lowering ourselves to ISIS' level. ((L))



If you made an anonymous donation to LibertORian, THANK YOU. This ASCII Thank you graphic was hand-made just for this blurb. Now the mission is to find the wisest use of the funds. THANK YOU for your generosity. ((L))

~~~~~

# CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT OF THE DAY: The Second Amendment ~~~~~

With that scuzzball in Oregon who was shooting Christians in a college the big event, Obama is peeing his pants with glee (thx to an onionland user for that visual), excited to politicize the dead for statist gain and so brazen that he even says that it's what he's doing! His exploitation of people murdered for their religious beliefs led to 6000 locals signing a petition saying "WE DON'T WANT YOU COMING TO THE FUNERAL!" By the way, an army vet ran to his car to get his gun to stop the scumbag, whom we won't dignify by naming in LibertORian (he wanted his name to be famous) and the rescuer was stopped by the only campus guard and his gun confiscated because it was a "GUN FREE ZONE". Lives could have been saved if it weren't for statism trying to repeal this amendment:

"A well regulated militia, being necessary to the security of a free State, the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, shall not be infringed."

HISTORY LESSON FOR STATISTS: The word \*regulated\* meant \*functioning\* in colonial times, not \*controlled by the government\* (so it means, "a well functioning militia".) ((L))

.  
lk  
.Ok  
dlk  
o:'k  
:kO 'k  
l:o;o;k  
lk .kk  
,::, ck  
.. '':.

.'.  
.l ,X:  
d' .N' '  
o; oM',d  
'...,'.

.'.  
,,'c. .d.  
'd. l, k'  
.d l. ,l  
l' dc:  
l :l.lc  
'l .k. k'  
,l d' k.  
,o,od' .o:  
, ' '':.

,.  
'oll  
k,:c  
.k'k.  
,Od,  
cW: ..  
Oc o:  
O, dc'.  
d:.c.  
,,'

.....  
'o. oc  
'o co  
l. ::'c.  
.l  
,l  
,l  
,l ;,  
, '...',  
...

','  
::  
k. '  
,::,  
..

,,'  
10 d'  
.kk. ,k  
:d: ,k  
k, c' ,k  
k' ',O:  
:d .k,  
,;d. d'  
c'l:'...:  
.. ..

..  
k'  
:d  
k'  
:d  
...,0,  
k. :O  
.k ok l.  
c:.'dk':,  
.

','...:  
.k. co  
.k ;:::  
oo  
,,c:  
.l  
,l  
'l cc  
'...,'

...c  
d'co  
klc..d.  
.c....

~~~~~

## RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART, PART 2

~~~~~

### HAND-WRITING LETTERS (& CURSIVE)

- By Synonymous 1 -

This may not seem like a lost art to you, but the younger readers may be unfamiliar with cursive writing or why it is important. A good starting point would be WHY it's becoming a lost art... and it has little to do with typing and the internet.

The internet does put the focus upon young people towards typing while de-emphasizing writing, but the big push comes from the statist movement by the federal government to implement \*Common Core\*, a set of standards specifically designed to ensure that children come out of the public school system uneducated.

One of the crucial ways Common Core keeps children ignorant is the complete elimination of cursive writing education from all public schools. There is a motive behind it. One of the enemies of statism and the progressive agenda is the existence of real documents that reveal the truth behind history. Such documents are often the bane to revisionist history, as they prove the truth in the actual words of those who were present. For instance, David Barton, the world's foremost collector of Revolutionary War era documents, has more than enough of the actual writings of the Founding Fathers to dispel the myth that originated in the Wisconsin universities that most of them were deists. So, short of destroying these documents, how can statist prevent them from being read?

If the ability to read cursive is "forgotten", future generations will be unable to read a hand-written letter... or a historical document! Today's \*Raiders of the Lost Art\* is

```

.....c' '      .cc:
:c   k.          k'co
, .. ,o         .k.k.
    l'          ccd,
    . 'd..       oO:
      :c         .0,
, : ' .k.        cc .
oc .l,          k. ,o
... ' :.         cW:,,
                .00.
                dkk
                ,o,k
                l,d:
                ,,,

.
.ddd
oc,k
occo 'X,
    10,...,0,
    co   k,
. 'd.   'k
.k'd.   co
ok      'd.
,,' ..... '

..
; ,.l,   oxl
l ,k    d,l.
' ' :d 10,.
    k: 'O'
    :0,.ll
    d: k,
    :o .k ..
o 'd.   'k 'd
':;     :,,

...
oc l
k, l,
k, l'
,d l
cOl
.. Oo
d: ,d.
:o :c
. ' '

...
,c' .lO.
.k ;o .
.k l' 'o.
',, 'x, '
,kc
.l:k.
k.co
.O'd.
'
'k k.
ll:o
kok.
.KKc..
.WKc l'
;W: l ' '
ld l :o
, , , '

...
'K. :
'k : ,
' , .

```

for these generations, in the event that parents think that the schooling that their children are getting currently is adequate.

At the time of release of this issue of LiberTORian (2015), children are still being taught to print in block letters. The information following this paragraph may seem childishly stupid for you to read, but remember that this material will be all-new to the generation that follows the millennials.

Cursive writing is an alternative form of printing, where each letter is printed in a alternative, fancy style. All letters of the alphabet have their own look, which can be seen on the left-hand column of this article. You will notice that the cursive alphabet is made up of curve shapes. Each letter is tied together with \*ties\*, enabling an entire word (except the dots in the lower-case i and j, and the cross bar in the lower-case t) to be written in a single stroke without lifting one's pen.

A supplement to LiberTORian 10 will circulate in conjunction with this file: LiberTORian Issue 10A. Issue 10A depicts ASCII art of the cursive alphabet in greater detail. Keep in mind that cursive writing opens itself up to becoming stylized. The letters shown here are not the only way the letters can be written. For example, the capital A is drawn more commonly like a giant oval, a larger version of the lower case \*a\*. Each writer, over time, puts their own character to the lettering style and makes it her own (or his own). There is an entire field of "hand-writing analysts", who examine the writing style alone of a person, ignoring the content of the writing completely, and using their skills, look into the psyche of the writer to discover insights that can not be found in the words themselves.

Look at each letter's shape carefully and reproduce it with your pen.

```

      .''
      l k.
      ,l k,
      ,l k,
      ,l k,
      .l 'k
      l oc
      l:k.
      ,Wk,.
      oMl
      .dxc'
      .d.l
      .,',
      l. co .o'.o
      l ,k .d.
      .' co'c.
      kll
      :d l
      k. l
      . oc l .o
      ol;: c,'c.
      ..

      .,l.
      l.k,
      l.k.
      ,od,
      ,Xo
      lO
      .K,
      O' c,
      olc,
      .

      ',:. ;,:. ,,'c.
      k. ckd. kd: :d
      c; :Mc OK ,k
      . k0 ,Mc d:
      .X: cO .k.
      ck k, co
      k, ,k k,
      .k d: 'k l.
      ., c .l',.

      ,;c...c.
      .k. :Ok. ,k
      ;: cMc ,k
      kO d:
      .K, k.
      cd :d
      k' k' .
      'k ,k l.
      .' .c,:.

      .:K; ,X' co
      :k oc k, :
      c. c. lc,:

      c:kd'cd
      ,,.0' ,k .
      co d: c,
      :. :c,'

```

Printable guides exist to learn the cursive letters stroke-by-stroke on clearnet at this time (2015). You can find them with a search on Duck Duck Go's image search (or that of your favorite non-Google search engine; by the way, did you know that Google has a contract with the U.S. government and has \$\$\$Billions\$\$\$ to gain in crony socialism via Common Core?). I suggest that you archive a copy of such guides alongside your LibertORian collection. The future may need them.


#### WRITING ACTUAL LETTERS AND SENDING THEM THROUGH THE MAIL

One of the things you can do with cursive is hand-write a letter and mail it to someone.

"Now, why would I do something like that?" I hear you cry. "I have an email account!"

Simple. Before the proliferation of email, people took the time and effort into writing letters to one another. Since letters were usually written in ink, one's words were chosen more carefully before limned on paper. Recipients of letters in the mail often cherished them and sometimes kept and collected them as mementos of their loved ones.

A letter contains five parts, whose positions are depicted in the diagram below:



```

      .
      ,kd, :,
      o,k, d:
      c, oc ,k
      l .d. :d
      ,l .l k,
      ,l .lO'
      ,l .k.'
      .l .c.
      ,.,.'

      ....
      '':':....:
      .d' l, ,d
      .d. l' .k
      c: d. c'
      l 'o'....
      .l d'
      ,l o:
      ,l.o,
      ,'.

      .'.'.
      .c' o:
      k' ,k
      'k .: :d
      o'',. k'
      o:
      :'.
      '.. .o.
      k ;lll .:,
      '' ''.'

      ....'
      .:,:. .c
      ,o l, l'
      'd l. l.
      l' d. ,;
      l :Kk...
      'l .k:k
      ,l d'.k ,
      ,o,o. d:'c'
      .. .'

      .::
      d:k.
      k,k.
      .Od
      .k:;
      .d. .o.
      'o d' ,k
      dx' ,k
      .cl'....c.
      . ...

      ...
      ;'Olo.
      'l cd:l..
      .l ,O;'
      ''',.

      :c..
      :X, 'd.
      kd k,..
      k' :kcc
      co .': '
      k'
      ,o
      l.
      :

      '.'.
      .o. kc
      l, l :.
      ,l :l'c.
      .':Wl.
      oM'
      'kO.
      d:k'
      ddo

      od.
      oc.o'
      . l, ,,
      l.:c
      .'.

```

The five parts are:

- 1) HEADER: historical information.
- 2) SALUTATION: A greeting to the recipient.
- 3) BODY: the content, consisting of the writer's thoughts and ideas the writer wishes to convey.
- 4) CLOSING: indicates that the letter has reached its end.
- 5) SIGNATURE: The person's name.

There are two types of letters:

- 1) PERSONAL LETTER: A letter to a loved one or friend.
- 2) BUSINESS LETTER: A letter to a business.

Both types of letters have the same structure, but each type has its own structural rules. LiebrTORian will examine personal letters first.

#### WRITING A PERSONAL LETTER

A personal letter is a thrill to a person who receives one in this day and age. This is especially true when the recipient is a seasoned citizen who remembers the thrill of getting what they consider a gift from a loved one. Here is how to write each part of a personal letter to someone.

PAPER: a typical personal letter is written on lined paper, like college ruled paper. If the paper has holes so that it can be placed in a 3-ring or 5-ring binder, the front of the page has the holes on the left side, and the margin (unruled portion) on top. Both sides of the paper are written upon.

HEADER: The header rests on the top line. For a personal letter, it is simply the date the letter was written.

SALUTATION: The salutation is a short phrase that includes the name of the recipient and always ends in a comma. The standard format is almost exclusively the same for any

,.....'	c.
:c k'	.k.
.c.. 'd	oc
l,	,O,,
l	cc
,l	.k.
'.. d.	kd
d: o:	o; .k.
.c...:	c;' ,.

'::: .:	
k. co .k	
c; co oc	
. k' .k.	
,k ok	
d: .N:	d. :c
.k. dW,	ck .O.
.k ;Ok l.	d: dk .o.
,:'' ,.c,::	:c':,o':.

,;.c. .lcl	
.k. :d d:,k	
:; ll cooc	
.k' .dk.	
:d ol.	
k, co	ck 'O
.k .o	Ol ;Nl..
.k 'c	k, l...
c'''	,:..'

c:.l' Ol 'o.	
.k. ,k oM, c:	
,: ,k .kK, ::	
,k c'k,'c	
d:.l'k.k.	
kcd.,Oo:	:; oc ,K.
kXc ,Md	.k 'k cKc'.
kO cK.	'k .kc .o. .
'.	:; ,',',

....	...
,o :c.c, l'	
c: xo.	
,. .O.	
:o	
O'	'...',.
cX. .	.d' xk..
,Ok .d.	' x. '
o' :c.k. .k.	:k .o.
,... .'. '	,', '...'

personal letter:

Dear [First Name of Recipient],

It is written on the first line, on the left side of the page. A little room is given for creativity to replace the word "dear". More common variants are:

Dearest [Name],  
My Dear [Name],  
My beloved [Name],

BODY: The body is what you want to write, sharing personal thoughts and ideas. Children's personal leutters often begin with: "How are you? I am fine. Last week, I.... (event in child's life)." You can do better, and you improve with practice of the skill. Indent with each new paragraph.

CLOSING: The opposite of the salutation, the closing indicates that the letter has ended. Closings always end with a comma. The most common closing is:

Love,

Other common closings are:

Best Regards,  
Your Friend,

The closing is usually centered on the page.

SIGNATURE: Your signature. This is written on the next line, beginning slightly right of the closing.

## WRITING A BUSINESS LETTER

A business letter gets the attention of a business that an email can't. It shows that the person cared so much that they took the time to sit with a physical writing utensil and express their concerns.

PAPER: Business letters should be on unlined paper. A person can tape a piece of lined paper to a glass win-



Most of the world subscribes to a religion of some kind, whether it be Bhuddism, Hinduism, some form of

LiberTORian's G.P.S made a nice ASCII comic illustrating the point. Enjoy!

-Synonymous Xi ((L))



## AN IDEA WHOSE TIME HAS COME

P A R T    2

By Synonymous 1

[illegible]

Last issue, LiberTORian touched upon the topic of a la carte cable and satellite channels, how subscribers are forced to buy packages that includes channels that they don't ever watch. Today, we will look at the inner workings of the system itself.

Cable and satellite companies offer their channels in \*packages\*. The consumer only has a few packages to choose, and usually they are tied to choose what channels they like at a price point she or he can afford. A subscriber's decision boils down to, "do I want to pay this much to receive these channels, or am I willing to pay more to receive these extra channels? Typically, a channel has an "entry tier", the lowest tier that the channel appears in, and it will appear in all tiers above it. The tier level usually is chosen by the wideness of its appeal. When a channel has broad appeal, it is put into a lower tier. Specialized networks will be reserved for higher tiers.

Why is there so little diversity in the tier system? Congress. Politicians made it illegal to offer a la carte cable or satellite channels to subscribers.

Why did politicians make it illegal? Money. The TV network lobbyists ensured that the politicians get their reward for eliminating cable choice.

Why do the TV networks oppose the a la carte system? The answer is obvious: TV networks are paid by cable and satellite companies per subscriber; If an a la carte system was in place, TV networks would only be paid by viewers who want their net-

works. Under the tier system, now the customer is forced to buy many channels that they don't want. The networks screw consumers by requiring them to buy their networks in order to gain access to the channels that they *\*do\** want.

Millions of TV viewers have to buy unwanted TV channels just because of some greedy politicians and greedier television companies. Such laws are unjust and ought to be repealed.

Digressing a moment, satellite provider Dish Network made an attempt to circumvent the government's mandates without breaking the law. The company added a "family" tier, which is valued at their regular tier, but made up of family-friendly channels. It's not much, but Dish's consumer-mindedness does at least give the customer an extra option.

It is useless, but if we lived in a time, like before 10 years ago, when our elected officials still cared a shred about what their constituents think, we could call them up at the Capitol Switchboard (202) 224-3121, and ask for legislation that emancipates users from a la carte. With the grip from the networks lost, it would force them to once again care enough about the quality of their broadcasts. Since they aren't beholden to their users, who will pay them regardless of their interest in watching, they're just as tone-deaf as the politicians.

Many networks with low viewerships would simply go away, or be forced to compete in the marketplace. It won't be long, anyway, before they will be forced by technology alone

•  
• •  
• /  
• /  
• / / •  
• • • / /

The image displays a single melodic line of a musical score. The notation is written on a single staff and includes a variety of rhythmic values: quarter notes, eighth notes, sixteenth notes, and thirty-second notes, often beamed together. There are also numerous rests of different durations. The score is organized into measures, with some measures containing multiple notes and others being rests. The overall structure suggests a complex, possibly contemporary, piece of music.

```

cddc
OMMO
;NWMMWNdkNNkKXlKNNl   oNNO   ...
.0MM0..0MMM0d:NMMo     dMMK .lkkkkd;
OMMO  OMMO  .NMMo      kMMK.o,,,'c:;;
OMMO  OMMk    OMMWkdOMMMK.do oo .d'
o00d  d00o    l0NNNOx00x ;ldxoc.

```

Depicted are the logos of some of the channels with low or dwindling viewer turnout, that have a lot to lose if cable and TV subscribers were given a la carte services. Other channels with low average viewerships include:

al Jazeera itself on average has under 13,000 viewers, but it's backed by non-viewing subscribers AND its owner, the dictator of Qatar.

to make such decisions. People are shutting off their cable and instead are watching only the TV series they want on NetFlix and the other online streaming services. Or, they are opting to subscribe to internet-only 24/7 TV networks. The first such channel was The Blaze, which in its first year was online only before it partnered with Dish Network on its first anniversary. The Blaze pioneered many of the technologies that the internet made possible, such as 2nd screen technology and hypernews citation links. The WWE network was the second network of this type, and it is still an internet exclusive. Traditional networks must find ways to compete or risk diminishing market influence.

This article was about halfway finished when I received a hidden text message from one of the other Libertarian writers:

-----  
Did-U put that ala carte article to rest yet? Cuz Rush limbaugh is on air RIGHT NOW talking bout it!!  
-----

I tuned in then, curious to listen to what he was talking about. He concluded with a major point which I wasn't aware of, forcing me to have to rewrite what I wrote so far to be more concise and fit in the new material (and this explanation, which, to be terse, will end on this line.)

The audio at the moment I was tuning in said that 3.2 million people cut their cable, and ESPN was hurting the most, because they received six dollars per subscriber per month. This is a loss of \$19.2 million per month or \$230 million per year (Rush rounded to \$250 million). ESPN will be laying off over 300 employees. That's how the cookie crumbles. If market forces mean the demand isn't there, the nature of economics will drive ESPN out of the market (unless the government deems them "too big to fail"). Rush subscribes the loss to online TV channels, and the ex

viewers being nerds who never tuned in to ESPN to begin with.

Then came the part about TV bundling that I never knew before. It was described as the TV networks' "secret".

The vast majority of Hollywood films lose money. They cost too much for the average viewer to not be selective, the ratio of good to bad films is increasing, and many force statist themes or agendas that no one wants to have preached to them in what is supposed to be an escape from these things. As a business model, they are dismal failures, and would not survive on their revenues alone. So they need another source of funding.

That source is television.

The secret is that Hollywood studios need to own one or more television stations as a guaranteed source of revenue from the public. THESE STATIONS ARE WHAT FUNDS THE DOMINANT POP CULTURE AND ITS VALUES. Millions of people object to the values of Hollywood, which often attacks capitalism, freedom, Constitutional and family values. Yet they must unwillingly fund the studios which would not survive the marketplace on their own.

Like everything else in life that doesn't harm others, let the viewer decide which channels they want to support with their money. The average TV viewer has 15 channels that define all their viewing interests. Most people don't ever venture past those 15 channels. So why must they purchase 200 channels for those 15? It's an unfair, unlibertarian, and crooked practice. Let the networks, and the Hollywood companies that own many of them, base their success on the quality of their products, and not force a captive non-audience to be the source of income for their ongoing failure. Since politicians won't listen, demand change via your TV providers!  
(L)

[illegible]

# CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

- `captaina.hac`

[illegible]

WHO IS CAPTAIN ZAP? is a transcript of a Washington Post article from 1984, and is a great example demonstrating that hacking is not such a black-and-white issue as many who

aren't immersed in the subculture  
may think. Below is the 80-column  
original article, (perfect width for  
a LiberTORian appearance) exactly as  
it appeared, typos and all!

\*\*\*\*\*

ok.

32

File: WHO IS CAPTAIN ZAP?

Read 23 times

[illegible]

Some once-rebellious computer hackers' may be going establishment.

A Philadelphian named "Captain Zap," for example, who calls himself a "retired electronics hobbyist," is even doing computer security. Quite a switch from the days when he and friends were stealing -- via computer -- hundreds of thousands of dollars in goods and services.

Another young man simultaneously advises corporations and puts out the TAP newsletter for hacking trying to get into big computers without authorizaition.

Aside from the ethical questions, can such consultants help prevent incidents such as the recent acquisition of secret passwords to a computer storing credit data at the TRW Information Systems, Anaheim, Calif.? Opinions vary.

On one hand, you normally don't hire an ex-thief to guard your safe. But on the other hand, a New Jersey security man says he's uncovered hacker threats -- via Zap -- to major banks and dozens of corporations. He and a prominent Washington-area consultant are among those who swear by Zap. A third computer expert working for the prestigious SRI think tank in Menlo Park, Calif., claims hackers without the usual credentials can make first-rate security people. The term "hacker," he stresses, is far from synonymous with "criminal."

Says Jay BloomBecker, a Los Angeles computer crime expert: "It's pretty foolish to hire a hacker who's broken the law because they've already demonstrated their lack of trustworthiness. There are a lot of other people

just as bright who have stayed within the law."

Zap, whose real name is Ian A. Murphy, drew his nickname out of the air. "I zapped security, so I named myself that. I tried not to destroy anything. The object was to show people that if I could get in, a less benign person could."

In the names of major corporations, however, Zap and friends ordered, in the early '80s, five Texas Instrument 787 terminals worth \$3,800 each, a \$13,000 Hewlett Packard minicomputer and other odds and ends.

All together they stole over \$100,000 in goods and \$212,000 in services. Zap received a \$1,000 fine, 2.5 years probation and 15 hours a week of community service.

Despite his anti-establishment computer acts, he is a Philadelphia Republican fond of wing tips ("they show good breeding"). His usual rates are between \$450 and \$1,200 a day, plus expenses. He says he now has six clients through subcontracting, although his high daily rate apparently puts off many prospects. To earn rent money, Zap fills in as an air-conditioning repairman, work he started in his teens when he first began taking computer-science courses (and started hacking).

"I kept asking Spring, Bel and the others for jobs," he says, "and they kept turning me down. The strange thing is that I'd call Bell and say, 'Hi there, I bet I can get into this and that,' and they'd say, 'No, you can't.'"

He could. He charged phone calls to an ocean liner, and even broke into military computer networks to play computer games. ("They have 'Star Trek,' 'Hangman,' and 'Chess'.")

Among those who take Zap's advice seriously: Lindsay L. Baird Jr., a tough ex-military policeman now in computer security consulting, and Robert P. Cambell, once a top Army man in computer security and now head of Advanced Information Management, Inc., Woodbridge, Va.

"I had doubts at first," concedes Baird. Zap read of Baird in the Philadelphia Inquirer last year, requested a meeting, walked through Baird's house in Mountain Lakes, N.J., and declared "You're clean."

"In what way?"

"No hidden recording devices or microphones," replied Zap, and pulling back his coat to reveal a bug-detecting device.

Baird, who believes no in The Redemption of Zap, says he has picked up items off hackers' electronic bulleting boards that revealed in detail how to break into the compters of a leading hospital supply firm, a food company and major banks, among dozens of other victims.

"The FBI knows I'm working with him," says Baird, who shares much of the infomation that Zap uncovers. He praises Zap as "a damn good technician."

"We're both learning from each other," says Cambell, adding that "There is nothing that Ian can do that my staffers can't do better, but he's developed his talents without a formal education."

Captain Zap, who has started his own security firm, Secure Data Systems,

tests clients' computer safeguards before "electronics hobbyists" get around to it, as he did for a major financial firm.

How safe was its computer system? "I can't tell you," says Zap. "I'm under a confidentiality agreement."

Zap, one must remember, is a felon (and as of a few days ago had yet to pay off the \$1,000 fine, pleading tight finances). Other reminders: Federal laws prohibit banks' hiring of felons for work too close to the money; some government agencies may not find use of a felon-consultant to be legally or politically possible, even through a subcontracting agreement. Besides, not every client can monitor the Zaps as well as a security expert.

Despite all this, some experts maintain that people like Zap may be excellent at simplifying techno-gook and warning the nontechnical of some of the more common threats from crooks, malicious or snoopy hackers and other electronic break-in artists. The computer-room crew may rant and rave about the need for good computer security, but that's now match for hearing the facts directly from a computer felon.

Baird plans to use Cheshire Catalyst, a New York hacker who doesn't want his real name used ("I like my privacy") and Zap in the "Tiger Team," military term for electronic devil's advocates to test clients' computer security.

Most problems, says Cheshire Catalyst, are "people problems. People tack passwords onto the corkboard above terminals.

"If I came in to deliver pizza," he declares. "I'd memorize the number on the way in and write it down as I left."

A tall, thin man in his late twenties -- named after the grinning, vanishing cat in "Alice's Adventures in Wonderland" -- Cheshire edits the TAP newsletter (which bills itself "For Informational Purposes Only"). Even though TAP is known as the bible for people trying to break into computer and phone systems, Cheshire claims he himself is clean, except for logging on to systems to look for weaknesses.

Meanwhile, discussion of the term "hacker" seems to be escalating. Cheshire distinguishes between "good" and "bad" hackers, the latter of whom a few buffs call "crackers."

Geoffrey S. Goodfellow -- who testified at congressional hearings on computer crime and is a coauthor of The Hacker's Dictionary: a Guide to the World of Computer Wizards -- confirms that a "hacker" isn't necessarily a "computer criminal."

A "hacker," he says, is merely someone who truly enjoys programming. He stretches his machine's power to the limits, and loves to "hack away" at computer problems.

Not that Goodfellow thinks all hackers are 100 percent honest. He believes that as computer literacy spreads, more will be stealing money as well as computer time.

His advice to hackers' prospective clients: "If they seem reasonable, I advocate putting them on a loose leash. You shouldn't take a holier-than-thou attitude. Unfortunately most people take the authoritarian approach..."



~~~~~

D. N. S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

~~~~~

- by Synonymous 1

Ten LibertORians have come and gone. And now we are within a year of the 2016 Presidential election. It can not come soon enough. We thank you, dear reader, for journeying this far with us so far. It's your job to go shape the future for the better.

The Deep Web is a mysterious place, and its anonymity never lets someone know exactly with whom they are socializing. It is also super-fluid; a site can be hosted one day and gone the next.

I don't want to say much because I don't know much. Not only that, I'm graciously thankful to the anonymous onionland user who used his/her own server, time, and space to provide a home for LibertORian. Pastebins are nice, but an actual place where Dear Readers can visit and know the most up-to-date issue is out immediately.

And even if I did know much, out of respect to the provider of the space and his?/her? privacy, I still would not say much. But it may or may not be possible for LibertORian to be updated regularly, and for reasons, I can not host my own server or buy onion domain space.

I've done my best to provide honest analysis of issues important to you, or at least things I consider important I think you would agree are important to the cause of liberty. And one thing I ask is for only one or two of you to offer LibertORian a dedicated home. This/these can be alternate sites in addition to <http://libetxn3ogpn6mb.onion>, where visitors can go if one site temporarily or permanently goes down.

Being generous as to host an onion site for LibertORian naturally does not mean that you agree with the

views expressed in the magazine. It only means that you respect free speech. Of course, the converse is not necessarily true: a decision not to host it does not mean that you do not care about freedom of speech. If meaning that if you care about LibertORian means that you HAVE to host it would mean that LibertORian would be all over the deep web. And then what would the world be like?

AWESOME!

Actually, probably not, because it isn't very libertarian to make someone do something against their will. But I'm getting a little silly here. So much heavy stuff is covered here that every once in a while I have to salt and pepper it with some goofy humor (because that's the only type I'm capable of) on occasion.

That's the story about LibertORian online not being updated in a while. There is no story. Because of the Deep Web's anonymous nature, there isn't a way to know if anything's wrong with the webmaster and I'm not going to disrespect the privacy by prying information (s)he is free to withhold. So I pray that the webmaster is fine and ask you to if you are a prayerful person.

I wonder who exactly reads the eZine at all. Are Moxygen, Vox Populi and Quantum (guest columnists from issues 4, 5, and 7, respectively) still around, reading? Anyone famous? I'm still new to all the deep web stuff, so maybe I have to learn that the community is meant to be anonymous, and I shouldn't get too caught up in the names of the people I meet. It is all a sea and we run into fish, leave and meet new ones. Excuse me if I violated protocol; for me, the learning is still ongoing. ((L))



This supplement depicts a cursive alphabet.  
For best results, view at 16.7% to 33.33%  
magnification in your word processor.

```
.l.
,KM,
.kMM,
xXXM,
,Ml1M,
dx.lM,
,k. lM,
;X: lM,
.,.,lKk lM,
;Xx.lMMx lM,
lMl oklKc lM,
;Xxld. .dl.lM,
lMM, .KkM,
.kKKc ,KMM,
.xx.:l;. lMM,
.,k, .lkC..kM,
;;. .,;.:l,'.
```

```
.,.,.,.'l'
.lk, cKKMl
.xX; .OMx.
lMl .KMl .,
lMl ,MMl ,Kk
;Xx. lMMl ;Xx.
,Kk .,kKMl.ckl.
.,,';.;.;.;.
```

```
.;;;;'';l;
.loll. .ko
lkl .kK, lk
lO. lMl lk
,k. lMl lk
;X: .xX; .lO,
,Kk lMl lkl.
.xX; xXxxd;.
lMl ,Ml.,ok,
lMl :X; xX;
.Kk. kl .kK,
,Ml .ld. lMl
,Ml lM, lMl
,Ml ,Kk ,Kk.
,Ml :xOO. .00'
.Kkllc..lo,,lol.
....
```

```
.lxx.
,k.lMl
.ko lMl
lM, lMl
lM,,Kk.
;Xx'OO.
lMlcK,
lMxk;
lMMo
.OMX. ;l
,MK, lk
lMl 'OK.
cMl lMOKlll.
,Ml .kK,,,,.
,Ml ;xx.
kKlol.
....
```

```
.'''/
;l;,'..;lx.
ok. .Kk.
,k. lMl
.lO, xX;
lMl c, ,Ml
;Xx. ,x;'':;.
kK,
,Ml
,Ml
,Ml
,Ml
,Ml ..
,Ml ,Kk
.Kk. .kK,
.xx; ..:xl.
.lc,ll.
```

```
.'''/
.;l:''xd
lMl ..
;Xx. ..
lMl ,Kk
lMl ,Kk.
.lOl .:l;.
.lc,,l,
```

```

        .ll,,c,.
        ;Kl. .lOl.
        ,Kk      lMl
        lMk      .kK.
        ;Xxk;    lM,
        lMlok.   lM,
        xX;.Xx.  lM,
        ,Ml      ,Kk. lM,
        ,Ml      'xx' .xX'
        ,Ml      .olkMl
        lO.      ;OMx
        kl       ,Kkl,
        ,k.      ,kK,
l',l;;Ol      :X;
l .kMk        .k,
x',llll:;..';;l'
',,,',,,,,,

```

```

        ..
        ;k.
        lk
        ,Kc
        .xX'
        lMl
        .xX;
        lMl
        .OO.
        .lc,,llcMl
        .xx; .xNX;
        cK, .Ol
        kl ,Nl ,,.
        kl lMl ,Ol.
        ok. ,KMl kl
        .xx;';;lxOx;;;.
        ',,,',,,

```

```

        .....
        .clll,,llc,.
        lO.      cMl
        ;X:      ... xX;
        lM,      lMl lMl
        ;X:      .xl,l;.
        lk
        .ll;.
        .,clkk,
        'xx;.
        .Kk.
        ,Ml
        ,Ml
        ,Ml      c,
        .Xx.      .;d'
        ,Kk.      .kK.
        .;;,..',;;;.

```

```

        .'.
        .lkckK,
        .OO' lMl
        lMl kK,
        lMl ,Ml .ll.
        lMx;l;. .OO.
        .kMk ok.
        .;l,';;;.

```

```

.,;'';;...
,Ko. .,kk,lk,
,Kk lk
.OO. 'Ol
,Ko. lM,
.,;' 'OO.
lMl
.''';OXl''.
.,,,kMk,,,.
'Xx.
,Ml
.,.;;. dx.
,Kc ., ,k.
lM, .ko
'Ol ;xx.
,k,. .oK,
.,;.,;.

```

```

.:;.
,KkoK,
.Kk.,Ml
:X; lO'
kl kl
kl ;k.
,k.,Kc
lk.OO.
.kOKk.
lMKl.
,KMo
lMO.
,Kk. .
lMl .k,
.OO. .Ol
,MKl. lkl
dMMO;,.
,kkMl
.x0OO'
lMkMl
;Xx:Ml
.kK, ,Ml
lMl lO.
lMl kl
,KKlc.
...

```

```

.xll:
ok.lk
.k, lk
lk lk ...
lk lk .kMl
.k;;X: .OMMl
,kKMo,,,lolkMl
lMx'.... lMl
kK, lMl
:X; .OO.
.. .k, lMl
lk .xd lMl
.k,.kK. .Kk.
oKKk. cK,
lXOl. .;d.
llc..llc,,,llll
.. .....

```

```

.;l, '' ;llO;
.Kk. lMk.
lO. .Ol
kl ,k. c,
kl lk .lo.
lO' 'Ol.;xx.
lk1,llkMkkl.
...;lOO:.
,kKMl
.ldlXx.
,Kk.kK,
.Xx.,Ml
ok. ok.
kl .k;
kk,ko
,k1,

```

```

      ./.
'xx,;xx.      .k100.
,Kk. .ko      ,Kc.kK.
lMl  lk      ,Kk lMl
;Xx.  lk      .OO',Ol.
,Kk.  lk      kKllo.
... 'Ol      ,MOl;
      lM, .,lOK,
      .OMd;;; 'Ol
      .kMk      ,k.
      .OO.      lk
      ,Ml      lk
      dx.      ;X:      ..
      ,k.      lM,      .k,
,k. .ko      lM, .ko
;X:.;xx.      ;X:.;xx.
,olk,      ,oll.

```

```

      ..
      .;lkX;
      lMl,Ml
      ,Kk.,Ml
      lMl :X;
      kK, kl
      ,Ml ;k.
      ,Ml,Kc
      ,MOOO.
      oMMk.,.,.,.
      kMXOx:';OO.
      kKKK, lM,
      .KMO. .OO. ..
      .OK, lMl ,k.
      lMl lMl ,Kc
      lK. lMl.lOl
      ,c .llc,.

```

```

      .llcl.
      .OO' xX;
      ,Ml .kK,
      ,Ml lMl
      ,Ml lMl
      ,Ml lMl
      .OO. ;Xx.
      lMl lMl
      .lO:xX;
      lMMl
      .OX;
      .c,ll. kMK,
;X: ... ;k.;;.
lM, ,Kc
.ko lk1
.l;.;lx.
      ./.

```

```

      ./.
      .ll.
      .k,
      lX;
      .Ol
      ;K; ';.
      lk kl
      lk ,k.
      .k;ll.
      ./.

```





```

      .';;.''.;,.
      .c11,.      .oK,
      ;11..1x'      101
      cK,      1M1      1M,
      .k,      1M1      1M,
      .01      1M1      1M,
      .kK.      ,Kk.      .kK.
      1M1      1MO;      .OO'
      ,Kk.      .Kk,1o,,,1o1.
      1M1      ,M1      ..'''
      kK,      ok.
      ,M1      .k.
      ,M1      ,Kc
      ,M1      'OO.
      ,M1      ,k1.
      ,M1.,k,
      ;;;;.

```

```

      .;;;...;;;.
      ,k1.      ,M1
      'k'      xX;
      1k      1M1
      ,Kc      .,.      1M1
      1M,      .Xx.      xX;
      ,Kc      .ol.      ,M1
      ;d';l'      :X;

```

```

      .k,
      .01
      .1k1
      .xx'

```

```

      .,.,.,.      .k,
      Kk,1k1.      .1k,      .,.
      M1      .1OkOl.      'xx;.
      kKc1111,111c,,11c,.
      .'''.      .....

```

```

      .////////.
      .,;';;''';1:.
      .1k,.11.      cK,
      .Kk.      1M1      .Kk.
      .dl.      1M1      1M1
      .ko      1M1      1M1
      .xX.      .OO'      .OO'
      1M1      1M1      cK,
      .OO'      .OMOl,...;1:.
      1M1      ,MKKKc,...
      xX;      1o.1M,
      ,M1      .k,      1M,
      ,M1      .Ol      1M,      ..
      ,M1      .kK.      1M,      cK,
      ,M1      kK,      ,Kc      k1
      ,M1.'dl.      ;k'';1:.
      1111.      .1k1.

```

```

      ,.
      dX1...;.
      ,Nk.      kK,
      .1XK'      .xX;
      ,kKk      1M1      .,.
      .Ol      .xX;'xx.
      1M,      kK,.k,
      ,Kk,...      .oMK11,
      xX;...;';1;'.
      ,M1
      :X;
      k1
      .k.
      1k
      ;X:
      1M,
      .;;

```

```

      ;1;.';1;d;
      ok.      1M0.
      .k'      'Od
      1k      1M,      ,c
      1k      .kK.      .1k,
      .k.      1M1      ;xx'
      ,k1,ckKk,ol.
      .'.:XMx;.
      cMM1
      kMM1
      ,kkM1
      .xd1M1
      1M,1M1
      1M,1M1
      1MkKk.
      .1o,.

```

```

      ..
      oM1
      'OO1.;.
      ,Kc      1k
      'x1      1k      ..
      1k      .k,
      .ko      .ko
      ;X:.;xx.
      ,oll.

```

```

.llc.
.OO.kl
,Ml kl
,Ml kl
,Ml kl
kKkk.
.xMd
.kKkl.
xX; ;ll;
cK, ,Kc
.. .k; .k.
lk .ko kl
.k.'OO. kl
oKKk. kl
cMK, ,k.
;;l;;l;,''.;;;l'
,, ,,,,,,

```

```

...
lMl
.lOKx.
.kK,.Kk.
.l' ;Xx .;;
lM,.kK,
lMckK,
.;l;' ;OMkx.
,,,,,

```

```

....'.
.lol,,,ll,lc,lk,
'OO. lo''...
,Kk .ko
lM, lM,
.;;;.' .xX.
lMl
.xX;
lMl
.OO.
,Ml
.... lo.
.lo,ll. .k,
lM, .xd
,Kc .kK.
lk .Kk.
.,;;;';;;.

```

```

..
lM,
.xX.
lMl
kK,
,Ml
.,,oMk,.
.'Ox'..
,k.
.Ok
.kMc
'OMX.
.KMMl
;OX; l;
lMl ,k.
lMl .lk,
.lx;,l;.

```

```

.;;;,. ..
cKl..oK, lk
.k; .OO. .Ol
,k. lMl lM,
kl lMl .kK.
'11;.'Xx. lMl
.,.,Ml .kK,
lo. lMl
kl .KMl
'k. :MO.
lk kMl
.xd 'KMl
lM, .kNMl ,,.
lM, .xXKx. xX;
.ko lMlkl cK,
,k,. .lkl.ok..lo.
.iii.,'. ;iii

```

```

'l. .l.
.kM, kK,
xMx :Ml
.KMl kk. ,,.
.Xx. .lNl ,Ol.
,Ml .kKNl .k,
.Kk. lkl.kl.,ko
.l;,i. .iii.

```



```

.ll;::;.      'l;lX.
ok.   ,Ml      kl lM,
'k'    xX;      ;k. lM,
.k,    lMl      lk lM,
ok.    kK,      .k, ,Kk
;l;.,Ml      kllMl
      ,Ml      cKKk.
      dx.      .OXx;
      kl      ,Ml.,
      ;k.      dx.
      lk      ,k.
      .Ol      .Ol
lM,     ,Kk
lM,     .xx;.
      ,Kc .ol.
      lO,lk,
      .'''.

```

```

;O;      l;
.KMl      kk.
lMX;      .OMl
cMl      lOkKl,c.
,Ml      'Ol.....
,Ml      .lk1
      kKlc11.
      .'''.

```

```

'''      '''      '''
,xl; ;lx.      'XX;      .xX;
kl .Kk.      oMl      .Kk.
.k.      lMl      .KMl      .d;.
kl      lMl      lMMl      ,Kc
,k1.      lMl      ,K0Ml      ,Kk
.;;.      lMl      .OolMl      ,Ol.
      lMl .kK, ,Ml kl
      .OO' xX; lO. ;k.
      ,Ml ,Ml kl ,Kc
      ,Ml dx. kl 'OO.
      ,Ml ,k. kkkK,
      ,MOOl      kMMl
      ,MMM,      kMk.
      ,MMx      .OO'
      ,Mk.      lMl
      ,.      ,..

```

```

.,      ..      ,..
.;xx.      .k.      lMx.
lMl      lk      lMMl
.OO'      ;X:      xxxXx .'
,Ml      lM,      ,Ml.lc11.
,Ml      .lXx      .lx'
      kK, ,kkKk .,ko
      ,kl,c. .lc11,

```

```

.c,,lc.      .llcl.
.lO, .lX; .ll;. xX;
lMl      kK,.k,      ,k,
lMl      lMkko
.OO,.      lMMO.
.,,.      kMK,
      ,MX;
      ,Ml
      dx.
      .Ol
      .xN;
      lMk      .ll.
      lOXk      .xX;
.,      cK,lk      lMl
lM,      .k, .k,      ,Kk.
'Od.;ll'      :l;::,lx.
.,.,.      ,.,.

```

```

.c,,ll.ll,lc
.lO, lMKO. ..
kK, lMN,
.l.      lMl      .;;
      .kM,      kK,
      .kMM,      cK,
      'l:OOlxl'';l:.
      ,.,.      ,.,.

```

```

.' '
.kkllol.      lk
dx.  'Xx.      .xd
,k.    lMl      lM,
.k,    lMl      lM,
:x;.  .OO.      ;Xx
.ll.,Ml      lMl
      :X;      ;Xx.
      kl      kMl
.k;      ,Mx.
lk      cMl
lk      .Ox.
,Kc      .kMl
lM,      .xMO.;l;
,Kc      .kKKNkl.
lk      .KklKk.
.:;.  ;lOOXX.
      okkMl
      'x1;OO'
      ,Kk lMl
      'Xx..Xx.
ok.  cK,
.k;  .k;
lk  lk
.dllx,
      ,.

```

```

..      ..
      kl      lM,
;O'      ;Xx
,Kk      ,KK,
;Xl      .OMl .lx.
lM,      oMK, .Kk.
lM,      .lOMl .ol.
.ll.;l;dXxll.
      .Ok,.
      .;lOK.
      kK,lk
      .dl.;X:
      lk  lM,
;X:  ;Xx
lM, .kK,
;Xdlx.
..

```

```

      .;;' ';;..
      .ol,.  .,kK.
      .lO,      'Ol
      lMl      lk
      kK,      lk
      ,Ml      'x,  lk
      ,Ml      .kK.  ,Kc
      xXl,ll;.  lM,
      .,.,.,  ,Kk
      .OO'
      kK,
      .lx'
      .ko .,,
      .lx;..;l''xxl:ll;.
lMl      .kkkkkc.
,Kk.  .,lOKkl.
      .;;' ;lxOMl
      .kKoMl
      ,Ol.,Ml
      kl  ok.
      ;k.  .k;
      ,Kc  lk
      lM,.lO,
      lM,lMl
      .xl;;.

```

```

      .,.,.;;.
      ,Kk  .kK.
      ,Ol.  .xd
      c,      .ko  ..
      .xX.  .;x:
      .kK, .kK,
      .ckl. ,kl.
      ..lk'.;l:.
      oKkl.
      .OMl
      .lkkMl
      .OO.,Ml
      cK, cK,
      kl  kl
      kl ,k.
      :x;;.

```

<http://?????????????????.onion>

# LOOSE

## M A G A Z I N E

# VOYAGING

Volume 1

~oo~

Issue 11

~oo~

Late November 2015

```
BERNIE
SANDERS :
MEET
THE
STATIST
CANDIDATE
FOR
PRESIDENT
/
.,;/,.....
.,;/,.....
.:l:,,',.....
.,cl:,'.....
.,;llc,'...
.,' ,ccooc;,,,.....
.;do;,,lxdlcoco::lddolc;'...,'''.....
.oxo; ,olloxodxxO0dcxxooc'..cxOOxlc:,...'.....;
.dxc. ,lcclldc;,'..,ll. .;c:::dl; ;c;..'co; ...;
.lol:ol;:::,,,,';l, .c,.....',:l;. ....'.
loooool;;c:cc;,,, .;..'cccc;..... :....
'looooooc:::..'c:.. ,...'. ....
:odddol;,'...;dlc. .; .
'oddoc;:;..'lldxo;,';c' .c;. ....
,oddl;c;'..'cllooc. .;.....
lxdol;..''. .... :'. ....'.
:OXOxxd:..';;,,,,'..'. .... ;.....'kkdoc,,
.,;:::lkKXXWKxd;..,cloOOOKKKO000x1;'.....'kkO000000x1:,
.....',;::ldkOKXKKXXNNNNMWldc; ;c;,, .;...'..'. 0XKKK0K0KXXXX
,;:lddxxxxkkkOO00KXNWWWMMWWXXNNNNMMW;ldcc,ldl;'.....'..'. ,,, dXKKKXXXXXXXXX
O00000K00KXXXXXXXXXXRATSWWWNNNNNNMMW;dll; ,dxxxdolllc:,...';,'. :NKKKNXXXXXX
KXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXNNMMNNNNMMW:..cd:'..'..... ,,, ' .WKKKNXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXWNNNNMMML.....;:'..... .NXKKKXNXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXKXKXKXKXKXWNNXWNNWWWMMMd.....':;,,,,'...';,; .XNXKKKXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXKXKXKXKXKXKXNWNXWNNWWWMMMO.....,:okkkkddkxo' XNXKKKXXXXX
XXXXXXXXKXKXKXKXKXKXKXWNNXWNNMMWX.....1000XWNo. .NNXKKKXXXXX
```

```
### # ##### ### A MIND FOREVER VOYAGING- Old text adventure reviewed
# # # #. # # THE 5% LIE- Why unemployment numbers are phony
##### # ' # # DEFYING ISIS- Sample from the Johnnie Moore book
# # ##### ##### ### PLUS: CARTOON! LETTERS! WORDS! AND MORE!
```

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
/	/
It's a table! Get it?	

ACQUIRING RELAY.....	3 YET AGAIN
LETTERS TO LIBERTORIAN.....	4 AGAIN
LIBERTARIANS BELIEVE IN.....	6
CORRECTIONS.....	7
BLURB.....	7
Do As I Say, Not As I Do Dept.	
FEATURE.....	8
A Mind Forever Voyaging: Classic Text Adventure Analysis	
FEATURE.....	11
Meet Bernie Sanders	
BLURBS.....	15
A Message from Synonymous 2 Myths That Liberals Believe #11 LiberTORian is On Galaxy 2 Network	
CARTOON.....	16
FEATURE/HARD COPY.....	16
Defying ISIS by Johnnie Moore	
FEATURE.....	20
The 5% Lie: Unemployment	
ARTICLE.....	24
Obama's war on Women AND SCHOOLGIRLS	
RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART.....	25
Building Your Own Shelter	
CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY.....	27
CN06-89.txt	
DEEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY.....	31
Yet Another Tor Directory	
D.N.S. LOOKUP FAILED.....	32

\*\*\*\*\*  
 LibertORian seeks an onion server.  
 Email is you can host!  
 \*\*\*\*\*

```

  ~ ~ L i B e r t O r I a N ~ ~
-- Volume 1 --- Issue 11 --
November, 2015

A Publication of Synonymous 1.
Published October, 2015.

--

LibertORian is published on an
irregular basis.

N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome,
actually encouraged, to copy and
distribute this text by any means
possible, preferably by photocopy-
ing.

LIBERTORIAN HAS NO DEEP WEB SITE.

(Attention to Onionland users:
Contact LibertORian if you can
host a dedicated .onion server
for LibertORian magazine. Send
an email to an address below.

CORRESPONDENCE:
synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com
libertorian@sigaint.org


Send submission & letters to
LibertORian at the above address

GALAXY 2 Username: Synonymous

DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN:
12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s
Even a millibitcoin!
(.001 BTC)

DON'T HURT PEOPLE
AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF.

```



#####

## A C Q U I R I N G       R E L A Y

> } - by Synonymous 1 - { <

#####

Here we gather for another dosage of freedom! Dear Reader, here's hoping that this periodic release of information recharges your will to fight and strengthens your resolve. It is a long fight to restore liberty.

LiberTORian's original web site may have run its course, but it received 27,000 hits in a few months. Thanks everyone. If you can host an onion site for LiberTORian 2.0, just shout it out!

I'd like to move to a more personal topic: the creation of this magazine itself. I love .txt files. I love pdfs, too, but that's beside the point. Something is appealing about .txt files' universality: One byte per letter, no tags, metadata, fancy but unnecessary bells and whistles. Everything is always monospaced. So one can carefully position every byte of text to a fixed position. Because it's such a limited medium, within its limitations, one can make an attempt to use the limited resources to their fullest. Now the creation of the magazine is an art.

Therefore, I wanted to make not just a magazine, but a creation with an aesthetic beauty. It was not to be restrained. The question was: how can the text transcend just ordinary plain text? First, there would be images in it, just like a real magazine. .Jpegs?! We don't need no stinkin' .Jpegs!! Then there is the two-column format. Real magazines do that. I chose to divide the 80 columns of text into two columns of 36, with an 8-column space dividing them. We did other things to make LiberTORian like a real magazine in every way possible.

At the same time, we wanted to pay homage to the .txt format magazines that preceded LiberTORian. These

were written by awkward loners who found comfort connecting to others like them on BBS services via modems that were painfully slow by today's speeds. BBSs were private servers-kind of a forerunner to onionland. I think part of the thrill of the Tor network is that it harkens back to that private connection that's so comforting.

Hopefully, then LiberTORian is something that even those who hate freedom can appreciate.

Are you surprised that LiberTORian is still around as long as it is? I am! And as explained earlier in the column, it's very different (and it thus takes more work and planning.) Most retro eZines don't last as many issues. Some of them do, like one of my favorites, TANJ magazine, but they are much shorter. Many of the ones from BBS days would last about 4 issues, or around 7 if lucky. Do you know of any of the longer-lived retro .txt magazines? What are your favorites? Do you know of any other ones besides LiberTORian being produced these days? Let us know! You can email LiberTORian at

- synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

I think I can receive PGP encrypted messages. Look for our public key in issue 0.

Who knows what wonders await you in this issue? Venture on, Dear Reader and find out. And when you do, take your mind on a journey into another time, one that is simpler yet more enlightened, and imagine you're in the era of BBSs, reading an actual .txt file from that halycon era.

Or don't. The decision's all yours. I mean, as a libertarian, I leave it up to you. (L)

```

-----
| -----                ## |
| -- ---                ## |
|
|           Letters
|           to
|       LibertORian
|
|-----

```

!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!  
 !! PLEASE NOTE MY GRAVE ERROR !!  
 !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

STARTING WITH LIBERTORIAN ISSUE 7,  
 THE WRONG EMAIL ADDRESS WAS LISTED  
 ON THE TABLE OF CONTENTS PAGE! THIS  
 CAN EXPLAIN WHY THE AMOUNT OF FEED-  
 BACK HAS DECREASED DRAMATICALLY!  
 SORRY ABOUT THAT!

The email address is:

- synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

Don't forget the "1" like I Did!!!!

If you sent something to LibertORian  
 at the wrong address since issue 7,  
 PLEASE SEND IT AGAIN! By the way,  
 LibertORian has another address for  
 correspondence:

- libertorian@sigaint.org

\*\*\*\*\*

Good day,

Let me introduce myself, I'm Jon Doh.  
 I'll have to admit that libertarian-  
 ism is a new name to me, but the  
 philosophy is one that I've been de-  
 fining personally for quite some  
 time. I'm one who has an itch to  
 share my thoughts, just like you,  
 I assume.

Although I have nothing to give be-  
 sides a contact for now, I hope to  
 change that.

As per my curiosity, I have to ask,  
 how many others have you connected  
 with? Not just found blogs, but in  
 the way I am now.

My public key:  
<http://6mpj33zob2zmfqzn.onion/10e0ee4ea1.html>

Keep in touch,  
 JD

DEAR JON DOH,

Hi. Thank you for your letter to  
 LibertORian. Just to let you know,  
 I've been Libertarian for quite a  
 while, but over the years I've been  
 drifting up the right edge of the  
 Nolan chart. My interest in eco-  
 nomics contributed to being a liber-  
 tarian economically. Socially, I've  
 done a lot of looking at my beliefs  
 with intellectual honesty and so I'm  
 a work in progress, as most people  
 who honestly examine their convic-  
 tions tend to be.

I get a few letters like yours with  
 each new issue and a few other short  
 comments from others. I love to  
 read all the feedback. Of course,  
 the volume decreased around the time  
 the wrong address went into the TOC.  
 During the life span of LibertORian  
 onion, it had over 27000 views in  
 its 112 days. The traffic steadily  
 increased about 2 months in, then  
 became solidly at 200+ views per day  
 for almost every day of its last 40  
 days. On July 28th a clearnet forum  
 began discussing LibertORian and the  
 traffic reached 1495 hits, the most  
 visited day. I'm into statistics so  
 I'm rambling on.

Now that you know the word \*liber-  
 tarian\*, you'll probably hear it all  
 over the place. Did you ever hear a  
 word and suddenly you notice it  
 EVERYWHERE? I've done that. There  
 are friends of mine who experienced  
 it too. Now it will happen to you.

\*\*\*\*\*

Hello,

I didn't know about your Magazine  
 until I found it on the Insetor  
 pastebin. I found a copy of Issue #8

on there and I said to myself, "Damn, this looks amazing." Anyways, I read the 'zine and was blown away by it. It is literally one of the best 'zines I ever read. Needless to say, after I read that issue, I re-searched for the earlier issues. I then found your official LiberTORian website and, as a result, grabbed a clearnet tor2web link and made a copy of the site.

I was up to Issue #9 and went to look for Issue #10 and found out that the LiberTORian site had gone down. It was rather upsetting, to be honest, because I wanted to get a copy of the latest Issue, because it is the most interesting material I've read for a long time.

Anyways, then I found the Anon Pastebin by doing a DDG search for "LiberTORian Issue 10" in hopes it had found a way to the light of day. I was overjoyed to find it and read it immediately after saving.

Needless to say, I am thinking about sending the content to as many free file hosts and url redirectors as possible. So, when I do get it uploaded to as many places as possible, I will be sending you a list of LiberTORian mirrors (albeit with the Issues 0 and 8-10 included) to potentially include in future issues.

Keep on making this amazing work of art for these bleak days,

A Fan.

DEAR A,

Your letter is flattering. Much effort was made to make this artistic as well as substantive. It's nice when someone appreciates that aspect of LiberTORian. It encourages us to make each subsequent issue look as nice as possible. LiberTORians will be much more frequently released if we slacked off on all the conscious decision on format.

We also are eternally grateful that you will be reposting the eZine all around. We're limited in certain ways and are unable to host, and so while working toward getting a new .onion site, the magazine will be floating around the pastebins.

We'd love to receive guest material from either of you in the future.

And I know that the days may seem (and actually be) bleak, but while statism is on the rise worldwide, things will cycle again. History is like that. LiberTORian isn't just about these times, but the past and the future: It's being written with generations yet to be born in mind as well as today's Dear Readers. It must be documented for them exactly as life is now. That's why I like CLASSIC.TXT FILE OF THE DAY. When one reads them, (or at least when I do), the words really present the way people were back then, unfiltered. The past is a great teacher. I wish I learned that when I was a dumb kid.

\*\*\*\*\*

Synonymous 1

I read that you'll have an exposee on Bernie Sanders in a future issue of LiberTORian. Please add this:

1) when he was asked what he thought the biggest threat to security was, he didn't say terrorism: he said global warming.

2) for all the talk of the war on women, he wrote in Feb 1972 "a woman enjoys intercourse- as she fantasizes being raped by 3 men simultaneously" As a woman, this one disturbs me. Please include these Bernie Sanders facts when you do the article.

-Blueberry

DEAR BLUEBERRY,

Not necessary. You said it so well already! (L)

# S O C I A L L Y   T O L E R A N T   &   F I S C A L L Y   R E S P O N S I B L E

PEOPLE ON THE LEFT BELIEVE IN	--- L I B E R T A R I A N S --- BELIEVE IN		PEOPLE ON THE RIGHT BELIEVE IN
Government regulated economy	Personal freedom	Economic freedom	Government regulated morality
United Nations-led military actions	Non-interventionalist foreign policy	Robust national defense	Nation building
Using eminent domain for private gain	Tolerance of others' personal choices	Respect for others' privacy rights	War on drugs
Banning guns	Civil liberties and privacy	Individual right to keep and bear arms	Patriot act
Taxpayer funding of government charities	Separation of church and state	Giving generously to help those in need	Taxpayer-funding of faith-based charities
Special treatment for select minorities	Ending corporate welfare	Advancement based on individual ability	Special treatment for select corporations
Source: lp.org			

## HOW TO READ THIS CHART:

- o Column 1 depicts liberal beliefs
- o Column 4 depicts conservative beliefs
- o Columns 2 & 3 depict libertarian beliefs
- o Corresponding entries on columns 1 and 3 demonstrate the contrast between libertarians and liberals on specific issues
- o Corresponding entries on columns 2 and 4 demonstrate the contrast between libertarians and conservatives on specific issues

The only thing that's inaccurate is "separation of church and state" (column 2). Libertarians don't believe in special tax funding to religious groups or organizations, but not in restricting free religious expression. The phrase "separation of church and state" has been twisted into a connotation of restricting public exercise of religion since the 1960s, contrary to libertarianism. It's assumed "separation of church and state" was used for brevity.

Read the lists on the outside columns. How many do you agree with?  
Read the ones in the center columns. How many do you agree with?

Since each belief has a counter-belief, there should be 12 you believe and 12 you don't believe. If you believe in at least 10 of 12 in the center columns, you lean libertarian. ((L))



!!  
C O R R E C T I O N      C O R R E C T I O N      C O R R E C T I O N  
!!

In LibertORian Issue 11, we included  
a link for the onion site Onion Up?

After LibertORian 10 was posted onto ==>  
the pastebin sites. User Forensic  
Hummingbird posted this (blank name  
filled in randomly by Anon Pastebin)

OnionUp? link given is a phishing  
link. use the crate to find the  
real one.

<http://j4ko5c2kacr3pu6x.onion/>

THANK YOU! The real onion link is:  
<http://onionuptst6up7n2.onion>

.....

Also, in LibertORian 1, we said that  
Legion was a name for Satan. That  
was close but slightly off. Legion

is the name of many (or all) of his  
demons. (Source: The Holy Bible,  
Mark 5:9.)

-----

~~~~~

DO AS I SAY, NOT AS I DO DEPARTMENT

- Guest Post by Empty Turret

~~~~~

Anyone who follows current events is  
familiar with the results of CNBC's  
Republican debate on October 28th.  
It's redundant to say this since we  
all knew the answer before the media  
started saying it: the big loser was  
not a candidate but CNBC, for their  
deplorable behavior.

He went into his own fantasy that he  
makes Putin do his bidding just by  
staring him down, a response to the  
charges that he's seen as weak. And  
then he criticizes them with a usual  
childish smirk, "It turns out, they  
can't handle a bunch of CNBC moder-  
ators!" [applause] "I mean, let me  
tell you, if you can't..." (at this  
moment, his voice becomes the most  
juvenile-sounding alto pitch that a  
president ever had) "If you can't  
handle \*those guys\*, then I don't  
think the Chinese and the Russians  
are going to be too worried about  
you."

Sean Hannity, news analyst, went as  
far as to say that at the moment Ted  
Cruz excoriated the poor excuses for  
moderators, the media fundamentally  
changed forever. Hyperbole, maybe,  
but the idea is correct: the media's  
stranglehold on the "truth" is over.

You, Obama, are the weakest coward  
of all. At least the candidates had  
courage enough to SHOW UP, which you  
couldn't do. In 2008 you chickened  
out of the Fox News debate before it  
began! What's wrong? Can't handle  
a forum with tough questions from  
people who aren't your sycophants?  
Fear of speaking without your tele-  
prompter? You got elected by hiding  
from every tough question, so you're  
both a hypocrite \*and\* a coward! So  
how about shutting your mouth if you  
can't walk the walk yourself? ((L))

It also got the candidates talking  
about potential structural reforms  
to the debates themselves. This is  
understandable. A debate should be  
about the issues. Chris Christie  
hit the nail on the head in his New  
Jersey way when he said people are  
jobless, ISIS is at our door, and  
we're drowning in debt, and you ask  
a question about \*FANTASY FOOTBALL\*?

After the fallout of the debate, as  
we all knew would happen, Barack  
Obama opened his mouth on the topic.

~~~~~

## A MIND FOREVER VOYAGING: Statist Fantasy of a Libertarian Nightmare


~~~~~

Old-school nerds will remember this: the classic text adventure. Today they're called "interactive fiction" but we all know the deal. They were the precursor to games like "King's Quest" and "Leisure Suit Larry", and \*THEY\* were the forerunners to games like "7th Guest" and "Myst", and now most action or adventure games have interactive worlds. But in computer gaming's early days, when every byte counted, there were no graphics, and the player sort of guided the main character through a novel. Solving puzzles by completing tasks was the main way to "advance" through the game/story.

The better-written the program, the more freedom the character has to interact with the environment. Some games like "Zork III" are surprisingly free, while other games, like "The Hitchhiker's Guide to the Galaxy", (yes, based on the novels) is very linear. You must solve the puzzles in one "chapter" to progress to the next, and usually within a certain number of turns. Personally this kind is less enjoyable, but as a libertarian, go play it if you like it.

Infocom released some of the more popular titles, and some that were more novel in gameplay, one of those titles, "A Mind Forever Voyaging", is one of them, and the subject of this article. I actually was in search of a "new" vintage game, and this one looked sci-fi and dark, so I gave it a try.

McCarthy had nothing on the paranoia contained in this game. This title essentially depicts a world of low taxes, religious freedom, and de-regulation as a depraved world where morality is absent and man shows in-



humanity toward each other, animals, and the Earth. But this is not mere fiction. It is an extension of the author's belief of what the world would be like under libertarianism.

Okay, now that I spoiled that, let's look at the game. You portray an AI named PRISM, and you are capable of five modes. One, communications mode, allows you to access the various areas of the PRISM complex. You really can't do much in this mode. Even talking to people was difficult until I read the directions. In a typical text adventure, you would type

talk to Perelman about Jill

But after trying several typed of syntax and then reading the instructions, I learned that this game is different and you have to type:

Perelman, who is Jill?

So this game made its own rules and strictly adhered to them, which can be confounding to many tried-and-true ease-of-use natural speech patterns.

Most of the game is in "simulation mode", where you assume the form of a "real" man in a future scenario in the fictitious town of Rockvil, North Dakota. The city is an actual projection of the future (2031, ten years after the year the story takes place). The society in Rockvil 2031 has been under "The Plan" for ten years. "The Plan" is an implementation of tax cuts, de-regulation, and traditional values- sort of a "Leave It To Beaver" in the world of tomorrow.

This future is bleak. Respect for

[illegible]

life, both human and animal, is now eroding. Religion is increasingly cult-like. Your job while in simulation mode is to record examples of typical life in Rockvil in order to report your findings to Perelman and the PRISM researchers. Your adventure is divided into five excursions into Rockvil. Each new visit is an additional ten years into the future under "The Plan": 2041, 2051, 2061, and after seeing how progressively worse society has become, one last ditch effort in the year 2071. By now the world is entertained by real life bloodshed. Stadiums are now arenas for murderous sports; people mindlessly cheer only when violence occurs. Animals are tortured for fun as a regular pasttime; lawlessness is the order of the day; police themselves take part in the dysnomic violence rather than protect the public.

After reporting your findings, it's the decision of PRISM's scientists to demonstrate that implementing "The Plan" is societal suicide; but it's ironic that "The Plan" is in reaction to the bleak "real" world of AMFV. Joybooths are suicide-inducing VR machines that adolescents turn to for entertainment.

Naturally, the politicians who are shown the results of the simulation are proponents of the plan who wish to ignore your advice. They are driven by closed-minded ambition and represent the final "boss" you must defeat in the game. In the best ending to the game, "The Plan" is stopped, and the world is saved by increasing regulations, curbing religious freedom, and turning to a statist utopia like that in so many novels and movies (1984, Brazil, etc.) except this one is a panacea that atually works out!

The fun level of this game itself is a mixed bag. You are quite restricted to do a lot of exploring in this game. Of the five modes, Simulation Mode is the only one that really presents an open world.

Paradoxically, you are given a lot of leeway to move about the various modes and discover the world you're living in without having to be restricted to time constraints or dedicating all your time to problem solving, a common element in such games. For instance, you can spend a lot of your time listening to the news feed, which goes on for a considerable amount of time before repeating. You can also browse through the library. This is a nice aspect of the game, as some other games won't let you deviate a lot without dying. The is the opposite; you probably won't win this game without virtually being killed a few times by the citizens of Rockvil yet to come. Don't worry; it's only in simulation and you're brought back to the "present" in such cases.

If you buy this old DOS game complete at a yard sale you'll have a few tangible items like a decoder wheel needed to enter simulation mode and win the game. This kind of extra-curricular parts in this type of game is usually a copy-theft prevention thing and annoys me.

But what annoys me most in the game isn't the pro-statist message. I don't care what you think or wish to express in entertainment. But there was no connection to reality. You never know *\*why\** or *\*how\** "The Plan" leads to such depravity; it just does. The author of the game did say he wanted to generate controversy (that is, easy bucks) by being critical of Reagan's policies. This isn't criticism. It's a caricatured exaggeration of his fears, totally lacking in any connection to reality and without any logical cause and effect relationship between the policies of "The Plan" and the results upon the society. But such is usually the case of leftist statism: it's all based on emotion, not fact.

AMFV is slightly above average; so give it a try and enjoy it or don't. Either way, take its underlying paranoia with a grain of salt. ((L))



Sanders advocates a single-payer system (that payer is the federal government). It's actually the same thing Obama wants, only he had to sneak around and lie that he doesn't so he can get into office and stay long enough to do it. His true colors came out in that famous video to a union, where he didn't know he was being recorded. Imagine the level of destruction Obama "care" has done times ten. Under his scheme, you will have absolutely no choice in your medical decisions. For all of liberalism's talk of "choice", this puts the government between you and your doctor every time.

For all his mistakes, Rick Perry got one thing right: Social Security is a Ponzi scheme. He was so spot on that the media lambasted him for the comment. SS actually went bankrupt in November 2011 and it's deficit is paid out of the discretionary budget now. Bernie believes that it's fine for some reason, but wants to raise taxes to sustain it. He is opposed to private, personal investment of SS, because you are incompetent and the government is much better at making your decisions for you.

He opposes school choice. This is really a social, and not an economic issue. Notice the pattern: take all free choices from the people, and make them subservient to the government's demands.

His record on gun control is mixed. While he has strongly supported some measures that restrict the Second Amendment, he has favored tort reform, so that gun makers aren't sued directly for crimes others commit with their products. Again, this is really a social issue.

His view and votes on taxes are pitiful. His model for taxation is Denmark, which is running away from socialism because of the misery it has caused for its people. Oh, and he does support taxing the middle class too. To him they are part of a group he calls "the wealthy."

Bernie Sanders opposes free trade.

He opposes funding the military, one of the few areas the Constitution actually speaks of where the government has jurisdiction funding.

For all his talk of the corruption of money, he does believe in crony socialism when it comes to funding private corporations complicit with the Great Global Warming Swindle. More of the money of people who actually produced will be confiscated to fund the socialist' voter base.

The stimulus is a failure. George Bush's brilliant idea (I'm being sarcastic), "we have to abandon free market principles in order to save them" was stupid and we're worse off for it. How's that socialism working out for you? As we delve deeper and deeper into socialism, things are getting worse and worse. But Sanders thinks we're not spending \*enough\* on the failed system: The very definition of insanity. His philosophy is that the government, which utterly failed in all that it is doing, is better than a recovery led by the people who actually create, produce, and sell. In other words: the people who actually make the market work are incapable of leading a recovery, but a government that has failed every time so far is.

## S O C I A L      F R E E D O M

Bernie Sanders is 100% anti-life. National Right to Life Committee gives him a score of 0. He is fully opposed to the libertarian's non-aggression principle.

He supports gay marriage, but opposes conscience rights. Government supercedes religious liberty.

Similarly, he opposes free religious expression in public, and is ardently opposed to the First Amendment.

He opposes free speech, especially



political and religious speech.

He supports eminent domain, even if it's in violation of the Constitution. He opposes property rights in favor of government regulation of private property. The environment and environmental activist groups have precedence over individual liberty.

The NRA rates him an F.

He opposes U.S. sovereignty.

The only area where he doesn't believe in bigger government is the U.S. military.

He supports the Democrat party's current attempts to make voter fraud easier.

He favors drug legalization, one of the only areas where he is libertarian.

He believes in the 4th and 5th Amendment rights of the accused, one of the only areas where he believes in the Constitution he swore to uphold.

One web site which compiles information on candidates put Bernie Sanders on the Nolan Chart in this position, almost completely an "arch liberal".

```

      .
    . . .
  . . . . .
. . .---. . .
.o. . |   | . . .
. . '---' . .
. . . . .
. . .
.

```

While the economic position is in the right place, socially, he is placed as almost 100% favoring social freedom. In the social area, this chart, this is WAY wrong. Remember, social freedoms are NOT NECESSARILY "pro=liberal", "anti-conservative". Being pro-social

freedoms brings one towards libertarianism and away from statism, and vice versa. The same way, being for economic freedoms does the same thing. Liberalism is freedom in one direction on the Nolan scale. The web site took some issues associated with liberal statism, and misapplies them to the social scale of libertarianism. For more on this topic, please refer to the article, "liberal? Or Libertarian" (LibertORian issue 2).

This is not me personally trying to score Bernie Sanders lower than he deserves. Let me explain and I am sure you'll agree with me that some of the issues score in the wrong direction.

The First Amendment is important to libertarians. Bernie Sanders has scored as strongly hostile to free religious expression. Now, liberals are perceived as opposing religious freedoms. Though, while it's true with many in power, it's a stereotype. So, although opposing religious liberty would score negative on the Nolan chart, under this scale he scores high points for social freedom!

His opposition to the most paramount freedom, the right to live, also scores positive marks. Another area where anti-freedom views and votes are scored as pre-freedom is putting animal and the environment's rights over personal freedom. His strong support of giving EPA more control over people and putting the environment priority over human rights has earned him +5 on social freedoms. Again, it's one of the definitive examples of social statism, and the chart marks that as libertarian, confusing it with "liberal".

Other issues, like socialized medicine and school choice, are scored purely as economic issues. If you consider that Obamacare has turned out to be the most intrusive encroachment on social freedom this nation has seen, it should merit at







## I N T R O D U C T I O N

The dictionary defines martyr as "a person who is killed because of their religious or other beliefs." In the sixteenth century, a Christian "book of martyrs" was famously compiled by John Foxe to insure that subsequent generations of Christians would never forget the tragic stories of those who had given life and limb for the cause of Christ. Foxe begins his classic with these words:

"(The) Church has endured and held its testimony of Christ through every attack brought against it. Its passage through the storms caused by violent anger and hate has been glorious to see, and much of its history is written in this book so that the wonderful works of God might be to Christ's glory and that the knowledge of the experiences of the Church's martyrs might have a beneficial effect upon its readers and strengthen their Christian faith."

Foxe's book has been passed down through generations of Christians. Millions have read and told its stories, and told them as legends from a bygone era. We have celebrated their faith and heroism, and thanked God that we didn't live in an era where our faith might cause us to be thrown to lions, crucified, beheaded, sold as slaves, impaled on stakes, or buried alive. Yet, as a shame to our modern world, this barbarism persists; history is replaying itself in places like Iraq and in Syria, and we're witnessing a new Foxe's Book of Martyrs being written every single day at the hands of terrorists who intend on giving both Christians, and everyone else, one option: convert or die. This is not an imagined or exaggerated crisis, but a very real one.

It's apparent in the news headlines. It's present in our nightmares, in the unexpected questions of our children, and in the franticness with which the most powerful leaders in the world are scrambling to address the guerilla nature of a jihadist war that waxes on at the hands of a stateless enemy—whose psychopathic supporters exist in New York, Paris, and Toronto, as they do in Al-Raqqa and Mosul.

The threat facing us is the threat of a thousand kinds of Nazis spread throughout the entire globe without a single vein of conscience restraining their evil. The terror of it all is that these arbiters of hate aren't confined to a Third Reich. They are dispersed in every corner of the world, quietly "sleeping" in their cells, awaiting the order or opportunity to shed innocent blood to strike terror in us all.

Every drop of innocent blood prompts their celebration to the world's horror, and every ounce of fear fuels their unbridled evil.

Perhaps at the hands of the strong and good in our world these terrorists will eventually realize—as previous generations of terrorists have—that "love is . . . more powerful than death."<sup>2</sup> But, between now and then, their hate will rage wildly across

[illegible]

the globe, particularly targeting Christians.

They will not win their fight to eradicate the world of Christianity, nor will they win their war with the West, but they might very well win their fight to eradicate the Middle East of it. Through it all have arisen stories of men, women, and children who have given everything for their faith, even their lives, and stories of those who when facing inevitable death lifted their eyes to their God in hope that good will eventually triumph over this evil. The terror they endured jarring the world from its lethargy. Here I will tell some of their stories so that the world will have the opportunity to remember those who stared down the hell of ISIS with the love of Jesus. I'll also attempt to guide us as to what we can do to combat this threat across the world and in our own backyard.

I've written these words because I've learned to love this brow-beaten part of the world with the deepest part of me, and I've found endless hope in its beautiful people—Muslim, Christian, Yazidi, Mandaean, Turkmen, and Kakai—whose lives have been swept up in conflicts they didn't choose and wars they couldn't stop.

I once didn't know their stories, and was embarrassingly uneducated on all that Christians, in particular, have contributed to a region of the world that I thought was entirely Islamic. Thankfully, I was educated by Muslim friends in the Middle East on all that their Christian neighbors have done in the region for two thousand years. All of that contribution now threatened by people who manipulate religion as a means to behead journalists, sell children as sex slaves, burn prisoners of war alive, throw people off buildings, and leave no debauchery to imagination in their attempt to forcibly convert—or kill—anyone that stands in their way.

The stories of those who have defied them with courage and faith will inspire us, and they will shame us for doing so little, so late, in this time of such great need.

They will also warn us to awaken to this threat slithering its way through the dark corners of our broken world, for the ambition of these maniacs is to do here what they've done there. They aim to make the West the killing fields that they have made the Middle East, and to ensure that no one who disbelieves in their perverted ideology will have the opportunity to preach their own.


Their faith is not an Islamic one, but a satanic one. The threat of ISIS is a threat to the livelihood of every sensible person on the planet, and in its crosshairs is the faith of the world's two billion Christians and nearly all of its Muslims, Jews, Hindus, and Buddhists.

If they have their way, you won't live another day.

There's nothing in the world truer than that.

That's why you should read this book.

((L))



## THE UNEMPLOYMENT NUMBER IS AN INTENTIONAL SHAM PREPERTRATED BY YOUR GOVERNMENT

There's an audacity in the Obama administration where they are confident with lying even when it's obvious it \*is\* a lie. One of the most revealing examples is the monthly B.L.S. unemployment statistics. Maybe it's just brazenness or overconfidence... or maybe they just don't understand that, although their efforts through the public school are trying to stop it, some of us still can do math.

Let's begin by looking at the claim. According to the BLS statistics, the unemployment rate for the month of October is now allegedly down to 5%! It's a boldface lie. It's as simple as that. Anyone who looks at the numbers and has rudimentary mathematics skills will conclude this.

The number of people who are out of work is a whopping 94 million, a number that has been steadily increasing throughout the duration of the Obama administration. According to the latest census, there are 320 million Americans. Elementary division (94 million/320 million) has the unemployment rate at 29.3%. But wait! The 94 million only covers people who are "working age" (16 to 65). All 320 million Americans are not "working age". It's also true that some people who work are outside of the working age. So to be conservative, assume that 3/4 (75%) of the population is working age, or employed outside that range. So

That gives us a sample of 240 million Americans. comparing 94 million to the whole gives us (94/240) million, or 39.2%, nearly 2 in 5.

People can easily lie manipulating statistics. But try to prove to me one way where 94 million Americans out a workforce of 240 millions is equal to 5.0%. If you can accept such a number, I have a bridge I'd like to sell you in Brooklyn.

Soon we'll discuss the SIX DIFFERENT WAYS that unemployment can be measured, all different but still deemed legitimate employment figures. But first, it's important to know that beginning in July, 2009, the Obama administration changed the way the unemployment rate is measured in a way that conveniently calculates the exact same numbers but returns a better figure. The U4 unemployment numbers, which is the false number on the news every month, used to not count people who are out of work, but gave up looking. Under the new way of calculating, these people are considered EMPLOYED! Amazingly, the employment numbers started improving \*that month!\*

Let's make an example to show how stilted these numbers. Let's say that there are 10 people of working age. 6 have jobs. 3 don't have jobs and are looking. 1 doesn't have a job but, with the outlook so

bleak, gave up looking. Under the old system, the U3 unemployment figures pretend that the one who stopped looking is not a person at all, removed from the total work force. So the ratio of employed people is 6 to 9, or 66.7%. Under the new 2009 system, the person who gave up looking is considered an \*EMPLOYED PERSON\*! So the ratio of employed people to the whole is 7/10, or 70%! What an easy way to improve the jobs numbers without a single person getting one!

Now let's give three quick lessons on the unemployment figures that help you, Dear Reader, to be informed and aware of the real numbers.

#### #1: THERE ARE SIX UNEMPLOYMENT NUMBERS FOR EACH MONTH!

The Bureau of Labor Statistics each month releases six different unemployment figures, numbered U1 to U6. The lower the number, the more inaccurate the unemployment rate. Each month on the news when you hear the jobs numbers release, you are getting the U3 number. The real number is the U6 number. Let's examine the U1 through U6 numbers for October, 2015:

U1: 2.1%  
U2: 2.5%  
U3: 5.0%  
U4: 5.4%  
U5: 6.2%  
U6: 9.8%

The real unemployment rate is 9.8%.

Here's how the BLS calculates the "variuos" [sic] (that's how the word is spelled on their web site; their spelling is worse than a typical issue of LiberTORian) job numbers:

U1 : Percentage of labor force unemployed 15 weeks or longer.  
U2 : Percentage of labor force who lost jobs or completed temporary work.  
U3 : Official unemployment rate per ILO definition.  
U4 : U3 + "discouraged workers", or those who have stopped

looking for work because current economic conditions make them believe that no work is available for them.

U5 : U4 + other "marginally attached workers", or "loosely attached workers", or those who "would like" and are able to work, but have not looked for work recently.

U6 : U5 + Part time workers who want to work full time, but cannot due to economic reasons.

The U6 number is higher than the unemployment rate of 6 2/3 years of George W. Bush's 8 years in office. Obama presided over 48 months of unemployment rates higher than the highest rate of W. Bush's term: 14.2%. The rate was never above 11.8% until after Obama's election; studies showed that 75% of businesses ceased hiring \*because\* of Obama's election, understanding that it meant that the economic climate for entrepreneurs would be too hostile to risk hiring anyone. From the election to the present, 70 months were above the 11.8% figure. October of this year marks the first month under 10%. And even this is not the real number. So now it's time for lesson number two:

#### #2: UNDER OBAMA, THE BLS HAS BEEN DOCTORING THE NUMBERS FOR POLITICAL GAIN.

The kakistocracy (see LiberTORian issue 3) manipulates everything for personal political purposes. The BLS is no exception. Zero Hedge, The Wall Street Journal, and others have reported on the doctoring of numbers. Like the global warming alarmists' "hockey stick" numbers, BLS employees admitted that they were allowed to just make up new hiring numbers. It was especially crucial in 2012. With Benghazi exploding in the administration's face, they had to retain their other facade: their economic one. Like magic, the October U3 rate

plummeted from 8.1% to 7.8%, although the number of people in the workforce dropped. This happened frequently throughout Obama's regime, but October was crucial because it was said that Obama couldn't win with the rate above 8%. Of course, when this info was announced, the administration and media's reaction was to discredit the messengers personally while never addressing or refuting the facts. So typical; the Alinsky playbook doesn't really work any more.

There is a disparity in the unemployment rate and the \*EM\*ployment rate. For some reason, when the percent of people who had jobs declined, somehow the \*UN\*employment rate went down too. But how is this? That's what I'd like to know. Because the third thing you need to know is:

3: THE MOST ACCURATE GUAGE OF THE EMPLOYMENT RATE IS NONE OF THE SIX U NUMBERS, BUT THE "LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATE"

Early in the article, it was stated that the number of people out of work is actually 94 million. Using some general estimates, it shows that even the U6 number is close to the real unemployment rate. Let's assume, for the sake of discourse, that the real unemployment rate is 5%. 5% of 240 million people is 12 million. Only under Common Core math does 12 million equal 94 million.

2005: 66.03%	2011: 64.12%
2006: 66.17%	2012: 63.67%
2007: 66.03%	2013: 63.16%
2008: 66.11%	2014: 62.88%
2009: 65.5%	2015: 62.71%
2010: 64.59%	

In September and October 2015, the Labor Force Participation Rate plummeted to 62.4%, the lowest since 38 years ago, when Jimmy Carter was the president. The monthly numbers drop steadily throughout each year. Here are the figures in January, June, and October for each of the last eleven years (October was chosen because we didn't reach December 2015):

	January -----	June -----	October -----
2005	65.8%	66.1%	66.1%
2006	66.0%	66.2%	66.2%
2007	66.4%	66.0%	65.8%
2008	66.2%	66.1%	66.0%
	Obama takes office		
2009	65.7%	65.7%	65.0%
2010	64.8%	64.6%	64.4%
2011	64.2%	64.0%	64.1%
2012	63.7%	63.8%	63.7%
2013	63.7%	63.4%	62.8%
2014	63.0%	62.8%	62.8%
2015	62.9%	62.6%	62.4%

This demonstrates an almost steady decline. Two years ago, 92.4 million Americans were out of work. Last year that number was 93 million, and in 2015 it's 94 million.

Now that we know the true figures, let's compare the progression in the U6 rate next to the Labor Force Participation Rate, and see how often there is a conflict in the direction of the numbers. If the U6 moves in the same direction as the Labor Force Participation Rate, or only one moves while the other doesn't, there is a conflict.

REFER TO CHART ON NEXT PAGE.

Comparing the real numbers to the U6 numbers, you'll see that nearly four out of five months had numbers that were in conflict with each other. Did the government think that no one will notice? Through its history of statistic taking in 1948, the NLPR is generally lower under liberal presidents and higher under conservative or libertarian ones, including John F. Kennedy. The only exception is the five Bill Clinton years after the republican congressional sweep in 1994, and many economic reforms were passed reluctantly by the president.

Knowledge is power. Now that you know the inner workings of the BLS statistics, you are empowered to know how the economy REALLY is, even when they try to pull the wool over your eyes. ((L))



-----  
 -COMPARISON BETWEEN MONTHLY U6 UNEMPLOYMENT AND LABOR FORCE PARTICIPATION RATES-  
 -----

	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC
2009												
U6	14.2	15.1	15.7	15.9	16.4	16.5	16.5	16.7	16.7	17.1	17.1	17.1
LFPR	65.7	65.8	65.6	65.7	65.7	65.7	65.5	65.4	65.1	65.0	65.0	64.6
CONFLICT		YES	no	YES	YES	YES	YES	no	YES	no	no	YES
2010												
U6	16.7	17.0	17.0	17.1	16.6	16.5	16.5	16.5	16.8	16.7	16.9	16.6
LFPR	64.8	64.9	64.9	65.2	64.9	64.6	64.6	64.7	64.6	64.4	64.6	64.3
CONFLICT	no	YES	no	YES	YES	YES	no	YES	no	YES	YES	YES
2011												
U6	16.2	16.0	15.8	16.0	15.8	16.1	16.0	16.1	16.3	16.0	15.5	15.2
LFPR	64.2	64.2	64.2	64.2	64.1	64.0	64.0	64.1	64.2	64.1	64.1	64.0
CONFLICT	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	no	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES
2012												
U6	15.1	15.0	14.5	14.5	14.8	14.8	14.9	14.7	14.7	14.5	14.4	14.4
LFPR	63.7	63.8	63.8	63.7	63.8	63.8	63.7	63.5	63.6	63.7	63.6	63.7
CONFLICT	YES	no	YES	YES	YES	no	no	YES	YES	no	YES	YES
2013												
U6	14.4	14.3	13.8	13.9	13.8	14.3	14.0	13.6	13.6	13.7	13.1	13.1
LFPR	63.7	63.5	63.3	63.4	63.4	63.4	63.3	63.2	63.2	62.8	63.0	62.8
CONFLICT	no	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	no	no	YES!	YES
2014												
U6	12.7	12.6	12.7	12.3	12.2	12.1	12.2	12.0	11.7	11.5	11.4	11.2
LFPR	63.0	63.0	63.2	62.8	62.8	62.8	62.9	62.9	62.7	62.8	62.9	62.7
CONFLICT	YES!	YES	YES	YES!	YES	YES	YES	YES	YES	no	no	YES
2015												
U6	11.3	11.0	10.9	10.8	10.8	10.5	10.4	10.3	10.0	9.8		
LFPR	62.9	62.8	62.7	62.8	62.9	62.6	62.6	62.6	62.4	62.4		
CONFLICT	YES	YES	YES	no	YES	YES!	YES	YES	YES!	YES		

-----  
 CONFLICT LEGEND:

no: no conflict

YES: conflict exists

YES!: conflict was 1/2 a percentage point or greater

Number of months where U6 was in conflict with LFPR: 62 (the BLS lied)

Number of months where U6 and LFPR were consistent: 19 (the BLS told the truth)

Ratio of consistency: 19/81  
 22.2%

-----  
 Source of U6 and LFPR data: <http://bls.gov>

~~~~~

OBAMA'S WAR ON WOMEN (& schoolgirls!)

~~~~~

Obama runs America the way the mafia runs a town. It's common for him to resort to blackmail, extortion, and other assorted forms of thuggery to advance his agenda. The agenda: take your freedoms away in every aspect of life and usurp it all for the federal government's control.

One of the ways he uses extortion to force anybody opposed to his agenda to comply is to threaten to revoke their federal funding. I'm sorry, Mr. Obama. I don't remember in the U.S. Constitution, "The president shall have the power to selectively withhold funding to districts which fail to do his bidding, regardless of whether or not his demands are based on law."

Of course, districts have gotten into this mess themselves. By accepting federal taxes, they've grown dependent on Federal dollars, and the one who pays gets to dictate terms. Except that it's the taxpayers who are actually paying, and are the ones who have no say in these matters!

Obama uses extortion to great lengths in the public school system. Back in the bailout days, he demanded that school districts in all 50 states are mandated to enact Common Core or lose their funding. Most states complied, putting funding over education. Now, the public school system is a sewer where all learning is discouraged, and its \*intended purpose\* is to make children grow up to be ignorant and uneducated.

Herr Obama is at it again. In December 2015, Mr. Obama issued an 18-page letter to every school district in the country, demanding that every school enact policies where children may choose which bathroom they wish to use each day, based on whichever

- By Synonymous Xi

"identity" they choose, instead of their real biologic gender. And, of course, as usual, if you don't comply with my unreasonable demands you won't get your money.

Now if it was my school, I'd say, "Good for you! It's my district, and I don't need your money!" But most school systems are bastions of socialism already, and they for the most part are driven by money and rely on federal funds. Not to mention that teachers' unions are tiny microcosms of communist societies. So they comply.

What kind of assault on teenage girls is this? This is equally as egregious an invasion of privacy as anything perpetrated by the NSA. MALE and FEMALE DNA are just that! (And as Moxxygen reminded Synonymous 1m, are unusual combinations in cases). Already female students in Fairfax VA, one of the first and as of yet few districts to comply with this, are feeling violated because they are now required to undress before boys their age. If our society didn't have modesty it still wouldn't matter; teenage girls deserve privacy from voyeuristic boys if they so wish, and most of them do! And by the way, let's address the obvious: everyone including Herr Obama KNOWS that boys in EVERY DISTRICT THAT EMPLOYS THIS is going to suddenly have new (fake) gender ID revelations just to get in there! It's already happening: boys are reaching their cell phones over the toilet stalls and taking snapshots. If a girl complains, she is shamed and called "intolerant" by the school, just as society often does to rape victims.

Welcome to the war on teen girls, courtesy of statist democrats! ((L))

#####

## RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART, PART 3

- By Synonymous 1 -

#####

### BUILDING YOUR OWN SHELTER

If you're ever lost in the woods, to build your own shelter is EASY. But it is a lot of labor. Hope you have not been getting soft from all that statist dependency.

This type of shelter, "squirrel's nest", can be a lifesaver in autumn or winter when the temperature can drop low and lead to hypothermia.

1) Find a tree that has fallen. You should be perceptive enough of your surroundings that it won't take you long to find a tree that has fallen over, but is still attached to its own stump. This is the ideal place for your shelter.

The best tree looks like this:

```
FALLEN -->  __,--'  I  <-- STUMP
TRUNK   __,--'      I
```

2) Do not move any leaves from under the tree. These leaves insulate you from the coldness of the ground. Do check for poison ivy, oak, or sumac, if it grows in your area. (You'll have to look up a guide online. Do not wait until you get lost.)

3) Collect large branches. Align them at bout 60 degree angles along the entirety of the trunk like this (now you're viewing the fallen trunk straight on:

```
  /I\
 //I\\
///I\\\
```

place largest branches closest to the stump. Also put branches on one side of the stump, leaving the other side open for you to enter it.

4) Gather leaves. cover the sticks

completely so that you can't see the interior. This includes all areas right to where the fallen trunk hits the ground.

5) REPEAT STEPS 3 and 4. cover the leaves with another layer of sticks. Cover that layer with another of leaves. Repeat. How many times do you repeat? Make it so thick that if you put your fist through it, the wall itself is as deep as your arm.

6) If you have a trash bag (or two), fill them with leaves and make a "door" you can use to cover your entrance. If not, make the opening to your entrance as small as possible. If you're claustrophobic, leave the smallest opening in an upper corner, near the stump.

And there you have it. This should be obvious, but just in case, DO NOT EVER LIGHT A MATCH OR OTHERWISE MAKE A FIRE IN YOUR SQUIRREL'S NEST!!! Your shelter is a fireball in wait. Flashlights are okay, of course.

The internal temperature of your shelter can become very warm, even in the winter. Once a few friends and I built one (it took about four hours for three people). It snowed while we built it. We were prepared with trash bags and sleeping bags. It became so hot that we all slept on the outside of our sleeping bags in our underwear because it was so uncomfortably hot otherwise. This was up North in February. Another time, 8 of us built a large one and it was resilient. It stood for 2 years. Oh, and if you're a girl, don't think you can't build one!

If you're in the mood for adventure, why not get practice in and build a shelter and use it that night. You may save your life knowing how. ((L))

## EXAMPLES OF SQUIRRELS NEST SHELTERS

Wd.00N...:MXd, cd 1; :WKX':.xk;KMKk' oKWWMo.xOXXxx;x0XKNXKWWc,o'.11:dkx:0xxkN

~~~~~

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

- gun-ctrl.txt

~~~~~

Today's classic .txt file is "Gun Control, Patriotism, and Civil Disobedience", originally published in 1991. This is during some of the first days of emboldened gun-control laws being enacted at the state and municipal levels. This file, which originally appeared in an issue of "Freedom Daily", a libertarian/Constitutionalist newsletter of the day. Here, the author suggests applying the civil disobedience

that our Founding Fathers (and the Martin Luther King, Jr. wing of the civil rights movement) employed in order to resist unjust laws.

As always, this text file appears exactly as it did when first published. This one is a 62-column plain text file, a bit weird, since 64-columns is one of several standards. But I'm libertarian so it doesn't matter to me. ENJOY! ((L))

\*\*\*\*\*

## GUN CONTROL, PATRIOTISM, AND CIVIL DISOBEDIENCE

By JACOB G. HORNBERGER

The State of California recently enacted a law which requires owners of semiautomatic weapons to register their guns with the state. But when the law went into effect, thousands of California gun owners, although risking a felony conviction, refused to comply with its requirements.

The gun owners were immediately showered with harsh criticism, not only from their public officials but from many of their fellow citizens as well. The critics implied, among other things, that since the law had been passed by the duly elected representatives of the people, the gun owners, as members of society, had a duty to comply with its terms.

The controversy raises important issues concerning liberty, property, government, patriotism, and civil disobedience.

As I have repeatedly emphasized, by adopting the welfare-state, planned-economy way of life, the American people of our time have rejected and abandoned the principles of individual freedom and limited government on which our nation was founded. But they have also rejected and abandoned something of equal importance: the concept of patriotism which characterized America's Founding Fathers.

There have been two different notions of patriotism in American history. The one which characterizes the American people of the 20th century--the one which is taught in our public schools--is this: patriotism means the support of one's own government and the actions which the government takes on behalf of the citizenry. The idea is that since we live in a

democratic society, the majority should have the political power to take any action it desires. And although those in the minority may not like the laws, they are duty-bound, as "good" citizens, to obey and support them.

The distinguishing characteristic of this type of patriotism is that the citizen does not make an independent, personal judgment of the rightness or wrongness of a law. Instead, he does what he has been taught to do since the first grade in his government schools: he places unwavering faith and trust in the judgment of his popularly elected public officials.

The other concept of patriotism was the type which characterized the British colonists during the late 1700s. These individuals believed that patriotism meant a devotion to certain principles of rightness and morality. They believed that the good citizen had the duty to make an independent judgment as to whether his own government's laws violated these principles. And so, unlike their counterparts in America today, these individuals refused to automatically accept the legitimacy of the actions of their public officials.

Let us examine how "real-world" applications of these two concepts of patriotism differ dramatically.

In the late 1700s, the British colonists were suffering under the same type of oppressive regulatory and tax system under which present-day Americans are suffering. What was the reaction of the colonists to this regulatory and tax tyranny? They deliberately chose to ignore and disobey their government's regulations and tax acts. Smuggling and tax evasion were the order of the day! And the more that their government tried to enforce the restrictions, the more it met with disregard and disobedience from the citizenry.

Sometimes smugglers or tax evaders would be caught and brought to trial. The result? Despite conclusive evidence of guilt and the judges' instructions to convict, the defendants' fellow citizens on the juries regularly voted verdicts of acquittal.

And civil disobedience was not limited to economic regulations and taxation. There was also widespread resistance to conscription, especially during the French and Indian War. Those who were conscripted deserted the army in large numbers. And those who had not been conscripted hid the deserters in their homes.

This was what it once meant to be a patriot--the devotion to a certain set of principles regarding rightness, morality, individualism, liberty, and property; and it meant a firm stand against one's own government when it violated these principles.

If an American of today were magically transported back to colonial America of the late 1700s, he would immediately find himself at odds with the colonists who were resisting the tyranny of their government. How do we know this? By the way

which Americans of today respond to what is a much more oppressive and tyrannical economic system: with either meekness or, even worse, with ardent, "flag-waving" support for the actions of their rulers.

And what is their attitude toward their fellow citizens who are caught violating the rules and regulations? Again, either meekness or fervent support of their rulers. After all, what was the reaction to the conviction of Michael Milken for violating such ridiculous economic regulations that even King George would have been embarrassed? "He got what's coming to him--he shouldn't have made so much money anyway!" And to Leona Helmsley's conviction for having taken improper deductions on her income tax return? "She's obnoxious--she should go to jail." The thought of rising to the defense of these victims of political tyranny is an anathema to the present-day American "patriot."

And what about jury trials involving economic crimes? Like the good, little citizens they have been taught to be, especially in their public schools, American "patriots" dutifully comply with the judge's instructions to convict their fellow citizens of violating this regulatory and tax tyranny. Although they have the same power as their ancestors to disregard the judge's instructions and to acquit their fellow citizens, the thought of doing so is repugnant to present-day "patriots." They choose instead to do their "duty" and thereby become "patriotic" agents of their own government's tyranny.

Therefore, there is no doubt that the American of today would feel very uncomfortable if, all of a sudden, he found himself in the British colonies in 1775--in the midst of smugglers, tax evaders, draft resisters, and other patriots of that time.

This brings us back to the individuals in California who are refusing to register their guns.

As our American ancestors understood so well, the bedrock of a free society is private ownership of property. And there are fewer more important rights of private ownership than the unfettered right to own weapons.

Why is ownership of weapons so vitally important? Not for hunting. And not even to resist aggression by domestic criminals or foreign invaders. No, as history has repeatedly shown, the vital importance of the fundamental right to own arms is to resist tyranny by one's own government, should such tyranny ever become unendurably evil and oppressive.

The lesson which Americans of today have forgotten or have never learned--the lesson which our ancestors tried so hard to teach us--is that the greatest threat to our lives, liberty, property, and security lies not with some foreign government, as our rulers so often tell us; instead, the greatest threat to the well-being of all of us lies with our own government!

Of course, there are those who suggest that democratically

elected public officials would never do anything seriously harmful to the American people. But let's look at just a few twentieth-century examples. They confiscated people's gold. They repudiated gold clauses in government debts. They provoked the Japanese into attacking Pearl Harbor and then acted like they were surprised. They incarcerated Japanese-Americans for no crime at all. They injected dangerous, mind-altering drugs into American servicemen without their knowledge. They radiated the American people in the Northwest and then deliberately hid it from them. They have surreptitiously confiscated and plundered people's income and savings through the Federal Reserve System. They have terrorized the citizenry through the IRS. And, most recently, they have sent our fellow citizens to their deaths thousands of miles away in the pursuit of a relatively insignificant cause.

Those who believe that democratically elected rulers lack the potential and inclination for destructive conduct against their citizenry are living in la-la land.

Of course, the proponents of political tyranny are usually well motivated. Those who enacted the gun-registration law in California point to those who have used semiautomatic weapons to commit horrible, murderous acts. But the illusion--the pipe-dream--is that bad acts can be prevented through the deprivation of liberty. They cannot be! Life is insecure--whether under liberty or enslavement. The only choice is between liberty and insecurity, on the one hand, and insecurity and enslavement on the other.

The true patriot scrutinizes the actions of his own government with unceasing vigilance. And when his government violates the morality and rightness associated with principles of individual freedom and private property, he immediately rises in opposition to his government. This is why the gun owners of California might ultimately go down in history as among the greatest and most courageous patriots of our time.

Mr. Hornberger is founder and president of The Future of Freedom Foundation.

-----  
From the May 1991 issue of FREEDOM DAILY,  
Copyright (c) 1991, The Future of Freedom Foundation,  
PO Box 9752, Denver, Colorado 80209, 303-777-3588.  
Permission granted to reprint; please give appropriate credit  
and send one copy of reprinted material to the Foundation.

\*\*\*\*\*

Is there a vintage text file in your possession that you'd like to share with all of the Deep Web world? Why don't you submit it to LiberTORian, and we'll review and possibly even

publish the thing. I mean, we're all libertarians here. A poor assumption, but why not open this part of the content up to our Dear Readers? [synonymous1@uggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@uggedinbox.com)





010101110110100010011010110111000100

D. N. S.    L O O K U P    F A I L E D

110010110001011000101110010110001110

LiberTORian is circulated in .txt and .pdf formats. "WHY .PDF?" I hear you scream, horrified. Just because they print perfectly. LiberTORian Issue 10 contains a blurb on the best way to print the LiberTORian .txt version if you wish to avoid .pdfs.

Next Issue arrives as a well-deserved break from all of the stress-inducing attacks on liberty. It will be the wonderful Christmas issue. This huge special will feature a few articles, but its main focus will be the GIFTS! I'm looking around the net for some really neat things you can give to your loved ones (including yourself). Work on the Christmas issue is under-way already, but we're looking for your submissions, not just the staff picks. (We do want to publish gifts that look neat even if they aren't in our field of interest.)

Micro ASCII of the day: a fish

><(((°>

The eye is ASCII code 248. Writers: did you know that you can produce an ASCII letter by typing its code with the [ALT] key depressed? Try it (if you didn't know that trick). If you don't know which letters to try, begin with the following sequence. We think you'll be pleasantly surprised (but it'll be apparent by the second or third letter. Maybe the first):

156 173 225 240 158 194 9 218  
124 166 14

Nice cipher. Maybe you'll read this ascii art in future issues.

I don't know much about hacking, and neither do the other LiberTORian co-contributors, but ciphers, codes and cryptology are some areas where we

some interest. If you have similar interests, let us know. Maybe there will be an article or two in future issues on clandestine writing.

By the way, in this issue's \*RAIDERS OF THE LOST ART\* we suggested you do a trial run with a squirrel's nest. It can be a fun way to spend a night but it can also be risky. Be sure that for the trial run, you took preparations, and are connected to the outside world in case something does wrong. LiberTORian isn't responsible for your actions. As a libertarian, it's up to YOU to make sure you don't endanger yourself. But having the skill may be vital to you should an emergency arise.

This essence of libertarianism: that people are ultimately responsible for their own actions, does have a second part that many forget: human beings are to watch out for one another. Sometimes people do need our help and we need theirs. That's why I took the time to write a shelter guide: in the hopes someone's life is saved by it. If you see someone drowning in a lake, you don't say, "Well, it was his own decision to go out there." You make a rescue attempt, or at least call 911 if you know no other way to help. It's like law #1 of Issac Asimov's laws of robotics. You know, exploration of the three laws would make a good topic for a future issue.

Speaking of topics, we have some important material to cover soon, between varsity team attacks in Paris plus Mizzou and the other government institutionalized racism (and by racism I mean #BlackLivesMatter.) But issue 12 will take a break from all this and be of a different nature. I think you will enjoy it.

In the meantime, keep spreading the magazine, remain safe on the deep web, and keep in contact with others who will stand with you. May the Force be with you. ((L))

- - - E N D    O F    F I L E    - - -

<http://xsold3pvbxt4xblv.onion>  
**W O R L D**  
**W O R L D**

~○○~

~○○~

Christmas 2015

AN ONION  
FOR  
YOUR  
STOCKING

HARD COPY  
CHRISTMAS EDITION

LIBERTorIAN  
GIFT GUIDE

**AND MORE !**

```

xx'.', , , , .
o...' ;c; ,
lo ..,0X.
ox .. ;kW,
;0, ..:d;0K'
.:d0x. ...ol,dKkc.
,dKk:. '... ld,,cd001'
'd0kc. , ' .. ,d;::::x00o.
.lK0c. ... .. ,x;:::::xK0c
:NO, ,;;' . ; , lx;:::::oXK'
d0, ,,, ' .. ' ; .0l;:::::;kK,
kN' .c; . '.,' , , l0;:::::;kN;
oW: ,; .'. .c. :.,Xc;:::::;XX.
.XO :: .'. .. : ' 'c.Xd;:::::;xMo
,Mo :: .',, . : ' l'Xk;:::::;lWk
,Mo .o .l. ,,, .l l:XO;:::::;lWO
.Nx l. .l. ' ,.. : ,ooWO;:::::;dMx
xN; 'l ;c , , .l, ;lddMx;:::::;KW,
.OX. ;c 'o , .:l :ddkWl;:::::;dWl
.O0. ,; dc ; .:d oxoNK;:::::;oNc
oXo. ':'x: : .lc.dcxWo;:::::;lOX:
'kXd..c:oo. 'o .k,lOkWx;:::::;lKXd.
.cKxloccl;' , ,l'cxdkNXo;:::ok0k:.
.:dkKK00xx0XXWMKdox000o;.
'cdOKNMMMMMWXKko;.

```

[illegible]

```

ACQUIRING RELAY.....3 YET AGAIN

DEP WEB SITE OF THE DAY.....4
Question Everything

BLURB.....4

SPECIAL FEATURE.....5
THE FIRST ANNUAL TOTALLY AWESOME
LIBERTORIAN GIFT GIVING AND GETTING
GUIDE

LiberTORian's Excellent Christmas.19
Movie Night Viewing Schedule

FEATURE.....22
The True History of Kwanzaa

ARTICLE: The Lego Movie.....26
A Family-Friendly Movie with a
Libertarian Message

ARTICLE.....29
Hannukah Traditions

ARTICLE.....32
Awesome Christmas Music, Parts 1 & 2

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY.....35
nitexmas.txt
px-xmas.txt

BLURB.....38

MYTHS THAT LIBERALS BELIEVE #12...38
Muslims in NJ didn't cheer on 9/11

FEATURE: HARD COPY.....39
The War on Christmas
The Christmas Sweater
The Christmas Sweater (illustrated)
The Immortal Nicholas

```

Ascii art by Synonymous Xi:

[illegible]

```
| '~ L i B e r t O r I a N ~' |  
| -- Volume 1 - Issue 12 rev1 -- }  
| Christmas, 2015 }  
| A Publication of Synonymous 1. }  
| Published December, 2015. }  
| }  
| -- }  
| LibERTORian is published on an }  
| irregular basis. }  
| }  
| N(c), 2015. Readers are welcome, }  
| actually encouraged, to copy and }  
| distribute this text by any means }  
| possible, preferably by photocopy- }  
| ing. }  
| }  
| LIBERTORIAN HAS A DEEP WEB SITE: }  
| http://xsold3pvbxt4xblv.onion }  
| }  
| Thanks to an Onionland user. }  
| The views expressed are not nece- }  
| ssarily those of the webmaster. }  
| That's fine with us libertarians. }  
| }  
| CORRESPONDENCE: }  
| synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com or }  
| libertorian@sigaaint.org }  
| }  
| Send submissions & letters to }  
| LibERTORian at the above address }  
| }  
| DONATE TO LIBERTORIAN: }  
| 12yfpaKCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1Tbw1q2s }  
| Even a millibitcoin! }  
| (.001 BTC) }  
| }  
| }  
| DON'T HURT PEOPLE }  
| AND DON'T TAKE THEIR STUFF. }
```

D.N.S. Lookup Failed.....40

~~~~~

## ACQUIRING RELAY

~~~~~

MERRY CHRISTMAS TO ALL!

Who doesn't absolutely love Christmas, besides the ACLU? It's that time of year when everything becomes totally awesome! Everyone has their own personal traditions associated with it, and the Baby Jesus is born, and all the gifts and charitibility, and the Christmas cheer and egg nog and Santa Claus and Charlie Brown TV specials and a list that never ends of great tidings.

2015 hasn't been such a great year, so we owe it to ourselves to stop a moment, enjoy the goodness of life, and enjoy the greatest gift of all: God's love for us such that we are animated with life itself. What a blessing to share in the perception of this universe! So on with the festivities!

It's been a long wait, but LibertORian 12 will explore the true history of the evil twin of Christmas, the anti-Christmas: Kwanzaa. I don't care if you prefer to miss out on Christmas and instead celebrate this racist holiday. It's your loss. But I do wish to rain on your parade with the truth behind Kwanzaa. It's actually on my Christmas list. No, really!

Imagine that this issue is a sleigh as we're filling it with GIFT ideas for you who wait until the last minute to shop. I'd rather get it all done early and not rush around missing the Christmas anticipation. But I'm a libertarian, so you do it the way you want. Our staff picked what we consider the coolest stuff.

So, what do you want for Christmas? Let us know! Send emails to:

synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com

~~~~~  
}} - by Synonymous 1 - {{  
~~~~~  
/\

When LibertORian returns with Issue 13, there will be a lot to cover, as everything is moving so quickly. It is the kind of thing that the Fabian Society, inspiration for George Orwell's \*1984\* aspired to: heat the Earth up and re-mold it into the shape they desire. For your Christmas homework, I want you to do some research and discover on your own why Orwell chose the year 1984 for his novel. First to know can email me.

Back on topic: ISIS attacked Paris, A confrontation at a Colorado Chase Bank ended at a Planned Parenthood, and colleges are becoming victims of their own PC nonsense. To quote Billy Joel, "We didn't start the fire." Maybe not, but there's been a lot of fanning the flames!

You can't have Christmas without the Grinch. The current occupant of the White House pulled another first in American history: he ordered the Pentagon to ban all Christmas cards to our soldiers overseas. And the reason: because it might offend Muslims. My answer: WHO CARES IF THEY GET OFFENDED? That's their problem! Because of your catering to Muslims, which you say you're not, you always oppress Christians, which you claim you are! I don't know what you are, other than a liar! Those cards are often the one bit of Christmas cheer those who already sacrifice to defend our freedom receive. Now you take that away from them. Icing on the cake after all you've done to them. You suck!

It's not even free speech or freedom of religion. It's just basic "keep the grubby government off of us and let us live. And when it comes to government, Obama's is the grubbiest ever! Merry Christmas! ((L))



```

O~~~~~O
S                                     S
S   THE   ( )   ( ) ( )   ( )   ( )   S
S   FIRST ( )   ( )   ( )   ( )   S
S   ANNUAL ( )   ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )   ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) S
S   TOTALLY ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )   ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) S
S   AWESOME ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )   ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) S
S                                     S
S                                     CHRISTMAS GIFT GIVING AND GETTING GUIDE !!!!!!! S
O~~~~~O

```

```

*      E N T E R T A I N M E N T :
/ \      M O V I E S
o o\
//.\    There's an almost unlimited
//|\    selection of Blu-Ray & DVDs
///\O\  you can give to you loved
o | |o  one. There's something
/_\    about a real tangible med-
      ium that's missing from a

```

subscription to NetFlix or Amazon Prime. When you hold the DVD case in your hands, you know you actually \*own\* the media inscribed on it. If there's a problem with the internet, you can still watch it. When you insert a DVD into your player, your ISP or streaming service is not taking notes. You don't have to worry about multiple streaming subscriptions because the one you use does not carry your favorite TV series.

Here's a list of our favorite DVD ideas. Feel free to be inspired or disregard any of them:

#### MOVIES:

2001: A Space Odyssey (1968)

A thought-provoking trip into mankind's future. Many movie goers actually took LSD to view the final scene. This counterculture appeal led to the slogan, "Take the Ultimate Trip" to be used on MGM's later movie posters.

Mad Max (2015)

A worthy remake of the Mel Gibson classic. No better way to celebrate Jesus' birth than with post-apocalyptic warfare.

The Notebook

One of the greatest romantic movies of all-time!

Captain America: The Winter Soldier (2014)

This movie must be the topic of a future issue of LiberTORian. Captain America 2 addresses issues of 4th Amendment rights, and allegorically examines real-life current issues such as NSA spying, sacrificing of liberty for security, and government denying freedom and the right to life in the name of utopian dream gone awry. It champions American values of freedom and equal justice without being jingoistic. Buy it as a gift, open it up, enjoy it yourself first, then re-wrap it and give it to a loved one.

#### MORE MOVIES THAT MAKE GREAT GIFTS

- The Wedding Singer
- Men In Black
- Casablanca
- The Wizard of Oz
- The Rocky Horror Picture Show (but it doesn't beat the movie theater experience)
- The Naked Gun Trilogy
- Must Love Dogs
- The Blind Side
- The Shawshank Redemption
- Pursuit of Happyness
- Forrest Gump
- Blade Runner
- Wreck-It Ralph
- Godzilla (2014)
- Big / 13 Going On 30
- Who Framed Roger Rabbit?
- The Goonies

\*        E N T E R T A I N M E N T :  
 / \        T E L E V I S I O N  
 o o\  
 //.\ \    You're watching these great  
 ///\ \    movies on the small screen,  
 ///\ \ O \ so now, let's watch some-  
 o | | o    thing actually made for it.  
 / \_ \    There are so many great TV  
          series. And so many terri-  
 ble ones, too. We'll name a bunch of  
 good ones.

#### TELEVISION SIT COMS:

##### 1) Seinfeld

In retrospect, the show's not as funny as it was back then, because there's been so much humor built on it that the novelty's over. But for those who grew up with it, it's just as memorable, as we've come to identify with the characters and situations we've come to love. Nine seasons are available.

##### 2) The Honeymooners

Still Arguably the best situation comedy of all time. The only possible exception is:

##### 3) I Love Lucy

The humor in these two shows are timeless.

##### 4) Leave It To Beaver

A stark contrast from today's social conventions, but the lessons learned seem to apply to all generations. I love the episode where Beaver gets his head stuck in the fence.

##### 5) Gilligan's Island

Nonsensical fun! The cast knew how absurd their series was when they read Sherwood Schwartz's scripts. Actually, as an allegory, each of the seven castaways represents one of each of the seven deadly sins:

- o Gilligan: Sloth
- o Skipper: Greed

- o Mr. Howell: Greed
- o Mrs. Howell: Anger
- o Ginger: Lust
- o Professor: Pride
- o Mary Ann: Jealousy

If you have no idea who any of the above people are, put this DVD set on your Christmas list!

##### 6) The Fresh Prince of Bel-Air

Such a funny show. i could watch Jazzy get kicked out of the house over and over again! Such a talent Will Smith is in his first foray from music to acting, other than in his music videos, of course.

##### 7) M\*A\*S\*H

One of our Dear Readers suggested this and #8 to us, and I'm ashamed to overlook these. M\*A\*S\*H seems like a sitcom, but it's touching, dramatic, horrifying and heartwarming as much as it's funny. M\*A\*S\*H puts a face on soldiers and lets the viewers of this popular show understand the reality and cost of war.

##### 8) All In The Family

This show had a liberal, sometimes statist slant, but it always taught lessons without telling us \*how\* to think. It encouraged discussion of relevant topics, and helped us to laugh at the ridiculousness of stereotyping. Like M\*A\*S\*H, this popular series was hysterically funny and dramatically serious all at once, a hard line to balance.

OTHER COMEDIES readers suggest:

- o The King of Queens
- o My Favorite Martian
- o The Odd Couple (2014)
- o The Goldbergs (2012)
- o Night Court
- o Cheers
- o Bob Newhart Show
- o The Cosby Show
- o The Simpsons
- o Chuck



```

      *      E N T E R T A I N M E N T :
    /  \      R E T R O   G A M I N G
  o o \
//. \ \
//| \ \
/// \ \O\
o | |o
/_ \

```

Who needs all the bells and whistles? Actually, retro video games HAVE those, but they are like the Tor of the video game world: just str8 up simplistic fun! Who did not like blasting Space Invaders, being a \*Defender\* against invading landers turning our human into mutants, blow apart a Centipede or eat monsters with your Pac-Man? There are several ways to play classic video games:

1) Plug & Play systems. Pharmacies, department stores and electronics boutiques carry retro video game console emulators pre-loaded with tons of classic titles. Some that we recommend are:

- o Atari Flashback 6
- o Sega Genesis 2
- o ColectoVision
- o Intellivision
- o Game Gear hand-held

The Game Gear title is notable because it has an SD slot. A person can actually download Sega Genesis ROMs from the internet, transfer the ROMs to SD card media, and play the games directly. Theoretically, you can have hundreds of games on a card and play all the titles you enjoyed as a tot.

2)Emulators. The aforementioned ROM files are exact copies of classic video game cartridges. To play the classic games, you need two components: the ROM and an emulator. A ROM is the game, the emulator is a program that behaves like a system, and can interpret the ROM.

You can find emulators throughout the clearnet. Here are the names of some systems and the emulator that best mimicks each:

- o Atari: Stella 3
- o Intellivision: Bliss
- o ColecoVision Blue MSX

- o Nintendo NES: NESTopia
- o Sega Genesis, Game Gear, Master System, Sega CD: Fusion
- o Arcade: MAME
- o Super Nintendo: SNES9X
- o TurboGraf-X 16: DPCE

Once you have your desired emulator program, you can now search for ROM files for your favorite games. To give a gift to a fan of the classic games, fill a CD with an emulator and all kinds of ROMs and surprise her on Christmas or Hannukah!

3) Retro Games of Retro Games. A few such titles are on consoles. Playstation 1 has a title called ATARI 80 CLASSICS. The program is an Atari emulator and 80 ROMs. It is also available for Windows PC. You can play these on the platform you prefer. In fact, if you are a Windows user, there is a PlayStati-on emulator called ePSXe. If you acquire the Playstation disc, you can play it on a PC with Windows. not only that, what is more amazing is since each game is included on the CD as an exact copy of an original cartridge, those files can be copied and played on the Stella 3 emulator!

There is a nice Activision collection on Game Boy Advance. And if all those systems are just too new fangled for you, thre's one other option:

4) Buy a classic system!

eBay and Amazon are good starting points, but there are sites like <http://tradengames.com> that sell retro systems and games. Social media has game commerce threads with some really rare finds. Why not re-introduce that hardcore game player with an original NES or an Atari 7800? Even if you can't get the manuals, <http://digitpress.org> and <http://atariage.com> are havens for resources and supplements. If you're in the market for some of these classic games, below is a list to reignite your memory:

## Atari 2600

- o Adventure
- o Atlantis (Imagic)
- o Barnstorming (Activision)
- o Berzerk
- o Centipede
- o Cosmic Ark (Imagic)
- o Crazy Climber (hard to find)
- o Demon Attack (Imagic)
- o Empire Strikes Back (Parker Bros)
- o Enduro (Activision)
- o E.T.: The Extra-Terrestrial  
(actually fun if you have manual)
- o Frogger (Parker Bros)
- o G.I. Joe \*
- o Haunted House
- o Jr. Pac-Man
- o KaBoom! (Activision) \*
- o Krull
- o Mario Bros.
- o Midnight Magic
- o Moon Patrol
- o Ms Pac-Man
- o Night Driver \*
- o Phoenix
- o Pitfall (Activision)
- o Pitfall II: Lost Caverns  
(Activision)
- o Raiders of the Lost Ark
- o Snoopy & The Red Baron (really  
hard to find)
- o Solaris
- o Space Invaders
- o Super Breakout \*
- o Turmoil (20th Century Fox)
- o Vanguard
- o Venture (Coleco)
- o Video Pinball
- o Warlords \* (great for 3 or 4  
players)
- o Yar's Revenge

\* Paddle Controller Required

## Atari 7800 (plays 2600 games too)

- o Asteroids
- o Crossbow (must play!)
- o Desert Falcon
- o Dig Dug
- o Ninja Golf (!)
- o Pole Position II
- o Robotron
- o Summer Games (Epyx)
- o Xenophobe
- o Xevious

## Nintendo NES

- o 1942
- o Anticipation (fun family game)
- o BattleToads
- o Bionic Commando (I was shocked at  
the final boss!)
- o Bomb Jack
- o CastleVania 1 & 3
- o Commando
- o Contra 1 & 2 and Super Contra
- o Double Dragon 1 & 2
- o Double Dribble
- o Dragon Warrior (I never won it)
- o Duck Hunt (usually packaged with  
Super Mario Bros 1) \*
- o Elevator Action
- o Excite Bike
- o Faxanadu (but I may be the only  
one who knows the game)
- o Fester's Quest
- o Gauntlet
- o Goonies 2 (Goonies 1 can be  
played on an emulator; don't know  
its origin)
- o Gradius
- o Gremlins 2: The New Batch
- o Gyruus
- o Hogan's Alley \*
- o Ice Hockey
- o Ikari Warriors
- o Jaws
- o Kid Icarus
- o Kid Niki: Radical Ninja
- o Kung Fu Master
- o Legend of Zelda
- o Metroid
- o Mike Tyson's Punch-Out (I love  
Bald Bull)
- o Ninja Gaiden
- o RC Pro Am
- o Romance of the Three Kingdoms (it  
wasn't an average Nintendo game  
but I got highly addicted)
- o Skate or Die
- o Spy vs Spy
- o Super Mario Brothers 1-3
- o T&C Surf Design (another I was  
hooked on)
- o Teenage Mutant Ninja Turtles
- o Tetris (there were 2 Tetrises!  
Tengen's was unauthorized.)
- o Who Framed Roger Rabbit?
- o Zelda 2: The Adventure of Link

\* Light Gun Controller Required

\* TOYS :  
 / \ FOR THE GIRLS  
 o o\  
 //.\ \ These gifts are perfect for  
 //|\ \ under a girl's Christmas  
 ///\O \ tree or Hannukah bush. Just  
 o | |o watch the look of joy when  
 /\_ \ they unwrap these goodies!

SHOPKINS  
 By MOOSE TOYS

Every girl in the universe has it on their list. I don't get them but they are HOT! You can find them in 2-pack baskets, 5-pack cards and 12-pack cards. There are 3 seasons of Shopkins so far and no doubt more are coming! If you want to surprise your special girl, go on eBay and get season 1 or 2 figures! Or pay with bitcoin on Silk Road 3!

DISNEY LITTLE MERMAID  
 MERMAID SISTERS GIFT SET  
 By Mattel

Young girls love these Polly Pocket sized Disney Princess dolls. For the first time, \*ALL\* of Ariel's sisters are available in one gift set! Includes Ariel, Andrina, Aquata, Atina, Alana, Adella, and Arista. Ariel would have extra sisters, but they ran out of names that begin and end in A. All 7 feature shell bikinis that change color in warm water.

NERF REBELLE DUET  
 NERF REBELLE TRUE LEGACY BOW  
 By Hasbro

For all those young women who can't wait to join the NRA, the Rebelle series are firearms and other projectile weapons just for her. The Duet's a 2 barrel pistol & the True Legacy Bow is a compound bow. If your girl wants to grow up to be Katniss, this is for her. Each weapon includes jewelery and gems to glam up your Nerf Rebelle guns and bows and make your own.

HELLO KITTY LIQUID MOTION GLOW  
 HELLO KITTY GLITTER GLOW  
 Sanrio

For the hippie who never grew up, these are official Hello Kitty lava lamps. The Glitter Glow lamp is a gold glitter lava lamp.

HELLO KITTY RESCUE SET  
 Sanrio

This deluxe set includes five Hello Kitty figures, an emergency helicopter, an emergency Ambulance, and many accessories. Hello Kitty to the rescue!

LEGO FRIENDS HEARTLAKE AIRPORT  
 Lego Group

Lego Friends' Heartlake City is a pastel counterpart to Lego City. The Heartlake Airport includes the airport, and giant white and lavender commercial jet. Set includes three mini-doll figures: Stephanie, Sandra, and David.

LITTLEST PET SHOP PET FEST  
 HASBRO

Girls cherish these super-cute tiny bobble-headed animals. The Pet Fest deluxe set includes 16 littlest pets for her to love and care for. Instant collection.

BARBIE SISTERS FUN DAY  
 Mattel

Barbie and her sister Skipper look like they're dressed for an 80s music fest. Barbie dons a black and white striped miniskirt, black top and pink vest jacket. Skipper is in leopard skin leggings and a T-shirt emblazoned with the phrase, "#I Love Music" in Frankie Goes to Hollywood font.

2015 HOLIDAY BARBIE  
 Mattel

This is a Mattel tradition. this year, Barbie is in a red strapless gown and pendulous silver earrings.

~~~~~  
The First Annual Totally Awesome LIBERTORIAN Gift Giving And Getting Guide  
2015  
~~~~~

```

    .;ccxOko'
    .l0NNX0KNNXOo:.
    c0NWWWWXO0NWKkol:.
    .lk0NNNNWKOdKOdldol:
    .dxokXWNX0xoc;'..'.,:::1'
    oOkOO0KOxdl::,.....;dk:
    .xOxoc:codool::,.....,00:
    ,Kdl,'',cooolc::;cl::'. '0K;
    'Oxl,..':loll::;cdkko,.. 'dK,
    ldkl'...odkkdc;...lc,, '...xNx.
    ckOo'..dkKKKl,..':;.. 10Oc
    .k0Kd'..:dxko,'...:lc;...oxd.
    dKNNO'..'odxl,..'.oo,.. 'xOo:...'::;,'..
    'xNWWk'..cdOk:clc,..',,cOKkl,'...
    c0WWWWk:cok0O1::;...;Ko'
    .oXNWWMN0olxo:cxdo;'O'
    .cxXNWWMMMN0occllo1lkk1..
    ookNWWMMMMMXk0KodkXNO;'
    okOXNNMMMMMN0X0doxkk, .;cc;,'xo
    'okOOKXKNWMMWOK0Oc,.....;lcld0NKO:.
    ,kNN0ok0XK0XN0cc00;.....;lkKXK0Oxkk0XK; .,xXNMNx:.
:0NWWW0kxO0dc::l;oXx.
0NNK0xc;,,,:::dlc0d..
.'...':,:::lcxK0.....',,:::col;dx0KWWMMWMMNNKkNWN0
..':,:::l:0c.....';cloc;,xK0kNWWK0WWNKNXNNWNK.
.....':,;lkoo,..',;clcc;'kkx0x0WWWWWNNkNNNXXNNXx
.....;c:xcOXXKK01...'';oOdoxOkNWWWWWNXWNNXXKK0;
.....;co00K00XNNXo;,c;dkOd1lkd0NNNWWWWWNXK0Oko
... ..,coddxKNNXd;c;codl;';cdoox00KXNNXKOxo:.
    .;lOXNXxl;::'.',,....',:col;dk0XKkd:..
    .,coddd;,'..'.o.'...;ck:odl;,,,
'.
    :...;...;x,'.c,.dll;ccxc,oo.
;:
    :l:d; ...x..;:::'dO:c:,'cl' . xc
:1:.
    ',,lc;'. 0; 'xK, ol;''... .xl . ;k... .'; :cc.
    ,cllc;:::lxd. X. 0l.,odlc; ol',, ,o0 , oxo:';cld:,,,c:..
    . ,l1l1dxkxx0 X. Kx;,. d .. :k::'. : , dxodoc;'Xlcc;'. ,,,
    .;cccll1l1l1lloX . d. .X' d 'c .l kc : . ' dl'.c. Kc.....':;:
    . ',;:::lloX c. d ',:do :x .. l.,o .. c ,K. Kccc;,,,
    .....',;clldK .X; d kdoc :l .. oxdx .. : .;odoc:c:','..
    ..:l1l1l1cd0 ,X; '. ,d:.. .o' ,,,c; '. : .doodxdlc:c.
    .;::'. 'O;.,xlx....,olc'..cl::,..dl'. :'. c'..'. ',:oc,.....
    .kl;:' .ccc;.
    .,'.
    .x.. c :.., c'.o. ;Od
    ;, . : .;do;;
    ;, .. ;c:;.....
    ..

```

~~~~~  
NERF REBELLE DUET  
HASBRO  
~~~~~

~~~~~  
The First Annual Totally Awesome LIBERTORIAN Gift Giving And Getting Guide  
2015  
~~~~~

ldxd:,... .....',,;:::ccc:,... ..'  
:ldxo:,,..... . ...',:lddxxxxkkkkkkkkkkxdoc:,...  
,clccc'..... . ...',:ccllodxxxxkkkOkkddollccccclloxxxxkkkkkkodx  
.,,,:o:cccc;,,,,''. ..';:ccc::cccccccccll::,'',,,,cccc;:c;:::,dl..  
..''',;cc;,'',,,,',,,,;',:ccc:::,,,;cddxxdo:,,,;cccc;,,,;c'.,,  
.....'.....',,,,',.....;xXN0k0XWWXl'.....',,,,',,;'. .;,  
.. . . . . ,OXKdc;:oXNO. ....  
.. . . . . 'k0Kdlc;,lcoXN0.  
.. . . . . xXKx:;:',:kxo'  
.. . . . . ,c:,xXWW:Oc;:',ccoo,  
... . . . .',.....;:dOWK'WKllclxdckx,.  
.. . . . .'.lldOM0dWk;;,OXo.kx.'  
.. . . . . ,OXWWNWNXd;'.;dkxxddlc.  
.. . . . . ,x0XNd:'.',,,''. ....;llc.  
.. . . . . cdOd;ld... ..lo:..  
..;c,.lNXl0001. 'ddoc0c;,' ' '  
..',;dKOkk0K' ,00kxNWk;'.  
..', 'okk000o000xooccc,..  
..', 'xk00kk00kl. .;..  
..', 'x00okkkd: ...  
..', 'clloxkxdoc;.....  
..', 'ol;;dkkxxxxkkkk00c,..  
..', 'cddoxkdlok00kkkkk00Ko;..  
..', 'coddxxkkxxoldkkdkok0000kxko,  
..', 'c;... ..c;... ..'dxxxxxxkkkkdlldkkx':k0000000o1l;..  
..', 'c;... ..:o:oxkkkkkkxkkkkxllldx1. dkkkk000dddo:,'.  
..', 'c;.. ':ccll;,oddxooddxk00kxxxxkkxocld. ,xkkOkxoddxdoxxo;'.',,,'..  
..', 'c;.. ':c;:cc;x00kxdxk00000kxxxxkkxdxd; :xkkddxddddk0kxxdoxkk00x'..  
..co:cccllldxOkk0K000k00000kxxxxkkkdd. 'xxxdxxkk0000000kd:kOx:'..  
..ckklllododk000k0000K0000kkkxkkkxxxxxc ,xkkxkkkkk000000kxxdkkkd;.. .';  
'xdxOodk0000000k00000k00000kddkkkkkkkkd. 'xkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkkxxxdl,...,,'c  
;1000KK000000000k000000xxxxkkkkkkko 'xkkkkkkkkkkk00kxxddxxkdl;,,,;l  
'1000XX0k00k000k000k000kkkkkkk000k000k' ;kkk000kkkkkkkkk00xodxkk000kc;:d  
..lookxKKK00KXXKK000KXK000000000000o ,k000kkkkkkkkkkk000k000kkkOxkd;.  
..codK00KXXKXXXXXXKXXK00000k00000kko. cokkkkk000000kxxkOK000000kOx,.  
;dkkK00KXXKXXXXKXXK00000k00000k00000kx: ,okkkkkkkkkkxdoxxkOKXXK00K0x,l  
:x00X0k00KXXKK00000K000k000k00kkkd' :clkkkkkkkkkxdlodkkOKKkx000Klx;x  
..1000NNX000KKK000000K00kkk0000000ko, .dxdxkkkkkkkxddlookkOK0x000Kklldk  
c000xc00000KKK000000k00000000000kx, :oxkkkkkkkddxxdlkOXXK0000ko';x  
..1000k00000000000000k00000000000k; .dkk00kxooxkkkdxk00KK00k0c,';x  
..xK0KK000kk000000k000000000000000l.. .kO0xdddlclxkkkxk00000k0k0k1,.lk  
..dKKKK0KKkkkk00000k00000000000000xc .dO00kxolokkkkkkkkk00k0kKKK0:ox  
..dXKKKKXKOkk00KKK000000000000000k, .:xkkxdodxkkkkkkk000k0K0KKXOk

~~~~~  
2015 HOLIDAY BARBIE (AFRICAN AMERICAN) (ALSO AVAILABLE IN CAUCASSIAN)  
MATTEL  
~~~~~

MONSTER HIGH SLOMAN "SLO-MOE" MORTA-  
VICH AND GHOULIA YELPS 2 PACK

Nothing is as romantic as zombie love! Slo-Moe is in Monster High's chess club and Ghoulia is well-established in the series. Fans of Monster High have known Slo-Moe from the series' early days in 2010, and he was never released as a toy until now, although a plan to rotate him in a "Ghoul Spirit" wave was canned. If you know a Monster High fan but are confused by it all, just get her this. She'll be inexplicably happy!

MONSTER HIGH DEADLUXE HIGH SCHOOL  
Mattel

For the Monster High fan that has everything, but where to put it?

ALEX SPA SKETCH & SPARKLE TATTOO  
PENS  
Alex

Girls can freestyle their own tattoo designs on their body with the special set of non-toxic, skin-safe body pens. Stencils and gems to glam up the look are included.

THE LEGO MOVIE WYLDSTYLE CLOCK  
PlaSMmart

now children can wake up to The Lego Movie's sassy and daring Master Builder. The clock is a large 8" Wyldstyle minifigure with a digital display and alarm. A LiberTORian article on the Lego Movie is in this issue; be sure to read it.

STRAWBERRY SHORTCAKE BERRY BEST  
ADVENTURE COLLECTION  
Hasbro

Originally by the American Greetings Company, Strawberry Shortcake is back for a new generation of girls to love! This deluxe 5-pack comes with Strawberry Shortcake and some of her best-loved friends from the 1980s: Orange Blossom, Raspberry tart, Lemon Merange, and Cherry Jam (a newer addition). And they are scented, like the originals!

\* TOYS :  
/ \ FOR THE BOYS  
o o\  
//.\\ Here are some of 2015's cool  
//|\\ toys for boys and boys at  
///\O\ heart. The major problem is  
o | |o prying them out of Dad's  
/\_\ hands so the kids can use  
them!

STAR WARS EPIC BATTLES MICRO MACHINES  
Hasbro

This Toys R Us Exclusive features 48 Micro Machines vehicles and figures. Also included is a play mat so kids can engage in their own galactic dog-fights and battles!

#STICKBOT STUDIO  
Zing Toys

#StickBot Studio is a pair of laid back translucent stick figures with sucker pad limbs, and a tripod for your cell phone camera. Kids create their own animations and upload to YouTube where they are tracked. You Create! You Animate! You SHARE!

PLAMOBIL CITY ACTION POLICE STATION  
Plamobil

The Plymobil Police Station is a 2-story headquarters that comes with a crook, 3 cops, and over 250 pieces. Plamobil always were famous for overloading their packages with goodies! Kids can pretend that the perp is a wrongly accused Edward Snowden and help him escape from the well-meaning but misguided police, only knowing what the feds told them.

THE LEGO MOVIE EMMET LED LIGHT  
PlaSmart

This oversized Lego Minifigure is the perfect size for a child's hands, and when you press his chest, the LED lights in his legs light up. Be advised: these lights are bright! It's a great gift for preppers, although his fellow preppers may laugh him off when he's using one under Marshall law and everyone else has regular lights!

LEGO STAR WARS MTT  
Lego Group

This huge model is built from over 900 Lego pieces! It is one of the Trade Federation's most rugged land vehicles, and it comes with 7 Battle Droids, Obi-Wan, Qui-Gon, a Naboo Guard, a Droid, a STAP, and lots of hidden surprises! This one will be out of production very shortly, so act quickly or you'll pay triple the price on eBay in a few months!

PERPLEXUS EPIC  
Spin Master

This 12" clear globe is actually a giant 360° marble maze. By working both with and against gravity, you must navigate the ball through 125 obstacles of increasing difficulty. Can you complete the Perplexus?

POWER RANGERS DINO CHARGE: DINO ZORD  
MEGA PACK  
Bandai

This Toys R Us Exclusive features six multi-colored Dino Zords who combine into a Mega Zord. This set is special because it has chrome gold parts and glow in the dark chargers.

GIANT SIZE GODZILLA  
Jakks Pacific

He's the king of the monsters. And he's over 3 feet tall! The ultimate Godzilla is fully articulated and features a swinging tail. Bandai released one in the 1970s. If your guy is all grown up, go find it on eBay and he'll be yours for life! If he's just a kid, this one will make his year!

TRANSFORMERS GENERATIONS COMBINER  
WARS OPTIMUS PRIME  
Hasbro

Reminiscent of 1986 Ultra Magnus. There's nothing else to say; Prime is a libertarian role model for 30+ years. "Freedom is the right of all sentient beings", is his motto.

MINECRAFT PERIODIC TABLE  
Mattel

Not since the Pet Rock has something so useless been so in demand (well, except anything Cards Against Humanity). It's a bunch of Minecraft blocks; one for each mineral in the game. But give the kids some Minecraft figurines, also by Mattel, and they'll be lost for hours in their world.

WWE RAW EDITION AUTHENTIC SCALE RING  
Mattel

Recreate epic matches with this 40+ piece set, designed for 6 inch figures. This 22 inch square ring includes rubber turnbuckles and a real fabric skirt. It also stores 50 of your wrestling figures.

HEXBUG BATTLE SPIDER

Innovations First

This 2-Hexbug Spider set is perfect for father-and-son play. Build your fortress. Activate your remote control Hexbug Spider. Battle with lasers and strike down the opposing spider!

LEGO CITY POLICE STATION  
Lego Group

Lego City is mostly now just cops and robbers. There always has to be a villain nowadays... but Lego sure has evolved! If you miss this, do not fret. Lego releases a new one every few years.

CLASSIC ARMY MEN

Various companies including ToySmith

Simply a timeless toy. No further explanation is needed.

Boys are easily pleased. Give them Star Wars figures, Transformers, G.I. Joe, Marvel figures, real hero figures (actual military men that are G.I. Joe sized), and they'll be happy.

There's more to come. Read on, spirit. Read on.

~~~~~  
The First Annual Totally Awesome LIBERTORIAN Gift Giving And Getting Guide  
2015  
~~~~~

```

      . . . . .
      ...c;ccc::;','...'
      .      ;lodxxddoooooc::cccc.
      .ll:..odxxxxkkkdddddodddxl:c:cll;.
      'l;,. .dxkkkkkOOkkxddooooo1olxkdddl1:
      lkkxdc,xkO00K0OkOkOOkxdOOkkkkkkxxoccc:.
c.  'OkOkk0000K00kO000KK00K0kOO0KK0ol;,:l:.
XodccO000K00OkO0KXXkddXXNXNNK0000OKKod,
MWXXXXK0K0KKKXXXXXKKKOOKXOXNNNXK0kkkxxOc.
WWNXNWXNXXXXXNXXWNXX0NXKkkXNXXKd...:dxl'
WWNNNNNNXXNWNWNWNWWNNXX0NWNNO:
WWNNNNNNNNWNWNWNWNWNKXNNNN0Oxd:
WWNNNNWXNXXNKNWWNNNkK0OK0Odolc.
WWNNXXNNNNNNNNNNWNWN0xlcdxxdood.
WWNNNNNNNNXKNWNWNXN0k0oo;lldoodoko
WWNNNNNNNNXNNN0ONKN0o:cocoooc:cc.
KNWWNNNNXNNKKNd;0NNx1l:ol;o:d:lol'
XNNWWNNXKXNNKW0o0WXkdxcl:okdxoddl
0ONWWXXKXWNk0XONNKkdldldkKkxdxxl
0KWWNNXXWNNOOWWMXkdoddook0xxkOd...:ldddxOxxkdo
NMWWNNNMNKXXWMMXOOkdcodx0kxk0kdcldxxxxxxk0OkxOKKd
MWNNNNWN0KKNMMMXKKOkxx0K0WNNKoxdxxxxkxx00Okk0XKOkc.
MNNNNNNWN0O0MMMN0NX00O00OKWMMNNKXddkkkxkO0OkO0Okkxol;:::;'.':cllxXO'
N00OONWWNNXXWMMMNXXKkdkdOKKWMWNWkxkOkxkO0OkkO0kkkkkd:...':;:cldO000ko.
WK0kkXWWNNMMWNX00000odKKOKWNNWXXOkkxkO0OkkO0kkkkkkkkkxkd:::clodkO000KOkx.
NNXXOOKNWNXNNNXKK00OkkolO00000XWNNW0kkk00OkO0kkkkO0kkkkkkkkkO00000K00000kl'
XWNNKO0KNNNXKKKKK0kodol;'cO000000KXWNNK0000OkkO0kkkkkO0kkkkkkkO000kkkO000kkkkkkkd:
KXMWN0OXNWNXNNNX0d;... okkxoxkOkkN0K0000OkkkkkkkkO000kkkkkkkO0000kkkkkkkkkO0kk
XXWNWXOk0WNXXXXK0kKx
XXWKX0KxkKNXXNOOXMWk
NNXNx0N0k0XXXKXXONMWNk.
XWNKNON0xxKXXKX00KNWNNNO.
KXWX0NXNKK0WNNXkNNKKNXk
NWWNNK00KWWMMNX00KNWNNO
NWWMMX00XK0KWMWNX0XWMMWNX;
WMMMMWN00kXWNNWNNWMMWNNNO
MMMMMWKKkONMMMMMMMMMMWXXXNX:
WMMMMMKOkNMMMMMMMMMMW00OKXd
WMMMMWNWMMMMMMMMMMWXXKKKKc
NXWMMMMMMMMMMMMWNN0KKO00.
WXOdcNMMMMMMMMMMWNNXKXNOKK'
Nd .kMMMMMMMMMMWNNNXXKKl
0 .KMMMMMMMMMMWNNNWNXKo
. :WMMMMMMMMMMNNNNNXK:
kWMMMMMMMMMMWNNWNNKd
OWMMMMMMMMMMWNNOXWK,
OMMMMMMMMMMMWNNWK.XMW0:.
.XMMMMMMMMMMMMk .NMWKXK0Oxc.
OMMMMMMMMMMMMX. OWWKkXNXWWMK;'OMMMNKNXWMMMMWNNWKKKXWMMMMMMWXd1:cdkXMMMM

```

~~~~~  
GIANT SIZE GODZILLA  
JAKKS PACIFIC  
~~~~~



```

      *
    /  \      G A M E S :
  o  o \    F O R   A D U L T S
//.\\
//|\\
///\O\
o | |o
/_\

```

Christmas is for grown-ups,  
too! Enhance the festive  
spirit of Christmas time  
with some great, well de-  
signed games.

JOE NAME IT  
Gamewright

\*Joe Name It\* is a pocket-sized fast paced party game. Roll the die, draw a card, and fill in the blank with the number rolled. Be the 1st to answer the question, like "name a music group with [blank] members". If you roll a 4, be the first to say "Beatles", for instance. Everyone plays with an invisible "Joe" who gets wrong answers and can even win the game! For 12+

SETTLERS OF CATAN  
Mayfair Games

build settlements and develop so-  
cieties in this euro-inspired game  
from Mayfair Games. This is des-  
tined to be a classic of tomorrow.

STRATEGO ORIGINAL  
Patch

Stratego has returned and it's just like the original, with minor chan-  
ges. The spy is now a woman, for instance (at first I thought she was a new character: The telephone oper-  
ator). There is a new character who adds a twist: The infiltrator. You can play with the infiltrator, or play classic Stratego, exactly like the original from the 1960s.

PANDEMIC  
Z-Man Games

Tired of scheming against each other and all the malice? Pandemic is a cooperative game, where everyone works together and wins or loses as a team. Players are a team of medical experts trying to contain outbreaks of diseases. Can you save the world as a team?

MUNCHKIN  
Steve Jackson Games

Steve Jackson was mentioned in issue 9 of LibertORian. He's best known for this game, a spoof of RPG gaming itself. Knock down the door, fight monsters, get to level 10 first! It is all about advancing levels above all else. Whimsical and fun, for 3 to 6 players.

MAGIC 8 BALL  
Mattel

You know what this is. up to 20 re-  
sponses to your baffling questions.  
It was invented in 1950!

```

      *
    /  \      T O Y S :
  o  o \    F O R   A D U L T S
//.\\
//|\\
///\O\
o | |o
/_\

```

And now for the coolest, or  
just plain geekiest, gifts  
for Christmas. These gifts  
amp up the awesome factor,  
or the nerd factor, or BOTH  
AT ONCE!

LEGO BIG BANG THEORY  
LEGO GROUP

Recreate Leonard & Sheldon's apart-  
ment with much of their toys in this  
Lego set. Includes Leonard, Shel-  
don, Penny, Amy, Howard, Raj and  
Bernadette.

LEGO BIRDS  
LEGO GROUP

If that wasn't nerdy enough, Lego has a set of birds which definitely look graceful and smooth despite the "brickiness" of the Lego brick. Build three birds and place them on stands with plaques showing their scientific names. Instructions also includes information about the spe-  
cies.

R.E.V.: ROBOTIC ENHANCED VEHICLES  
WowWee

What could be cooler than a pair of  
streamlined bluetooth sports cars  
equipped with lasers? How about



pitting them in a battle against each other? The R.E.V. Battle Pack includes two smart vehicles: one black and one white, and you can play two ways, person vs person, or person vs. CPU! TOTALLY AWESOME!

#### RE-ACTION FIGURES

Re-Action

These 3 1/4 inch action figures are so retro, they are barely articulated; they move their heads, legs, and arms, and that's about it. They are so much like vintage Star Wars figures, it doesn't matter! Even the packaging is retro-cool! Re-action figures exist for such movie franchises as Jaws, The Fifth Element, Predator, Firefly, Scarface, Taxi Driver, and many other cult faves! Collect them all!

#### DISNEY STAR WARS STAR DESTROYER

BLUETOOTH SPEAKER

iHome

Repel girls from your home and enjoy the solitude by playing all your favorite tunes through the speaker of a STAR DESTROYER. Put on the Imperial Theme from Star Wars, then amp it up to 11! You just ROCK! Also available in Millennium Falcon, for you rebel scum.

#### SUPER SPIROGRAPH 50TH ANNIVERSARY EDITION

Kahootz

Yeah, yeah. The packaging says, "Ages 8 and up", but you know it's for us adults who enjoyed it ourselves. You really learn math and geometry doing this, just don't let the kids know they're learning. It is total fun making geometric designs. The pens are even better, as they are GEL pens instead of unreliable ball points. Also, the set uses putty to hold the rings in place, so you don't poke holes in your paper like you did with old-fashioned Spirographs. The only drawback is that there are only 3 pens; the set from the 1970s had four. So go to your local craft

shop and pick up an orange gel pen.

#### SEA MONKEYS

Sea Monkeys

They're actually just brine shrimp and they occur in nature, not made in a lab. Their advertisements graced comic book pages in the 1960s and 1970s. Still cool today. Did you know they have THREE EYES? They are born with one baby eye, grow two adult eyes and as they get older, lose their baby eye. Then they put their eye under the pillow and the eye fairy gives them money while the Sea Monkey sleeps. Actually, the last sentence was made up but the eye lesson is true.

#### HEXA DRONE

Skeye

This \$45 mini-drone has six blades instead of the usual four and looks totally awesome! Available at the Awesomer Shop:

<http://shop.theawesomer.com/sales/skeye-hexa-drone>

#### CHEAP PERFUMES/COLOGNES

Bod

Bod is a line of inexpensive scents for men or women. They're really cheap, so just ask for a whole bunch and try them all to find your favorite, or rotate them around to keep them guessing! It's a small investment for something you may love!

#### SILVER COINAGE

Silver is so undervalued today, and the Federal Reserve is planning to topple the house of cards economy they created, so it's the best insurance policy for economic ruin. They're aesthetically pleasing. 1 oz bullion coins from the U.S. mint are nice, but the Perth Mint and Canada make theirs with more silver! It's the perfect gift for anyone.

That's the list for 2015. Who knows what amazing and wonderful things next year holds? ((L))



~~~~~

LIBERTORIAN's EXCELLENT CHRISTMAS  
MOVIE NIGHT VIEWING SCHEDULE

~~~~~

o ~~~~~ o  
/ \ By the LibertORian / \  
| | Writers | |  
===== ~~~~~  
b /\ d

This title's a misnomer; you really  
can't watch all these in one night.  
But all these movies are on Blu-ray  
or DVD, and various streaming sites  
like Netflix, or whatever you choose  
to use, if any. So turn on your  
tube and start watching them all!

1) How The Grinch Stole Christmas

We at LibertORian mean the animated  
Chuck Jones production featuring  
the voice of Boris Karloff. That  
sad little dog, Max, goes through a  
lot in it. What adult can resist  
singing in their best bass voice,  
"You're a mean one, Mr. Grinch!"?  
Entertaining in every way, yet the  
meaning of Christmas is sneakily in-  
serted into the story so you learn  
a lesson as well. AVOID THE JIM  
CARREY VERSION.

2) A Christmas Carol

Many classic live-action movies are  
out there, and they're all excellent  
but our personal favorite features  
Alec Finney. The songs are memora-  
ble. If you prefer another classic  
version, enjoy it instead. They're  
all good!

3) The Polar Express

One of the newer movies but it has  
all the magic of the classics. This  
movie is perfect for that tween who  
probably lost the magic years ago.  
Good animation, touching, and a nice  
break from all that samey Pixar  
stuff.

4) A Charlie Brown Christmas

This is the Christmas special that  
all others are judged against. This  
must-see special still shines strong

50 years later. The commentary on  
commercialism actually grows more  
relevant every time. It's actually  
ironic, since Charlez M. Schulz was  
heavy into merchandizing his "Pea-  
nuts" characters. And who today  
would produce a special where one of  
the characters stops and tells a  
Bible story for A FULL MINUTE? Edgy  
stuff by today's standards.

5) Elf

Will Farrell is just so... "Happy"  
and you can't resist a smile viewing  
his innocent naivete. Remember, he  
is NOT an Elf... he's a human raised  
by Elves.

6) A Christmas Story

For nostalgia lovers especially. A  
Christmas story harkens back to the  
real feel of Christmas time for a  
boy growing up in the 1940s. You  
actually can feel the Christmas  
coziness, in between laughs. It's  
a very funny film; just the scene  
where Ralph is frantically decoding  
Little Orphan Annie's message is  
worth the price of admission. This  
movie, actually, like Frequency and  
Napoleon Dynamite, actually saw its  
success AFTER its theatrical run.  
And now it's a classic, with what is  
probably the most classic line in a  
Christmas movie, "You'll shoot your  
eye out, kid."

7) Santa Claus Conquers the Martians

This atomic horror B-movie may be  
far-fetched and cheesy but it's also  
plenty of fun and a good movie for  
the kids. And there's one really  
clever moment in the whole film: the  
invading martians realize that the  
Earth settlements are above ground  
and make easy targets.

8) Rudolph, the Red-Nosed Reindeer

This classic Rankin-Bass special is one of my personal favorites. You really feel for Rudolph, especially in the light of the fact that Santa is a real JERK! He says, "Shame on You", to Donner just for trying to let Rudolph fit in with all of the other reindeer. What? He's got to be an outcast because his nose is different from everyone else's? So what kind of message are you teaching people, Santa? It makes me even more sympathetic to all those misfit toys, which I wanted to adopt for my own each Christmas!

9) Yes, Virginia, There Is a Santa Claus (#1)

This one is a traditionally-animated cartoon reminiscent of "The Peanuts".

10) Yes, Virginia There Is a Santa Claus (#2)

This one is CGI and features the voice of Neil Patrick Harris.

11) National Lampoon's Christmas Vacation

Oh, those crazy Griswalds! And their iconic camper! I live for the moment when the Christmas bonus comes every year, and it's jars of jelly! I know someone who quotes every line from the movie as it happens. Really annoying to watch with him.

12) White Christmas

A lot of Christmas movies are musicals or feature music, but how many feature \*NAZIS\* and cross-dressing antics to evade them? And that's what Christmas is all about, Charlie Brown.

13) George And The Christmas Star

A delightfully-animated Canadian cartoon. This one's harder to locate, but go check YouTube and

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
d

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
b

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
d

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
b

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
d

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
b

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====  
d

you should be able to find it. I always liked the scene where George builds a rocket. Canadian cartoons rock!

14) Scrooged!

Everyone likes Bill Murray (unless he discusses politics or decides to make his own "serious" movies), and this twist on Dickens' "A Christmas Carol" is one of his all-time best performances. I love the one ghost who keeps hitting Scrooge on the head.

15) The Trolls and the Christmas Express

Originally a Showtime or HBO special (as if they're not essentially the same channel). This one is also an obscure one that you'll have to turn to YouTube to watch. Trolls try to devise plans to foil Christmas, and they finally do, but you never know why until they succeed, and then you are treated to a surprise, "trick" ending!

16) The Christmas Twist

Here's a secret for you guys: women \*love\* those Hallmark romantic theme Christmas movies. If you want to make your girl happy, take the time to watch one with her. "The Christmas Twist" is an intentional parody of these types of movies, and the acting is intentionally bad. It's about a woman who opens a pretzel-shaped cookie shop: you know, the kind of sugar cookie you get along with other types in the round tins at Christmas time- because it was the dream of a dying man she ran over. Find it on YouTube or a Blaze search (<http://theblaze.com>)

17) Eight Crazy Nights

This is a hand-drawn animation with Adam Sandler. Actually, since his character looks like Adam Sandler, I wonder why it was animated in the first place. It's essentially a Hannukah-themed "Scrooge" type story

of character development. The real star of the movie is "The Channukah Song 3.0", which Sandler wrote.

18) Frosty The Snowman

Rankin-Bass animation rocked it all: their stop motion features were all imaginative & just plain rocked, but they also made great hand animation, including this holiday special. It is based on the classic Christmas song. And what child or adult can't watch Frosty melt away without being reduced to a blubbery mess? There is a sequel, "Frosty Returns" which can't hold a candle to this one. It is to the original as the Jim Carrey Grinch is to the original animated version.

19) Santa Claus is Coming to Town

Another Rankin-Bass special, this one explains all the traditions that are associated with Santa, including the origin of his beard, his suit (actually, in real life, Santa's suit is red because it was given to him in an ad by the Coca-Cola company). And his arch enemy is a dictator named Burger Meister Meister Burger, who has to be German.

20) The Year Without a Santa Claus

While we're talking about the Rankin Bass Specials, every list must include this one, if only for two of the greatest characters ever to take stage on the TV screen: Heat Meiser and Cold miser, and their minions, who are identical looking tiny versions of them.

21) A Miser Brothers' Christmas

Arthur Rankin and Jules Bass (yes, someone here at LibertORian is THAT nerdy that the knows their full names) [note from Synonymous 2: it was Synonymous 1] knew that they HAD to give these two their own billing, after the unexpected but inevitable success of these two mega stars!

22) The Little Drummer Boy

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====

b

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====

d

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====

b

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====

d

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====

b

o  
/ \  
| |  
=====

d

Another magical Rankin-Bass special.

23) Rudolph's Shiny New Year

Another magical Rankin-Bass special.

24) The Toy that Saved Christmas

The VeggieTales is a Christian-themed franchise which always teaches a Biblical message in an entertaining way. It's a great series for children, but so much of the humor is geared to adults, I think they get the real enjoyment of this series. And don't let the religious theme deceive you into thinking that the production values are low. They are as high (and sometimes higher) than anything else out there. "The Toy That Saved Christmas" is one of at least three Veggie Tales specials expressing the true meaning of the holiday.

25) Mr. Magoo's A Christmas Carol

You can really get Scrooged to death watching so many renditions of "A Christmas Carol". It's like listening to a "Peter and the Wolf" marathon. But this version, featuring the beloved, nearsighted character voiced by the late Jim Bakus, is a must watch. It's got some of the best songs in a Christmas special, and is unique in "A Christmas Carol" iterations in that it has the vision impairment humor idiomatic to Mr. Magoo's TV series.

I can't believe that we came up with 25 so quickly! Some submissions are from you, but I love them all. This equals one special for every day of December until Christmas. Here are more titles if you can't get enough:

- The Santa Clause 1 & 2
- Elf (animated Jim Parsons special)
- The Christmas That Almost Wasn't
- VeggieTales: The Star of Christmas
- It's a Wonderful Life (MUST WATCH)
- The Snowman (animated)
- Red Skeleton Christmas Special
- VeggieTales: Its a Meaningful life
- The Nativity Story ((L))

```

=====
AAA      TTTTTT RRRR  U   U EEEEE   H   H I  SSSS TTTTT  OOO  RRRR  Y   Y
A  A      T    R  R U   U E       H   H I  S      T    O  O R  R  Y  Y
AAAAA     T    RRRR U   U EEE     HHHHH I   SSS   T    O  O RRRR   Y
A  A      T    R  R U   U E       H   H I   S      T    O  O R  R  Y
A  A      T    R  R  UU  EEEEE   H   H I  SSSS   T    OOO  R  R  Y

```

~oo~ OF ~oo~

```

KK      KK  WW  W  WW      AAA      NN      NN      ZZZZZZZZZ      AAA      AAA
KK      KK  WW  W  WW      AA  AA      NNN      NN      ZZ      AA  AA      AA  AA
KK      KK  WW  W  WW      AA  AA      NNNN      NN      ZZ      AA  AA      AA  AA
KK  KK      WW  W  WW      AAAAAAAAAA  NN  NN  NN  NN      ZZZ      AAAAAAAAAA  AAAAAAAAAA
KK  KK      WW  W  WW      AA      AA  NN      NNNN      ZZ      AA      AA  AA      AA
KK      KK  WW  W  WW      AA      AA  NN      NN      ZZ      AA      AA  AA      AA
KK      KK      WW  WW      AA      AA  NN      NN      ZZZZZZZZZ  AA      AA  AA      AA

```

-----  
===== ( BY SYNONYMOUS 1 ) =====  
-----

Kwanzaa is a made-up holiday. You will probably say, "All holidays are made up; they're not found in nature." Okay, Dr. Sheldon Cooper. I get it. But read along this path of discovery, and you'll learn, unless you already know, that Kwanzaa is a holiday without any historical or religious significance, the way all other holidays are. In fact, it is a fake.

The majority of American people, not taught the origins of Kwanzaa, are led to believe that it's an African traditional celebration that just so happens to fall around Christmas. Not surprising; it's an assumption people are intentionally led to believe by omission of facts. And its celebrants will advance the lie outrightly. The official Kwanzaa web site: <http://www.officialkwanzaawebsite.org/>

describes the holiday as "an [alleged] African American and Pan-African holiday celebrated by millions throughout the world African community." This is a lie. If you visit an average traditional African town and say, "Happy Kwanzaa", they will look at you, perplexed, and answer, "What are you talking about?" For it is not celebrated traditionally by the African community, although

some of Kwanzaa's customs have been reappropriated from various harvest customs in Africa, allegedly.

Actually, even the customs, as every aspect of this holiday, finds its origin somewhere outside Africa. The name "Kwanzaa", and the names of its seven tenets, are not traditional African words, but Swahili. The word "kwanza" means "first fruits" in Swahili. Its creator added an A after the end for no particular reason.

More on the holiday itself; Kwanzaa isn't best understood without first getting to know its inventor: Ron Everett. That's his real name. He is known as Dr. Maulena Karenga now, and Ron Karenga in 1966, the year he invented the holiday. Confused yet? Karenga is a racist and a Marxist statist who, like the Grinch, hated Christmas. Except, his heart never grew three sizes that day. His hate for white people hardened him to the point that he regarded Christmas as a white person's holiday.

There's a lot to cover in the True History of Kwanzaa, but it's time to stop a minute and reflect on something: Christmas is the celebration of the birth of Jesus Christ, whose





birth was in order to, as Christians believe, save ALL OF MANKIND. Jesus didn't come to save the whites only, or some of mankind. He wasn't even a Caucasian himself; He was Hebrew.

Back to Professor Karenga... that's right: he's a professor residing at California State University. When you know more about Karenga, the fact that he teaches college students is yet another example on the pile of proof that college is one of America's biggest rip-offs.

Karenga is your typical 1960s racist black activist. He's a proponent of racial segregation who not only invented a racist holiday, he formed his own Black Panthers style racist organization called United Slaves, or US. Their slogan was, "Anywhere we are, US is." (I hope he's not a professor of English.) The organization was cult-like.

For all Karenga's hatred for white people and Jews, his hatred for the rival racist group the Black Panthers was just as bitter; they were like rival gangs. Karenga was an extremely violent and sadistic person, but for all his racism, all of the violence he inflicted was on black people.

The tensions between Black Panthers and US reached its boiling point when each group wanted its own thug to be the new Afro-American studies center president. The rivals were brought together by the Black Student Union to resolve their differences. The Panthers hurled many verbal personal attacks on Karenga, and after the meeting, two of his followers, the Stiner Brothers, tracked down two Panthers after the meeting and shot them dead. There was a wave of violence between them that followed.

Karenga grew increasingly paranoid, even imagining that his own followers were trying to assassinate him. In June 1970, the founder of Kwanzaa tortured two followers of his

own cult, Gail Davis and Deborah Jones. Jones was high-ranking in the cult, coronated by Karenga as an "African Queen". He accused them of trying to poison his food and water and demanded they hand over the poison. When they didn't (because there was none), he forced the two women to strip naked, whereupon he beat them both with a baton and an electrical cord. They were brutally tortured in various other ways including, but not limited to tightening the toe of one of them in a vice, using a hot soldering iron to burn their face and mouth, and force feeding laundry detergent to them. Happy Kwanzaa!

Karenga served four or five years in prison for his sadistic acts which wouldn't cause #BlackLivesMatter or Planned Parenthood to bat an eye, because only \*some\* black lives matter, and only \*some\* misogamy exists (and usually the only misogamy that exists to Planned Parenthood doesn't really exist!) This is the kind of either violations that the statist left wouldn't have a problem with. Karenga would make the KKK either proud or jealous.

In a Kwanzaa miracle, the court's transcripts are somehow gone! The coverage on the trial were limited to three small LA Times blurbs deeply buried.

While in prison for torture, the New York Times wrote a glowing article on his holiday, the first exposure to Kwanzaa that real people got (if you consider New York Times readers real people). This was Christmas Eve, 1971, with Karenga just recently convicted. Not as much as a Kwanzaa miracle than the Times' typical deception, no mention of this was found in the article. But then 16-year-old and already a racist and anti-Semite Al Sharpton glowingly proclaimed how Kwanzaa will rightfully commence the "De-whitizing of Christmas", sharing the folly that Christmas is somehow a "white-only" holiday.

Examining the Kwanzaa traditions, an investigator discovers conflicts between Kwanzaa and the traditions it purports to reflect. For instance, it was intentionally placed the day after Christmas as a way to replace Christmas as an alternative. But when challenged, he said that it is all coincidence; it just happens to fall on the African "first fruits" harvest. The problem with this explanation is that in Africa this occurs in the fall, a few months before Kwanzaa. Even Better Homes and Gardens helpfully omits anything related to the true nature of Kwanzaa; the web site actually asks in its article on Kwanzaa History & Tradition, "Does it replace Christmas?" If you read the article, the question is left unanswered. Maybe the "yes" answer was too inconvenient?

The seven days of Kwanzaa (the last day is New Years' Day) each has a Swahili name. By the way, Swahili wasn't a traditional spoken language in Africa until imperialist times. Some of the days have Marxist or racist undertones, including "Umoja": (racial) unity, "Ujima": collective work and responsibility, and also "Ujamma": co-operative economics.

The Kwanzaa "Menorah", like everything in the holiday, is also stolen from non-African traditions. The real Menorah, of course, is based on Hannukah, a Holy day of historical, cultural and religious significance. In Kwanzaa, however, it is just a stolen tradition that means nothing other than counting Kwanzaa's seven days. And, naturally, there is the gift-giving, which is based on the three gifts of the Magi to Christ. Again, any historical or religious context is not existent in Kwanzaa; it's just another thing stolen from a holiday it was created to replace.

It was designed to replace Christmas but it's celebrated by few. Only 2 million Americans celebrated it at its peak, and Americans are the majority of its practitioners. Professor Karenga claims an unverified


statistic that 28 million people practice Kwanzaa, though there's as much real statistical information to his claim as there is that he was being dive-bombed in his cell- one of his many delusions.

None of the history of Kwanzaa is authentic. Even the corn exchange on the first day is supposed to be a Mexican maize corn that didn't exist in Africa until Europeans imported it from the Americas.

So there is the history of Kwanzaa. It would make a pretty disturbing Charlie Brown special. To summarize for those just skimming or who like organized summations:

- 1) Kwanzaa has no African historical or religious significance.
- 2) It was invented in America in the year 1966 by a racist, to celebrate the black race.
- 3) Kwanzaa was invented for racist reasons to achieve a racist end.
- 4) All of Kwanzaa's traditions were stolen from somewhere else.
- 5) Kwanzaa is a protest of Christmas although it stole traditions from Christmas and Channukah.
- 6) Its founder is not only a racist, he is anti-Semitic, violent, delusional and Marxist.
- 7) Karenga, Kwanzaa's inventor, was convicted of torturing women. As a form of justice, he now has a High-ranking position at California State University. The university officially refused to answer statements as to why it thinks its students should feel safe in his presence.

LiberTORian and other libertarians don't care if you celebrate a made up racist holiday like Kwanzaa... we just want you to know its actual story. Since the truth about Kwanzaa is intentionally overlooked by most media, and web sites that publish the facts are disappearing, it is important that history be preserved. I'll speak truth to power wherever people try giving it equal footing with real holidays. ((L))



Since this is our Christmas issue, and since LiberTORian wanted to provide analysis of movies and TV, this article seemed appropriate since its content is about toys, movies, and libertarian themes all at once. The following article kills all three birds with one typewriter.

!!!!!!!!!!!! SPOILER ALERT !!!!!!!!!!!!!

( )	( )	( )	( )
( )	( )	( )	( )

least creative, least original person in the Lego universe. The story begins when he gets mixed up with those trying to restore the social order. The Lego multiverse is under the tyranny of President Business, the President of the entire world and the Octan fuel corporation. At first glance, one may suspect that this movie is going to be an anti-all businesses, anti-oil company movie. Fox News Channel jumped on this bandwagon, but it's not another Anti-capitalist film aimed at children. In fact, it's just the opposite.

It's a model of communism: all work  
if for the good of the state. There



is no room for individual creativity at all. Entrepreneurship grinds to a standstill and the soul is sucked out of humanity as one's self-worth is tied to her value to the state.

The Master Builders were the privileged class, as they got to design the world, but again, it's only done for the state. The rest of society was to mold the Lego Multiverse into the image President Business saw fit.

| ( ) ( ) ( ) |  
| ( ) ( ) ( ) |

The movie's anti-authoritarian theme is presented early in the movie. As Emmet wakes up to a typical day in Lego City, his universe in the Lego Multiverse, he picks up his list of instructions. These are the rules that all Lego minifigures are to live by. Some mock consumer culture such as "buy overpriced coffee". Others are general rules to achieve conformity without murmuring.

Lenin said, "The quickest and easiest way to undermine a culture is through its music." Look at the Western world today and compare it to its music of the last generation and you'll see how accurate he was. The one song that everyone listens to in *\*The Lego Movie\** ALL THE TIME on the "approved" radio station is, "Everything Is Awesome". In 2014, you couldn't escape this song. When I heard it, my family didn't see the movie yet, but already I thought, "I bet that, judging by these lyrics, this is a propaganda song in the movie." And it was. The meaning behind the chorus is apparent:

♪ Everything is awesome ♪  
♪ Everything is cool when you're part of a team ♪  
♪ Everything is awesome ♪  
♪ When you're living our dream ♪

"Our" refers to President Business and his bureaucratic authority. He even has "micromanagers" working for him: Black AT-ST-looking constructs

which restore to order anything that deviates from the instructions. The Micromanagers also capture Master Builders.

| ( ) ( ) ( ) |  
| ( ) ( ) ( ) |


Just to let you know, this movie is also very hilarious. The humor is clean, but written at a very adult level. If you enjoy such adult animation such as *\*Regular Show\**, you will enjoy this. There is plenty of pop culture humor, but done in such a way that it doesn't date itself so quickly. It's also not obnoxious like in *\*Alladin\** or other Disney or Dreamworks animations. You won't feel treated like a child.

| ( ) ( ) ( ) |  
| ( ) ( ) ( ) |

A live action sequence occurs toward the end of the movie, and we learn that the entire plot of the movie is the child playing out the adventure. The child's father is played by Will Farrell. Just a bonus. Just like President Business, he becomes frustrated when the child takes apart his sets and rebuilds them into very imaginative creations. The father cannot see the originality of his work; mirroring the conflict Emmet is playing out. The story becomes very allegorical from here on as the viewer connects the parallels in all the events thus far. It's as rewarding as *\*The Sixth Sense\** with a lot more rewatchability, as you find all the connections in all the plot subtleties the next time around.

There's social satire. One Universe called Cloud CuukooLand is a colorful anarchist haven where negativity is forbidden. Its curator Unikitty unleashes a barrage of restrictions. Wyldstyle replies, "you said, 'no', like 100 times."

That's it, and it's not preachy! In summary, *The Lego Movie*: satirical, pro-creativity, anti-statist, go and see it! You won't be let down. ((L))



## \* WHAT IS HANNUKAH?

Hannukah (also spelled Channukah) is "The Festival of Lights." Hannukah is actually a minor holiday in the Jewish tradition, but prominence for it has grown as it's in the Christmas shopping season and the exchange of gifts is involved.

Hannukah's originates during the rule of Antiochus IV, who began to oppress the Jewish people. Two factions united to overthrow Antiochus and the Greeks: the Chasidim and a group under Judah Maccabee and his father. The Jews wanted to rededicate their temple by concentrating it with oil, but only enough oil was required to light the menorah (with only one candle) for one night. Through a miracle, the one day supply lasted eight nights, long enough to produce a new supply and so it was the first Hannukah.

Every year since then, the Jewish people commemorated the miracle. This year, Hannukah begins on December 7th and lasts until the 14th of December. Like all Jewish holidays, Hannukah begins at sundown of the night before the holiday, so the festivities begin at sundown on Sunday, the 6th, 2015. Next year, it begins on Christmas eve and runs until New Year's Day,

## \* HANNUKAH TRADITIONS:

### 1) Light Hannukah candles.

The Menorah now has nine candles, with a "Shammus" candle in the middle. Celebrants light this candle then use it to light one candle for each night so far, beginning on the right side. A Hebrew prayer accompanies the lighting of each candle. The candles must burn on their own for at least 1/2 hour after sunset. On Shabbot (Sabbath), candles may not be blown out, and so must be able to burn 1/2 hour on their own before they self-extinguish, or not be lit at all.

### 2) There is no 2.

Although there are no other rituals that go with Hannukah, gift-giving, the dreidel, and music and food are also associated with the holiday.

## \* HANNUKAH FOOD

What else is oil used for? Frying food! And so fried food is part of the Hannukah feast, to celebrate oil in every way! And the ultimate of these fried foods: latkes, or to the Gentiles, potato panackes. highly recommended. to eat them makes you want to be Jewish! You can find all kinds of traditional latke recipes on clearnet.

Dairy foods like Blintzes and cheese cake are popular, harkening back to the story of Judith, who used cheese





## \* HANNUKAH COLORS

The Hannukah colors are blue and white, the colors of the Israeli flag.

## \* HANNUKAH GAMES

The dreidel: This beloved game of chance is popular with children and adults alike. It's fairly simple to play. A dreidel is like a die with four sides. The fifth side is a handle and the sixth side is a point to spin upon. It's described better as a top with four sides.

The dreidel game is a very simple gambling game. Although it's very innocent, don't let statisticians know if you're gambling, or they'll shut the game down and put you under arrest.

Everyone starts a game of dreidel with about a dozen quarters each, or in this economy, pennies, or M & Ms each. After one unit is donated by each player into the "pot", players take turns spinning the dreidel and take an action based on whichever Hebrew letter face it lands upon:

- o nun: Do nothing.
- o gimmel: Take all in the pot!  
(everyone then donates one unit to the pot and play begins anew)
- o hey: Take half the pot, rounded down to the nearest integer.
- o shin: put one of your units in the pot.

See the drawings on the previous page to see the Hebrew symbols.

The company \*Elf Magic\* produces a plush series called "Hannukah helpers" which help children celebrate the traditions. And in reaction to "The Elf on the Shelf, is \*The Mensch on the Bench\*.

## MODERN HANNUKAH CUSTOMS

And, of course, there is the gift-giving: a gift for each day! The traditional gift is gelt, coins

wrapped in gold foil. Children and adults both love this traditional gift. Naturally, children receive the same kinds of gifts that their Gentile friends receive on Christmas. The gift-giving is a more modern addition to Hannukah, and was done so because of its proximity to Christmas, and to let the children anticipate the holiday in a similar way that Children do at Christmas.

## HANNUKAH MUSIC

It's nearly as diverse as Christmas music. Here are some standards:

- o Who Can Retell? Often very loosely translated from its original Yiddish
- o Hannukah, Oh, Hannukah: sings more about the commercial aspects of Hannukah and the non-religious traditions
- o Rocky Fortress: Scripturally based song.

The novelty music:

- o "Hannukah Rocks" by Gefelte Joe and the Fish. I consider it the greatest Hannukah song ever!
- o Hannukah Homeboy by Doc Moe She
- o The Hannukah Song by Adam Sandler
- o Maccabeats: A Jewish a cappella group.

And that's that Hannukah's all about Charlie Brown. And how can I conclude without the latke recipe?

- o 4 potatoes
- o 1 onion
- o 2 eggs
- o up to 4 oz matza flour
- o 1 tsp. baking powder
- o salt/pepper to your taste
- o vegetable oil (of course)

Shred potatoes. Dice Onion. remove excess moisture. Put in bowl. Add eggs. Mix. Gradually add matza until soft like Play-Doh. Add baking powder, salt & pepper. Flatten by hand into 12 patties. Fry in oil, flipping when underside is lightly brown. Drain on towels. Enjoy. Makes 12. Happy Hannukah! ((L))

~~~~~

## AWESOME CHRISTMAS MUSIC PARTS 1 & 2!

~~~~~

What better way to evoke the Christmas spirit than with music? This article will be in two parts: Part 1 will feature all-around good Christmas music, and in part 2 we'll delve into Christmas novelty tunes.

In the early days of records, when a popular song was written, the song, not the artist, became the hit. A popular song would be recorded and records pressed by different artists and several versions would be available, often by competing labels. In modern society, this isn't usually the case. A song is usually written with a particular artist in mind, so the listener associates the artist with the hit. When someone says, "Oops! I Did It Again", immediately Britney Spears comes to mind.

Not so with Christmas music. They are considered "standards", and many versions of the same song may exist, just like in the early days of vinyl records. So you may be Christmas shopping, hear "Santa Baby" begin to play, and you expect Eartha Kitt, but to your disappointment, when the vocals begin, you get Madonna.

LibertORian wants to begin part 1 with a guide to the "good" versions of our Christmas favorites, so you can seek out the best artist without being disappointed. These are the versions that you WANT to hear. At the first note, you recognize it and say, "Yes!" The definitive (even if not the original) iconic version!

- o A Holly Jolly Christmas  
Burl Ives

- o All I Want For Christmas Is You  
Mariah Carey

- o Baby's First Christmas  
Connie Francis

- o Blue Christmas  
Elvis Presley

- o The Chipmunk Song  
David Seville/ Alvin and The Chipmunks

- o The Christmas Song (Chestnuts Roasting On An Open Fire)  
Nat King Cole (either version)

- o Do You Hear What I Hear?  
Bing Crosby

- o Feliz Navidad  
José Feliciano

- o Frosty The Snowman  
Gene Autry

- o Have Yourself A Merry Little Christmas  
Judy Garland

- o Hark! The Herald Angels Sing  
Nat King Cole

- o I'll Be Home For Christmas  
Bing Crosby

- o I Saw Mommy Kissing Santa Claus  
Jimmy Boyd

- o It's Beginning To Look A Lot Like Christmas  
Perry Como and The Fountaine Singers

- o It's Not The Presents Under The Tree (It's Your Presence Right Here Next To Me) (Don't know how they fit all that on the label)  
Eva Cassidy

- o It's The most Wonderful Time Of The Year  
Andy Williams

- o Jingle Bell Rock  
Bobby Helms

- o Jingle Bells  
Perry Como

- o Last Christmas  
Wham!

- o The Little Drummer Boy  
Harry Simone Chorale & Orchestra
- o Little Saint Nick  
Beach Boys
- o Merry Christmas, Baby  
Charles Brown
- o Must Be Santa  
Mitch Miller & His Orchestra
- o No More Toymakers To The King  
Paul Frees
- o O Tannenbaum (instrumental)  
Vince Guaraldi
- o Rockin' Around The Christmas Tree  
Brenda Lee
- o Run Rudolph Run  
Chuck Berry
- o Santa Baby  
Eartha Kitt with Henri Rene and his  
Orchestra
- o Sleigh Ride (vocal)  
Johnny Mathis
- o We Need A Little Christmas  
Angela Lansbury, Frankie Michaels,  
Jane Cornell, Sab Shimono
- o What Christmas Means To Me  
Stevie Wonder
- o White Christmas  
Bing Crosby
- o You're A Mean One, Mr. Grinch  
Boris Karloff

This isn't a complete list, naturally, but maybe more next year! It is a good start, and if you collect this all into a single playlist, you should have enough to last you for an entire Christmas party. If you put it on repeat, by the time everyone is drunk, you won't even notice you're listening to the same songs again!

The next list is not the definitive list, but the versions of these

standards are worthy renditions of favorites; some are alternate "definitive" versions in their own right.

- o The Christmas Song (Chestnuts Roasting On An Open Fire)  
Boots Randolph (instrumental)
- o Deck The Halls  
Mannheim Steamroller (instrumental)
- o Do You Hear What I Hear?  
Andy Williams
- o Frosty The Snowman  
Jimmy Durante
- o Joy To The World  
Whitney Houston
- o Last Christmas  
Taylor Swift
- o Let It Snow, Let It Snow, Let It Snow  
Jessica Simpson
- o Little Drummer Boy  
Mannheim Steamroller (instrumental)
- o Rudolph, The Red-Nosed Reindeer  
Gene Autry
- o Silent Night, Holy Night  
-Dina Washington  
-John Darnell Orchestra (instrumental)  
-Mannheim Steamroller (instrumental)
- o Silver Bells  
Earl Grant
- o White Christmas  
Drifters
- o Winter Wonderland  
-Tony Bennett  
-Aretha Franklin
- o You're A Mean One, Mr. Grinch  
Carnival Of Shame

(article continues...)

And a late entry...

- o Baby, It's Cold Outside  
Lady Gaga and Tony Bennett

Then there are butcherings of the cherished classic Christmas songs that you wish you could unhear. The singers of these versions should be put on trial for Grinchiness:

- o Jingle Bells  
Barbra Streisand
- o Santa Baby  
Ashley 1st

As a libertarian, I respect your freedom to listen, but you're ultimately responsible to the damage to your ears.

))

## AND NOW FOR PART 2

))

There are lots of novelty Christmas songs. Some are classics and have risen to standard status.

- o The Twelve Gifts of Christmas  
Allan Sherman
- o The Twelve Days of Christmas  
Bob & Doug McKenzie (w/ Getty Lee)
- o The Twelve Pains of Christmas  
Bob & Tom Band
- o Can't Wish You a Merry Christmas  
o (and many other songs)  
Capitol Steps
- o Santa Claus and His Old Lady  
Cheech & Chong
- o Rusty Chevrolet  
Da Yoopers
- o Christmas Is Coming Twice This Year
- o Gridlock Christmas  
The Hollytones

- o A Terrorist Christmas  
James & King
  - o Porky Pig singe Blue Christmas  
John-Boy and Billy
  - o Careless Santa  
Mono Puff
  - o Santa Claus Is Watching You  
Ray Stevens
  - o Xmas At K-Mart  
Root Boy Slim & The Sex Change Band
  - o All I want for Christmas Is My Two Front Teeth
  - o Happy New Year
  - o My Birthday Falls on Christmas
  - o Winter  
Spike Jones & His City Slickers
  - o Christmas Dragnet
  - o Green Chri\$tma\$
  - o Nuttin; For Christmas  
Stan Freberg
  - o Santa's Beard  
They Might Be Giants
  - o A Christmas Song  
Tom Lehrer
  - o Christmas Wrapping  
The Waitresses
  - o Shouldn't Have Given Him a Gun For Christmas  
Wall of Voodoo
  - o Christmas At Ground Zero  
"Weird Al" Yankovic
- Finally, avoid these songs at all costs:
- o Dominick The Donkey
  - o Simply Having A Wonderful Christmas Time
  - o Grandma Got Run Over By a Reindeer
  - o I Want a Hippopotamus For Christmas
  - o I Still Believe in Father Christmas
  - o Jingle My Bells by Strip Mind
  - o The Christmas Shoes (L)

#####

O=====O

CLASSIC .TXT FILE OF THE DAY

\* nitexmas.txt \*

#####

O=====O

JARGON-FILLED NIGHT BEFORE  
CHRISTMAS

bureaucrats & political correctness  
policemen will love! Unsure of the  
time it was written, but no later  
than 2000. In its original text, as  
as is, including misspellings!

Here's one rendition of "'Twas The  
Night Before Christmas" that all the

.....

#### TWAS THE NIGHT BEFORE CHRISTMAS

Twas the nocturnal segment of the diurnal period preceding the annual yuletide celebration. And throughout our place of residence, kinetic activity was not in evidence among the possessors of this potential, including that species of domestic rodent known as mus musculus. Hosiery was meticulously suspended from the forward edge of the wood-burning caloric apparatus, pursuant to our anticipatory pleasure regarding an eminent visitation from an eccentric philanthropist among whose folkloric appellations is the honorific title of St. Nicholas.

The prepubescent siblings, comfortably ensconced in their respective accommo- dations of repose, were experiencing subconscious visual hallucinations of variegated fruit confections moving rhythmically through their cerebrums. My conjugal partner and I, attired in our nocturnal head coverings, were about to take slumberous advantage of the hibernal darkness when upon the avenaceous exterior portion of the grounds there ascended such a cacophony of dissonance that I felt compelled to arise with alacrity from my place of repose for the purpose of ascertaining the precise source thereof.

Fastening to the casement, I forthwith opened the barriers sealing this fenestration, noting thereupon that the lunar brilliance without, reflected as it was on the surface of a recent crystalline precipitation, might be said to rival that of the solar meridian itself; thus permitting my incredulous optical sensory organs to behold a miniature airborne runnered conveyance drawn by eight diminutive specimens of the genus ragifer. Piloted by a minuscule aged chauffeur so ebullient and nimble that it became instantly apparent to me that he was indeed our anticipated caller. With his ungulate motive power traveling at what may have been more vertiginous velocity than patriotionalar predators, he vodiferated loudly, exuelled breath musically through labial sounds, and addressed each of the octet by his or her respected cognomen; Now Dasher, now Dancer, et al. Guiding them to the uppermost exterior level of our abode, through which structured could readily distinguish the concatenations of each of the 32 cloven pedal extremities.

As I retracted my cranium from its erstwhile location, and was performing a 180-degree pivot, our distinguished visitant achieved,

with utmost celerity in animal pelts soiled by the ebon residue from oxidations of carbonifbrous fuels which had accumulated on the walls thereof. His resemblance to a street vendor I attributed largely to the planthora of assorted playthings which he bore dorsally in a commodious cloth receptacle.

His orbs were scintillant with reflected luminosity, while his submaxillary dermal indentations gave every evidence of exgaging amiability. The capillaries of his molar regions and nasal appurtenance were engorged with blood which suffused the subcutaneous layers, the former approximating the coloration of Albion's floral embelem, the latter that of the Prunus avium, or sweet cherry. His amusing sub- and super- alabials resembled nothing so much as a common loop knot, and their amdent hirsute facial adornment appeared like small, tabular and columnar crystals of frozen water.

Clenched firmly between his incisors was a smokingpiece whose gray fumes, forming a tenuous ellipse about his occiput, were suggestive of a decorative seasonal circlet of holly. His visage was wider than it was high, and when he waxed audibly mirthful, his corpulent abdominal region undulated in the manner of impectinated fruit syrup in a hemisphrical container. He was, in short, neither more nor less than an obese, jocund, multigenarian gnome, the optical perception of whom rendered me visible frolicsome despite every effort to refrain from so being. By rapidly lowering and then elevating one eyelid and rotating his head slightly to one side he indicated that trepidation on my part was groundless.

Without utterance and with dispatch, he commenced filling the aforementioned hosiery with various of the aforementioned articles of merchandise extracted from his aforementioned previously dorsally transported cloth receptacle. Upon completion of his task, he executed an abrupt aboutface, placed a singular manual digit in lateral juxtaposition to his olfactory organ, inclined his cranium forward in a gesture of leave taking, and forthwith effected his egress by renegotiating (in reverse) the smoke passage. He then propelled himself in a short vector onto his conveyance, directed a musical expulsion of air through his contracted oral sphincter to the antlered quadrupeds among the seed-bearing portions of a common weed. But I overheard his parting exclamation, audible immediately prior to his vehiculation beyond the limits of visibility:

ESTATIC YULETIDE TO THE PLANETARY CONSTITUENCY, AND TO THAT SELFSAME ASSEMBLAGE, MY SINCEREST WISHES FOR A SALUBRIOUSLY BENEFICIAL AND GRATIFYINGLY PLEASURABLE PERIOD BETWEEN SUNSET AND DAWN.

.....

Classic Text File of the Day  
BONUS FILE

\* pc-xmas.txt \*

Here's a gift for everyone, since it is Christmas, after all. A second fclassic .txt file of the day. Another politicially correct retelling

of the same famous story. This one doesn't have such a bad ending for a politically-correct story. In its original 72 columns glory! Enjoy!

## A POLITICALLY CORRECT CHRISTMAS

-----

'Twas the night before Christmas and Santa's a wreck...  
How to live in a world that's politically correct?  
His workers no longer would answer to "Elves",  
"Vertically Challenged" they were calling themselves.

And labor conditions at the north pole  
Were alleged by the union to stifle the soul.  
Four reindeer had vanished, without much propriety,  
Released to the wilds by the Humane Society.

And equal employment had made it quite clear  
That Santa had better not use just reindeer.  
So Dancer and Donner, Comet and Cupid,  
Were replaced with 4 pigs, and you know that looked stupid!

The runners had been removed from his sleigh;  
The ruts were termed dangerous by the E.P.A.  
And people had started to call for the cops  
When they heard sled noises on their roof-tops.

Second-hand smoke from his pipe had his workers quite frightened.  
His fur trimmed red suit was called "Unenlightened."  
And to show you the strangeness of life's ebbs and flows,  
Rudolf was suing over unauthorized use of his nose

And had gone on Geraldo, in front of the nation,  
Demanding millions in over-due compensation.  
So, half of the reindeer were gone; and his wife,  
Who suddenly said she'd enough of this life,

Joined a self-help group, packed, and left in a whiz,  
Demanding from now on her title was Ms.  
And as for the gifts, why, he'd ne'er had a notion  
That making a choice could cause so much commotion.

Nothing of leather, nothing of fur,  
Which meant nothing for him. And nothing for her.  
Nothing that might be construed to pollute.  
Nothing to aim. Nothing to shoot.

Nothing that clamored or made lots of noise.  
Nothing for just girls. Or just for the boys.  
Nothing that claimed to be gender specific.  
Nothing that's warlike or non-pacific.

No candy or sweets...they were bad for the tooth.  
Nothing that seemed to embellish a truth.  
And fairy tales, while not yet forbidden,  
Were like Ken and Barbie, better off hidden.

For they raised the hackles of those psychological  
Who claimed the only good gift was one ecological.  
No baseball, no football...someone could get hurt;  
Besides, playing sports exposed kids to dirt.

Dolls were said to be sexist, and should be passe;  
And Nintendo would rot your entire brain away.  
So Santa just stood there, disheveled, perplexed;  
He just could not figure out what to do next.

He tried to be merry, tried to be gay,  
But you've got to be careful with that word today.  
His sack was quite empty, limp to the ground;  
Nothing fully acceptable was to be found.

Something special was needed, a gift that he might  
Give to all without angering the left or the right.  
A gift that would satisfy, with no indecision,  
Each group of people, every religion;

Every ethnicity, every hue,  
Everyone, everywhere...even you.  
So here is that gift, it's price beyond worth...  
"May you and your loved ones enjoy peace on earth."

.....

~~~~~

B L U R B

~~~~~

During his campaign, Donald Trump suggested that all Muslims register on a federal government database so they could be tracked and monitored during a terrorist attack or active terrorist threat.

What the #\$%^ is this?!! Have you ever heard of the First Amendment? People have freedom of religion, and the government has no right to track or in any way intimidate everybody of a certain faith.

Freedom of religion is supposed to defend \*ALL\* religions, not just the popular ones, unless the entire religion is dedicated to harming others. Every Muslim isn't a member of ISIS. Islam is a religion, but Islamic terrorism is religious AND political in nature.

We must combat terrorism, but let's not repeat statist measures that lead to FDR's concentration camps for all Japanese. ((L))

~~~~~

Myths that liberals believe, #12

~~~~~

MYTH #12: There were no Muslims cheering in the streets of New Jersey on 9/11.

FALSE. Donald Trump made the claim that on 9/11, he saw tens of thousands of Muslims cheering in the streets. This is not true. That's only because he exaggerated the number. But there WAS a cheering group of Muslims in NJ.

The media itself exaggerates numbers like a 1990 pro-life rally in DC. It drew half a million but CNN reported 60000. CNN never faces consequences.

The media, whose motto is, "If we didn't print it, it never happened", didn't find the event after reviewing their archives. However, an enemy of the mainstream media, \*The Washington Times\*, did report it, and and video was discovered to exist. MSM backtracked, saying, "sure, it wasn't tens of thousands, but Trump was half-wrong too!" ((L))



~~~~~

HARD COPY: CHRISTMAS EDITION

- By Synonymous 1 -

~~~~~

#### THE WAR ON CHRISTMAS

by JOHN GIBSON

John Gibson was a Fox News reporter. Ten years ago he wrote this book. 2005 was the year when the War on Christmas really took off. The ACLU and Freedom From Religion Foundation (what a twisted corruption of the First Amendment) have been fighting public displays of Christmas. The public school system is busy banning free Christmas speech. But in 2005, it really started taking off in the private sector. The word \*Christmas\* was being sanitized from advertising. Wal\*Mart began it, faced backlash, and the next year restored the name of the holiday that made all their money.

As a libertarian, I don't care what a business does, but people have the right to exercise free speech and call them out if they want to.

John Gibson's book is more relevant than ever this year. For the first time ever, a President (Obama) and his State Department have stomped on free speech by BANNING CHRISTMAS CARDS TO OUR SOLDIERS FIGHTING OVERSEAS. Our soldiers sacrificing enough already to keep everyone else free. And the reason they must all suffer: so Muslims don't get offended!

I'm offended at this criminal intrusion on two First Amendment rights: freedom of religion and freedom of speech! Obama's a bigot. The War on Christmas is real and it can be fought. John Gibson's book tells you how. And why shouldn't you fight for Christmas? Who knows what will be the next decent beloved American thing the looney statist will try to eradicate?

#### THE CHRISTMAS SWEATER

THE CHRISTMAS SWEATER (illustrated children's book)

THE IMMORTAL NICHOLAS

by GLENN BECK

People have been taught to hate Glenn Beck. Most of those who do never listened to the program. You may be surprised to find that he is a decent human being. Doing my homework, I found that most of the awful things I heard about him were lies from statists. As it turned out, to my surprise, he is very libertarian, not at all the angry conservative he's made out to be by people who also probably never actually listen to him.

Glenn also is an active reader and writer, including Christmas books. \*The Christmas Sweater\* is based on a true story in his own childhood. It's filled with tragedy and emotion but ultimately is a story of true love. \*The Christmas Sweater\* was rewritten as a picture book for children the next year.

Re-tellings of classic stories are all the rage. Think of the Broadway musical "Wicked", which offers the backstory of the Wicked Witch of the West, and all of this type of story it inspired. Glenn Beck's "The Immortal Nicholas" does the same to Santa Claus.


\*The Immortal Nicholas\* explains his longevity, but completely reinvents the character. Who knew the Jolly Red One was such a rugged no-nonsense fighting machine? You also learn historical knowledge along the way, like why frankincense is so rare! This story is raw, edgy, and yet touching all at once! So enjoy these titles; they make for great Christmas reading! ((L))

```

~~~~~
D.N.S.  L O O K U P  F A I L E D
~~~~~
~~~~~OO~~~~~
      /\

```

How are we doing with our logos, art and all the little blandishments designed to make each issue of LiberTORian a bit more pleasant to view? We've been constructing plenty more of our own logos for featured articles. The Kwanzaa logo and The Lego Movie logo were in-house productions as was much of the Christmas art. A lot of it is noodling around, just trying to create ASCII art to see if any of it looks decent. We'll leave it for you to judge.



Here's our attempt at a Menorah.

As I said earlier, things are moving so quickly. What a time to stop to make a Christmas issue. Evil is on the march and doesn't take holidays. There was Paris, and now there is an incident in LA which you will know all about by the time you read this. Within minutes of the shooting, the bodies of 14 murdered people in L.A. are LITERALLY STILL WARM, and Martin O'Malley publicly blames the NRA! Remain vigilant, but "Keep Calm and Carry On". Evil will always be on us, but God triumphs in the end. If you're an atheist, don't worry, either. The universe regulates itself in the end.

```

~~~~~
~~~~~ By Synonymous 1. ~~~~~
~~~~~
~~~~~OO~~~~~
      /\

```

I'm not saying this sarcastically. Evil loses in the end, even if it takes a time scale too large for our scope. Statists think of the long run; it took them 100 years to turn America into the wreck that it now finds itself in. Most of us think about one year in the future. That demonstrates persistence. Most of us don't live to be 100, but the universe will exist 10000 years from now, 1 million years from now, etc. And insignificant as we may seem on a three-dimensional scale, there is something special about you, me, the members of ISIS, and everyone. Each individual life is unique and has a purpose. It's up to you to do that. We each must figure out what that is but I know one thing for sure: it's not to wear full body armor and go into an assisted living facility in San Bernardino, California, where chartiible people help the infermed aged, who are among the weakest among us, except the unborn. Other than evil terrorists or Planned Parenthood, who attacks people this innocent, this defenseless?

When you put this and each issue of LiberTORian down, go out and serve your fellow man. Not just at Channukah and Christmas- all year around.

A little homework: terrorism will be a critical topic of 2016. LiberTORian isn't enough. Until we meet again, find and listen to Walid Phares at GPI Policy Institute at:


- <http://walidphares.com>

Pay attention to what he says. Keep up with him daily. Verify his info.

Have a Happy Hannukah, Merry Christmas, and happy New Year. I think next year will bring great tidings.

- - - E N D      O F      F I L E      - - -

<http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion>



Volume 1 - Issue 0 - 2015

888888888888888888888888888888888888

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

888888888888888888888888888888888888

PART 1  
The LiberTORian motto

PART 2  
The deep web address

PART 3  
The email address

PART 4  
The public PGP key

PART 5  
The BitCoin address

PART 6  
The Publication Information

888888888888888888888888888888888888

---

PART 1

The LiberTORian motto:

"Don't hurt people and don't take their stuff."

---

PART 2

The deep web address:

<http://libertxn3ogpn6mb.onion>

Liber

TORian is a retro eZine covering issues regarding individual liberty.

---

PART 3

The email address:

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com)

---

PART 4

The public PGP key for [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com):

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v2

iQEcbAEBCAAGBQJV65NZAAoJEBwu5qylIhluzggH/ifOP2jpY5U20b+qr7p6qHJS  
t4KaJpYcbRNoVE4AgXlZSPP/Qk7gKePd7SKJNHedXzPnl+jMU8tgeYLq6VWqhJB/  
pYLOHext/nVDhbREuY+KGHk7WNm8lAEi0fcwkisSZF3XsH91escZX4r52XqR8Yxl  
5A3l4AZSQoNGvdr4aBUvE6zbRc9kt6elOqlZyMLJcE0I10uyJVfQx4hpsSAdxriO  
rfK+pnwltxr7GUugeQCYvNKxV/9+qg08vabKYkDJMBcdioii64xqq6lAAVOW/I8x  
6hgah1DjohiQJTDXGlbtoxFZu2w3f94cSbEl2QF0uq92OyJPQwnMz/09sgRholc=  
=9cJr

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

---

PART 5

The BitCoin address:

12yfpakCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s


Please consider donating to LiberTORian, even if it's a milliBitCoin (.001 BTC)

---

The Publication Information:

- - - E N D       O F       F I L E - - -

<http://xsold3pvt4xblv.onion>



Volume 1 - Issue 0 - Jan 1, 2016

888888888888888888888888888888888888

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

888888888888888888888888888888888888

PART 1  
The LiberTORian motto

PART 2  
The deep web address

PART 3  
The email address

PART 4  
The public PGP key

PART 5  
The BitCoin address

PART 6  
The Publication Information

888888888888888888888888888888888888

-----

PART 1

The LiberTORian motto:

"Don't hurt people and don't take their stuff."

-----

PART 2

The deep web address:

<http://xsold3pvtbxt4xblv.onion>

LiberTORian is a retro eZine covering issues regarding individual liberty.

-----

PART 3

The email address:

[libertorian@sigaint.org](mailto:libertorian@sigaint.org)

[synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com) (site is down at time of publication)

-----

PART 4

The public PGP key for [synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com](mailto:synonymous1@ruggedinbox.com):

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----

Version: GnuPG v2

iQEcbAEBCAAGBQJV65NZAAoJEBwu5qy1IhluzggH/ifOP2jpY5U20b+qr7p6qHJS  
t4KaJpYcbRNoVE4AgXlZSPP/Qk7gKePd7SKJNHedXzPnl+jMU8tgeYLq6VWqhJB/  
pYLOHext/nVDhbREuY+KGHk7WNm81AEi0fcwkisSZF3XsH91escZX4r52XqR8Yxl  
5A3l4AZSQoNGvdr4aBUvE6zbRc9kt6elOqlZyMLJcE0I10uyJVfQx4hpsSAdxriO  
rfK+pnwltxr7GUugeQCYvNKxV/9+qg08vabKYkDJMBcdioii64xqq61AAVOW/I8x  
6hgah1DjohiQJTDXGlbtoxZFzu2w3f94cSbEl2QF0uq92OyJPQwnMz/09sgRholc=  
=9cJr

-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----

-----

PART 5

The BitCoin address:

12yfpakCHL7XCdWGiM8x9nuaBw1TbW1q2s

Please consider donating to LiberTORian, even if it's a milliBitCoin (.001 BTC)

-----

### The Publication Information:

- - - E N D       O F       F I L E - - -